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- Different problems in combinatorics, analysis of algorithms or even physics involve binomially weighted sums
Example 1 (combinatorics): In paper Evaluation of Binomial Double Sums Involving Absolute Values of C. Krattenthaler and C. Schneider, sums of the following form appear when we are studying double sums with binomial coefficients:

$$
-2^{2 m+1} n\binom{2 n}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{2^{-2 i}\binom{2 i}{i}}{i+n}+2\binom{2 m}{m}\binom{2 n}{n}+2^{2 m+2 n}
$$

We might be interested in an asymptotic expansion at $m \rightarrow+\infty$ for fixed $m$, which involves being able in particular to compute the expansion of the boxed sum

Example 2 (physics): Particle physics computations are often done in Mellin space, and for example in the paper The $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}^{3} T_{F}^{2}\right)$ contributions to the gluonic operator matrix element by J.Abligner, J. Blümlein, C. Schneider et al., we encounter sums of the form:

$$
\frac{1}{4^{n}}\binom{2 n}{n}\left(\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{4^{i}}{i^{2}\binom{2 i}{i}} S_{1}(i-1)}-7 \zeta_{3}\right), \quad S_{1}(i-1):=\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{k}
$$

Sums can be nested, for example in Iterated Binomial Sums and their Associated Iterated Integrals by J.Ablinger, J.Blümlein, C.G. Raab and C. Schneider, we have also sums such as:
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- We define the binomially weighted sums as follows:

$$
B S_{\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}}(n):=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} a_{1}\left(i_{1}\right) \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{i_{1}} a_{2}\left(i_{2}\right) \cdots \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{i_{k-1}} a_{k}\left(i_{k}\right)
$$

With

$$
a_{j}(p)=a_{j}(p ; b, c, m)=\binom{2 p}{p}^{b} \frac{c^{p}}{p^{m}}, \quad b \in\{-1,0,1\}, c \in \mathbb{R}^{\star}, m \in \mathbb{N}
$$

- More generic summands can also be considered, such as:

$$
\frac{c^{n}}{(2 n+1)\binom{2 n}{n}}
$$

- We define respectively Mellin transform and Mellin convolution as:

$$
M[f(x)](n):=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x x^{n} f(x) \quad f(x) * g(x)=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2} \delta\left(x-x_{1} x_{2}\right) f\left(x_{1}\right) g\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

Question: How to represent them as Mellin integrals?

- First method (used by HarmonicSums for general Mellin inversion): given $M[f(x)](n)$ as holonomic sequences, we obtain the associated holonomic differential equation, and by solving it we can obtain a closed form for $f(x)$

```
Pros: Very general and efficient
```

Cons: If the DE cannot be solved (not first-order factorizable or Kovacic method doesn't work), a Mellin representation cannot be obtained
$\Rightarrow$ Second method (defined in [2]): compute it recursively from the BS using fundamental properties of Mellin transforms and "rule-theorems" that allow to compute in an automatic way Mellin convolutions
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Note: These Mellin representations will involve general polylogarithms

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\emptyset}^{*}(x):=1, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t}), \overrightarrow{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{t})}^{*}(x)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{c}}}^{*}(x):=\int_{x}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t b(t) \mathrm{H}_{\vec{c}}^{*}(t)
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Defined over a 37 letter alphabet $\left\{f_{0}, f_{w_{32}}\right\}$ containing root singularities such that all iterated integrals are linearly independent over the algebraic functions
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Defined over a 37 letter alphabet $\left\{f_{0}, f_{w_{32}}\right\}$ containing root singularities such that all iterated integrals are linearly independent over the algebraic functions Examples:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i\binom{2 i}{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{i}\binom{2 j}{j}(-2)^{j}= \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{(-2 x)^{n}-1}{x+\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{6 \sqrt{2} \sqrt{x+\frac{1}{8}}}\right) \\
&-\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{\left(\frac{x}{4}\right)^{n}-1}{(x-4)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}} \\
& \sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{2^{-2 i}\binom{2 i}{i}}{i+n}=\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{x^{m}-1}{x-1} x^{n} B_{x}\left(\frac{1}{2}-n, \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad(n>0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Where

$$
B_{x}(a, b):=\int_{0}^{x} \mathrm{~d} t t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1}
$$

We now want to obtain an asymptotic expansion for $n \rightarrow+\infty$ up to order $p$ of a general expression of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{M}_{a}[f(x)](n):=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{(a x)^{n}-1}{x-\frac{1}{a}} f(x)=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x\left[(a x)^{n}-1\right] \tilde{f}(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\tilde{f}(x):=\frac{f(x)}{x-\frac{1}{a}}
$$

- There exist several method to compute this expansion, depending mostly on the regularity of $f$ and if the integral can be splitted
- We will present three of them, all three of which have been implemented in a small package, BinomialAsymptotics
- Hypothesis: $\tilde{f}$ is at least $p$ times differentiable at $x=1, \mathcal{C}^{0}$ on $(0 ; 1]$ and integrable on $[0 ; 1]$
We proceed in the following way:
(1) Split the integral in two parts:

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x\left[(a x)^{n}-1\right] \tilde{f}(x)=a^{n} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x x^{n} \tilde{f}(x)-\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \tilde{f}(x)=a^{n} M[f(x)](n)-M[f(x)](0)
$$

(2) Compute the constant value $C=M[f(x)](0)$ (using HarmonicSums or directly Mathematica)
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(1) Split the integral in two parts:
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\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x\left[(a x)^{n}-1\right] \tilde{f}(x)=a^{n} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x x^{n} \tilde{f}(x)-\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \tilde{f}(x)=a^{n} M[f(x)](n)-M[f(x)](0)
$$

(2) Compute the constant value $C=M[f(x)](0)$ (using HarmonicSums or directly Mathematica)
(3) Perform an integration by parts $p$ times on the $n$-dependent part:

$$
\begin{align*}
M[f(x)](n) & =\left.\frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} \tilde{f}(x)\right|_{0} ^{1}-\frac{1}{n+1} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x x^{n+1} \tilde{f}^{\prime}(x) \\
& =\frac{\tilde{f}(1)}{n+1}-\frac{1}{n+1}\left[\left.\frac{x^{n+2}}{n+2} \tilde{f}^{\prime}(x)\right|_{0} ^{1}-\frac{1}{n+2} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x x^{n+2} \tilde{f}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right] \\
& \vdots  \tag{2}\\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{p}(-1)^{i} \frac{\tilde{f}^{(i)}(1)}{(n+1)_{i+1}}+\underbrace{\frac{(-1)^{p}}{(n+1)_{p}} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x x^{n+p+1} \tilde{f}^{(p+1)}(x)}_{\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{p+1}}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $(a)_{n}:=a(a+1) \cdots(a+n-1),(a)_{0}:=1$
(9) Expand (2) for $n$ at $+\infty$ up to the order $p$ and add the computed constant to finally get:

$$
\tilde{M}_{a}[f(x)](n) \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{=}-C+a^{n}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{h_{k}}{n^{k}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{p+1}}\right)\right]
$$

Where for $k \in\{1, \ldots, p\}, h_{k} \in \mathbb{R}$.

When the function $\tilde{f}$ is not regular enough at $x=1$, we have to use another approach. The geometric series resummation is the first possible one.

- Hypothesis: $|f|$ integrable on $[0 ; 1]$, the constant $a$ is such that $|a|<1$
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When $a \geq 1$, the geometric series $\frac{1}{x-\frac{1}{a}}$ is divergent at $\frac{1}{a} \in(0 ; 1]$. The singularity is actually only apparent:

$$
\frac{(a x)^{n}-1}{x-\frac{1}{a}} f(x) \underset{x \rightarrow \frac{1}{a}}{=} a n f\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(x-\frac{1}{a}\right)
$$

Problem: We cannot split the integral to compute the constant part as usually. We have to use a new method that relies on a change of variable [2] and do an integration by parts to extract the constant part

When $a \geq 1$, the geometric series $\frac{1}{x-\frac{1}{a}}$ is divergent at $\frac{1}{a} \in(0 ; 1]$. The singularity is actually only apparent:

$$
\frac{(a x)^{n}-1}{x-\frac{1}{a}} f(x) \underset{x \rightarrow \frac{1}{a}}{=} a n f\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(x-\frac{1}{a}\right)
$$

Problem: We cannot split the integral to compute the constant part as usually. We have to use a new method that relies on a change of variable [2] and do an integration by parts to extract the constant part
(1) We make the change of variable:

$$
x=\frac{e^{-z}}{a}, \mathrm{~d} x=-\frac{1}{a} e^{-z} \mathrm{~d} z
$$

This gives us:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{(a x)^{n}-1}{x-\frac{1}{a}} f(x) & =-\frac{1}{a} \int_{+\infty}^{-\log a} \mathrm{~d} z e^{-z} a \frac{e^{-z n}-1}{e^{-z}-1} f\left(\frac{e^{-z}}{a}\right) \\
& =\int_{-\log a}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} z\left(e^{-z n}-1\right) \underbrace{\frac{e^{-z}}{e^{-z}-1} f\left(\frac{e^{-z}}{a}\right)}_{=: g(z)}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, expanding the function $g$ defined above around $z=0$, we get:

$$
g(z) \underset{z \rightarrow 0}{=} \frac{\alpha_{-1}}{z}+\alpha_{0}+\mathcal{O}(z)
$$

Where $\alpha_{-1}, \alpha_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$
(3) We do an integration by parts to get rid of the $\frac{1}{z}$, since:

$$
\int^{z} \mathrm{~d} w g(w) \underset{z \rightarrow 0}{=} \alpha_{-1} \log z+\alpha_{0} z+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{2}\right)
$$

And $\log z$ is integrable at $z=0$. We define:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime}(z)=g(z) \\
v(z)=e^{-z n}-1
\end{gathered} \rightarrow \begin{gathered}
u(z)=\int^{z} \mathrm{~d} w g(w) \\
v^{\prime}(z)=-n e^{-z n}
\end{gathered}
$$

So that:

$$
\int_{-\log a}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} z\left(e^{-z n}-1\right) g(z)=\left.\left(e^{-z n}-1\right) \int^{z} \mathrm{~d} w g(w)\right|_{-\log a} ^{+\infty}+n \int_{-\log a}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} z e^{-z n} \int^{z} \mathrm{~d} w g(w)
$$

## ( - We compute the constant terms:
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$$
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(1) We expand $\int^{z} \mathrm{~d} w g(w)$ up to order $p$ around $z=-\log a$ :

$$
\int^{z} \mathrm{~d} w g(w) \underset{z \rightarrow-\log a}{\sim} \sum_{\alpha+\beta \leq p} g_{\alpha, \beta} z^{\alpha}(z+\log a)^{\beta}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}, \beta \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \geq-1, g_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

- Finally, we integrate terms by terms, using the expansion above:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\log a}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} z e^{-z n} \sum_{\alpha+\beta \leq p} g_{\alpha, \beta} z^{\alpha}(z+\log a)^{\beta} & =\sum_{\alpha+\beta \leq p} g_{\alpha, \beta} \int_{-\log a}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} z e^{-z n} z^{\alpha}(z+\log a)^{\beta} \\
& =\sum_{\alpha+\beta \leq p} \frac{h_{\alpha, \beta}}{n^{\alpha+\beta}} \quad h_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

The full expansion is then:

$$
\tilde{M}_{a}[\tilde{f}(x)](n) \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{=} C_{2}-C_{1}+\sum_{\alpha+\beta \leq p} \frac{h_{\alpha, \beta}}{n^{\alpha+\beta}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{p+1}}\right)
$$

## Examples

First we load the package BinomialAsymptotics
<< "BinomialAsymptotics.m";

BinomialAsymptotics package by Nikolai Fadeev - © RISC - V 0.2 (November 2022)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i\binom{2 i}{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{i}\binom{2 j}{j}(-2)^{j}= & \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{(-2 x)^{n}-1}{x+\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{6 \sqrt{2} \sqrt{x+\frac{1}{8}}}\right) \\
& -\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{\left(\frac{x}{4}\right)^{n}-1}{(x-4)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}}
\end{aligned}
$$

asymptoticExpansionInt $\left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(-2 x)^{n}-1}{x+\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{6 \sqrt{2} \sqrt{x+\frac{1}{8}}}\right) d x-\frac{2}{3} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{4}\right)^{n}-1}{x-4} * \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}} d x, x, n, 4\right]$ $\frac{73(-1)^{n} 2^{3+n}}{6561 n^{4}}-\frac{5(-1)^{n} 2^{3+n}}{729 n^{3}}-\frac{7(-1)^{n} 2^{3+n}}{243 n^{2}}+\frac{(-1)^{n} 2^{4+n}}{27 n}-\frac{9565 \times 2^{-9-2 n} \sqrt{\pi}}{81 n^{7 / 2}}+$ $\frac{227 \times 2^{-6-2 n} \sqrt{\pi}}{27 n^{5 / 2}}-\frac{13 \times 2^{-2-2 n} \sqrt{\pi}}{27 n^{3 / 2}}+\frac{2^{1-2 n} \sqrt{\pi}}{9 \sqrt{n}}-\frac{2 \pi}{9 \sqrt{3}}+\frac{1}{27}(\sqrt{3} \pi-27 \log [3])$

## Examples

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{2^{-2 i}\binom{2 i}{i}}{i+n}=\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{x^{m}-1}{x-1} x^{n} B_{x}\left(\frac{1}{2}-n, \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad(n>0)
$$

$\frac{1}{n}+$ asymptoticExpansionInt $\left[-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x^{m}-1}{x-1} * x^{n} * \operatorname{Beta}\left[x, \frac{1}{2}-n, \frac{1}{2}\right] d x, x, m, 4\right.$, ComputeConstants $\rightarrow$ False $]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{m}}-\frac{(7+8 n) \sqrt{\pi}}{12 m^{3 / 2}}+\frac{\left(61+176 n+128 n^{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi}}{320 m^{5 / 2}}-\frac{\left(307+2936 n+5760 n^{2}+3072 n^{3}\right) \sqrt{\pi}}{10752 m^{7 / 2}}-\frac{(1+2 n) \sqrt{\pi} G a m m a\left[\frac{3}{2}-n\right]}{m(-1+2 n) G a m m a[1-n]}-\right. \\
& \frac{n(1+n) \times(1+2 n) \sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{Gamma}\left[\frac{3}{2}-n\right]}{3 m^{3}(-1+2 n) \operatorname{Gamma}[1-n]}+\frac{\left(1+6 n+6 n^{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{Gamma}\left[\frac{3}{2}-n\right]}{6 m^{2}(-1+2 n) \operatorname{Gamma}[1-n]}+\frac{\left(-1+30 n^{2}+60 n^{3}+30 n^{4}\right) \sqrt{\pi} G a m m a\left[\frac{3}{2}-n\right]}{60 m^{4}(-1+2 n) G a m m a[1-n]}-
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{(-1+2 n) \text { Gamma }[1-n]}\left(2 \text { EuLerGamma } \sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{Gamma}\left[\frac{3}{2}-n\right]+2 \sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{Gamma}\left[\frac{3}{2}-n\right] \log [m]-\right.
$$

$$
\operatorname{Gamma}[1-n] \lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{\left(e^{-z}\right)^{1+n} \operatorname{Beta}\left[\mathbb{e}^{-z}, \frac{1}{2}-n, \frac{1}{2}\right]}{-1+\mathbb{e}^{-z}} d \boldsymbol{z}+2 n \operatorname{Gamma}[1-n] \lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{\left(e^{-z}\right)^{1+n} \operatorname{Beta}\left[e^{-z}, \frac{1}{2}-n, \frac{1}{2}\right]}{-1+\mathbb{e}^{-z}} d l z-
$$

$$
\text { Gamma }[1-n] \operatorname{Limit}\left[\left(-1+\mathbb{e}^{-m z}\right) \int \frac{\left(\mathbb{e}^{-z}\right)^{1+n} \operatorname{Beta}\left[e^{-z}, \frac{1}{2}-n, \frac{1}{2}\right]}{-1+\mathbb{e}^{-z}} d z, z \rightarrow 0, \text { Assumptions } \rightarrow m \in \mathbb{Z} \& \& m>0\right]+
$$

$$
\left.2 n \text { Gamma }[1-n] \operatorname{Limit}\left[\left(-1+e^{-m z}\right) \int \frac{\left(e^{-z}\right)^{1+n} \operatorname{Beta}\left[e^{-z}, \frac{1}{2}-n, \frac{1}{2}\right]}{-1+e^{-z}} d z, z \rightarrow 0 \text {, Assumptions } \rightarrow m \in \mathbb{Z} \& \& m>0\right]\right)
$$

- This expression can be simplified as, given that $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\star}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{2^{-2 i}\binom{2 i}{i}}{i+n}= & -\frac{C_{1}(n)}{\pi}-\frac{C_{2}(n)}{\pi}+\frac{3072 n^{3}+5760 n^{2}+2936 n+307}{10752 \sqrt{\pi} m^{7 / 2}} \\
& +\frac{-128 n^{2}-176 n-61}{320 \sqrt{\pi} m^{5 / 2}}+\frac{8 n+7}{12 \sqrt{\pi} m^{3 / 2}}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{m}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m^{9 / 2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- We have two constants coming from the integration by parts that need to be computed (in this case by hand)

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{1}(n)=\lim _{z \rightarrow 0}\left(e^{-m z}-1\right) \int^{z} \mathrm{~d} w \frac{e^{-w(n+1)} B_{e^{-w}\left(\frac{1}{2}-n, \frac{1}{2}\right)}^{e^{-w}-1}=0}{C_{2}(n)=-\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} \int^{z} \mathrm{~d} w \frac{e^{-w(n+1)} B_{e^{-w}}\left(\frac{1}{2}-n, \frac{1}{2}\right)}{e^{-w}-1}}=\$=\$ \text {, }
\end{gathered}
$$

$\rightarrow$ For the second constant, the expression doesn't simplify for arbitrary $n$, one can compute its numerical value up to arbitrary precision by specialisin, e.g. for $n=3$ and 100 digits:
$C_{2}(n=3)=-2.303834612632515041539271814404968781744590892875069790$ 191439694228291643457080788534870797547557879

- This expression can be simplified as, given that $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\star}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{2^{-2 i}\binom{2 i}{i}}{i+n}= & -\frac{C_{1}(n)}{\pi}-\frac{C_{2}(n)}{\pi}+\frac{3072 n^{3}+5760 n^{2}+2936 n+307}{10752 \sqrt{\pi} m^{7 / 2}} \\
& +\frac{-128 n^{2}-176 n-61}{320 \sqrt{\pi} m^{5 / 2}}+\frac{8 n+7}{12 \sqrt{\pi} m^{3 / 2}}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{m}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m^{9 / 2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- We have two constants coming from the integration by parts that need to be computed (in this case by hand)

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{1}(n)=\lim _{z \rightarrow 0}\left(e^{-m z}-1\right) \int^{z} \mathrm{~d} w \frac{e^{-w(n+1)} B_{e^{-w}\left(\frac{1}{2}-n, \frac{1}{2}\right)}^{e^{-w}-1}=0}{C_{2}(n)=-\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} \int^{z} \mathrm{~d} w \frac{e^{-w(n+1)} B_{e^{-w}}\left(\frac{1}{2}-n, \frac{1}{2}\right)}{e^{-w}-1}}=\$=\$ \text {, }
\end{gathered}
$$

- For the second constant, the expression doesn't simplify for arbitrary $n$, one can compute its numerical value up to arbitrary precision by specialisin, e.g. for $n=3$ and 100 digits:
$C_{2}(n=3)=-2.303834612632515041539271814404968781744590892875069790$ 191439694228291643457080788534870797547557879

$$
C_{2}(n=1)=-\pi
$$

## Conclusion

- All three methods have been implemented in a package that can compute asymptotic expansions in many cases of depth $d=1$ and some cases of depth $d=2$
- Some flexibility options are given: constants can be attempted to computed or not, time limitation
- Computations of the new constants is highly non-trivial and makes the algorithm get stuck: structure of binomial sums needs to be explored further (building a basis of binomial sums, unicity of root alphabet/relation between letters,...)
- Mathematica errors, technical improvements for package... work in progress!
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Consider the general sum

$$
B S_{\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}}(n):=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} a_{1}\left(i_{1}\right) \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{i_{1}} a_{2}\left(i_{2}\right) \cdots \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{i_{k-1}} a_{k}\left(i_{k}\right)
$$

We proceed outwards from the innermost sum:

- At step $1 \leq j<k$, suppose that we managed to represent

$$
\begin{equation*}
B S_{\left\{a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}}\left(i_{j}\right)=c_{0, j}+\sum_{p=1}^{k_{j}} c_{p, j+1}^{i_{j}} M\left[f_{p, j+1}(x)\right]\left(i_{j}\right), c_{0, j+1}, c_{p, j+1} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Compute an integral representation of the building block $a_{j}\left(i_{j}\right)$, possibly using Mellin convolutions

$$
M[f(x) * g(x)](n)=M[f(x)](n) \cdot M[g(x)](n)
$$

In order to obtain an expression

Consider the general sum
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B S_{\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}}(n):=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} a_{1}\left(i_{1}\right) \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{i_{1}} a_{2}\left(i_{2}\right) \cdots \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{i_{k-1}} a_{k}\left(i_{k}\right)
$$

We proceed outwards from the innermost sum:

- At step $1 \leq j<k$, suppose that we managed to represent

$$
\begin{equation*}
B S_{\left\{a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}}\left(i_{j}\right)=c_{0, j}+\sum_{p=1}^{k_{j}} c_{p, j+1}^{i_{j}} M\left[f_{p, j+1}(x)\right]\left(i_{j}\right), c_{0, j+1}, c_{p, j+1} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Compute an integral representation of the building block $a_{j}\left(i_{j}\right)$, possibly using Mellin convolutions

$$
M[f(x) * g(x)](n)=M[f(x)](n) \cdot M[g(x)](n)
$$

In order to obtain an expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}\left(i_{j}\right)=c_{0, i_{j}}+\sum_{p=1}^{k_{i_{j}}} c_{p, i_{j}}^{i_{j}} M\left[f_{p, i_{j}}(x)\right]\left(i_{j}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Convolve $B S_{\left\{a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}}\left(i_{j}\right)$ with $a_{j}\left(i_{j}\right)$ to obtain again a sum Use the summation formula below to resum and obtain an integral representation for $B S_{\left\{a_{j}, a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}}\left(i_{j-1}\right)$ :

- Convolve $B S_{\left\{a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}}\left(i_{j}\right)$ with $a_{j}\left(i_{j}\right)$ to obtain again a sum
- Use the summation formula below to resum and obtain an integral representation for $B S_{\left\{a_{j}, a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}}\left(i_{j-1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} c^{i} M[f(x)](i)=c^{n} M\left[\frac{x}{x-\frac{1}{c}} f(x)\right](n)-M\left[\frac{x}{x-\frac{1}{c}} f(x)\right](0) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Iterate until the outermost sum has been processed
- Convolve $B S_{\left\{a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}}\left(i_{j}\right)$ with $a_{j}\left(i_{j}\right)$ to obtain again a sum
- Use the summation formula below to resum and obtain an integral representation for $B S_{\left\{a_{j}, a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}}\left(i_{j-1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} c^{i} M[f(x)](i)=c^{n} M\left[\frac{x}{x-\frac{1}{c}} f(x)\right](n)-M\left[\frac{x}{x-\frac{1}{c}} f(x)\right](0) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Iterate until the outermost sum has been processed


## Notes:

- These Mellin representation will involve general polylogarithms

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\emptyset}^{*}(x):=1, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t}), \vec{c}(\mathrm{t})}^{*}(x)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}, \vec{c}}^{*}(x):=\int_{x}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t b(t) \mathrm{H}_{\vec{c}}^{*}(t)
$$

Defined over a 37 letter alphabet $\left\{f_{0}, f_{w_{32}}\right\}$ containing root singularities such that all iterated integrals are linearly independent over the algebraic functions

- One can actually derive identities that allow to rewrite many convolution integrals in a direct way [2]


## Example:

$$
B S(n)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{2 i}{i} S_{2}(i)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{2 i}{i} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{1}{i^{2}}
$$

- First we compute the Mellin representation of $\frac{1}{i^{2}}$ by convolving $\frac{1}{i}=M\left[\frac{1}{x}\right](i)$ with itself. We get:

$$
\frac{1}{i^{2}}=M\left[\frac{1}{x}\right](i) \cdot M\left[\frac{1}{x}\right](i)=M\left[\frac{1}{x} * \frac{1}{x}\right](i)=M\left[\frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x)}{x}\right](i)
$$

Where

$$
\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x):=\int_{x}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t f_{0}(t)=\int_{x}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t \frac{1}{t}=-\log x
$$

- Using the summation formula (5), we can then obtain:

$$
S_{2}(i)=\sum_{k=1}^{i} M\left[\frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x)}{x}\right](i)=\underbrace{\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x x^{i} \frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x)}{x-1}}_{M\left[\frac{x}{x-1} \frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x)}{x}\right](i)}-\underbrace{\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x)}{x-1}}_{M\left[\frac{x}{x-1} \frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x)}{x}\right](0)}=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x x^{i} \frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x)}{x-1}+\zeta_{2}
$$

- Now that the innermost sum has as integral representation, we shift to the next and last level. First, one can show (e.g. direct integration) that:



## So that



- Using the summation formula (5), we can then obtain:

$$
S_{2}(i)=\sum_{k=1}^{i} M\left[\frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x)}{x}\right](i)=\underbrace{\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x x^{i} \frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x)}{x-1}}_{M\left[\frac{x}{x-1} \frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x)}{x}\right](i)}-\underbrace{\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x)}{x-1}}_{M\left[\frac{x}{x-1} \frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x)}{x}\right](0)}=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x x^{i} \frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x)}{x-1}+\zeta_{2}
$$

- Now that the innermost sum has as integral representation, we shift to the next and last level. First, one can show (e.g. direct integration) that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{2 i}{i}=\frac{4^{i}}{\pi} M\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}\right] \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\binom{2 i}{i} S_{2}(i)=\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 4^{i} M\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}\right](i) \cdot M\left[\frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x)}{x-1}\right](i)+\frac{\zeta_{2}}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{k} 4^{i} M\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}\right]
$$

- We apply again the summation formula to obtain first the second part:

$$
\frac{\zeta_{2}}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{k} 4^{i} M\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}\right](i)=\frac{\zeta_{2}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{(4 x)^{n}-1}{x-\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\frac{x}{1-x}}
$$

- Then we switch to the first part of the binomial and convolve the functions:

- We apply again the summation formula to obtain first the second part:

$$
\frac{\zeta_{2}}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{k} 4^{i} M\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}\right](i)=\frac{\zeta_{2}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{(4 x)^{n}-1}{x-\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\frac{x}{1-x}}
$$

- Then we switch to the first part of the binomial and convolve the functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}\right](i) \cdot M\left[\frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x)}{x-1}\right](i)=M\left[\int_{x}^{1} \mathrm{~d} y \frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(y)}{(y-1) \sqrt{y-x}}\right] \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

- A set of several "rule-theorems" have been proven in [2] to simplify further such expressions. One of them allows us to get:
- We apply again the summation formula to obtain first the second part:

$$
\frac{\zeta_{2}}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{k} 4^{i} M\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}\right](i)=\frac{\zeta_{2}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{(4 x)^{n}-1}{x-\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\frac{x}{1-x}}
$$

- Then we switch to the first part of the binomial and convolve the functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}\right](i) \cdot M\left[\frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(x)}{x-1}\right](i)=M\left[\int_{x}^{1} \mathrm{~d} y \frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(y)}{(y-1) \sqrt{y-x}}\right] \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

- A set of several "rule-theorems" have been proven in [2] to simplify further such expressions. One of them allows us to get:

$$
\int_{x}^{1} \mathrm{~d} y \frac{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}(y)}{(y-1) \sqrt{y-x}}=\frac{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{w}_{1}}^{*}(x)}{\sqrt{x-1}}, f_{b}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{x(x-1)}}, f_{w_{1}}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}
$$

- Using the shuffle algebra, we can reduce the expression down to:

$$
M\left[\frac{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{w}_{1}}^{*}(x)}{\sqrt{x(x-1)}}\right](i)=-M\left[\frac{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}_{1}}^{*}(x)^{2}}{2 \sqrt{x(1-x)}}\right](i)
$$

$\rightarrow$ Finally, using once again the summation formula we get:


And resumming everything, we get:


- Using the shuffle algebra, we can reduce the expression down to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left[\frac{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{w}}}^{*}(x)}{\sqrt{x(x-1)}}\right](i)=-M\left[\frac{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}_{1}}^{*}(x)^{2}}{2 \sqrt{x(1-x)}}\right] \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, using once again the summation formula we get:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} 4^{i} M\left[\frac{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}_{1}}^{*}(x)^{2}}{2 \sqrt{x(1-x)}}\right](i)=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{(4 x)^{n}-1}{x-\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\frac{x}{1-x}} \frac{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}_{1}}^{*}(x)^{2}}{2}
$$

And resumming everything, we get:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\binom{2 i}{i} S_{2}(i)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{(4 x)^{n}-1}{x-\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\frac{x}{1-x}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}_{1}}^{*}(x)^{2}}{2}-\zeta_{2}\right)
$$

- Remaining case: $a<-1$ and $f$ not regular enough at $x=1$ : $\rightarrow \frac{1}{x-\frac{1}{a}}$ is not divergent on $(0 ; 1)$, but the radius of convergence is still less than one, so geometric series approach doesn't apply.
- We simply use the change of variable approach with splitting of the integral this time

Note: This method works also when $a=1$ and $f(x) \underset{x \rightarrow 1}{\sim}(x-1)^{\alpha}, \alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$
We now consider a Mellin integral of the form:

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{(-a x)^{n}-1}{x+\frac{1}{a}} f(x), a>1
$$

Hypothesis: $\tilde{f}(x)=\frac{f(x)}{x+\frac{1}{a}}$ integrable on $[0 ; 1]$
(1) Split the Mellin integral, factor out the $(-a)^{n}$, and compute the constant part:

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{(-a x)^{n}-1}{x+\frac{1}{a}} f(x)=(-a)^{n} M\left[\frac{f(x)}{x+\frac{1}{2}}\right](n)-\underbrace{M\left[\frac{f(x)}{x+\frac{1}{a}}\right](0)}_{=: C}
$$

## And end up with:

(1) Split the Mellin integral, factor out the $(-a)^{n}$, and compute the constant part:

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \frac{(-a x)^{n}-1}{x+\frac{1}{a}} f(x)=(-a)^{n} M\left[\frac{f(x)}{x+\frac{1}{2}}\right](n)-\underbrace{M\left[\frac{f(x)}{x+\frac{1}{a}}\right](0)}_{=: C}
$$

(2) In $M\left[\frac{f(x)}{x+\frac{1}{a}}\right](n)$, we make the original change of variable appearing in [2]:

$$
x=e^{-z} \mathrm{~d} x=-e^{-z} \mathrm{~d} z
$$

And end up with:

$$
M\left[\frac{f(x)}{x+\frac{1}{2}}\right](n)=(-a)^{n} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} z e^{-z n} \underbrace{\frac{e^{-z}}{e^{-z}+1} f\left(e^{-z}\right)}_{=: g(z)}
$$

(0) We expand $g(z)$ around $z=0$ up to the order $p$ :

$$
g(z) \underset{z \rightarrow 0}{=} \sum_{\alpha \leq p} g_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{\alpha+1}\right), \quad \alpha \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq-1}, \quad g_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

- Finally we integrate $M\left[\frac{f(x)}{x+\frac{1}{2}}\right](n)$ using the expansion above, and adding the constant and the $(-a)^{n}$ coefficient back,
(0) We expand $g(z)$ around $z=0$ up to the order $p$ :

$$
g(z) \underset{z \rightarrow 0}{=} \sum_{\alpha \leq p} g_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{\alpha+1}\right), \quad \alpha \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \geq-1, g_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

- Finally we integrate $M\left[\frac{f(x)}{x+\frac{1}{2}}\right](n)$ using the expansion above, and adding the constant and the $(-a)^{n}$ coefficient back,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{M}_{-a}[f(x)](n) & =(-a)^{n} \sum_{\alpha \leq p} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} z e^{-z n} g_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}-C \\
& =(-a)^{n} \sum_{\alpha \leq p} \frac{h_{\alpha}}{n^{\alpha}}-C, \quad h_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$
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