Constructing Orthogonal Designs in Powers of Two via Symbolic Computation and Rewriting Techniques

Ilias Kotsireas · Temur Kutsia · Dimitris E. Simos*

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract In the past few decades, design theory has grown to encompass a wide variety of research directions. It comes as no surprise that applications in coding theory and communications continue to arise, and also that designs have found applications in new areas. Computer science has provided a new source of applications of designs, and simultaneously a field of new and challenging problems in design theory. In this paper, we revisit a construction for orthogonal designs using the multiplication tables of Cayley-Dixon algebras of dimension 2^n . The desired orthogonal designs can be described by a system of equations with the aid of a Gröbner basis computation. For orders greater than 16 the combinatorial explosion of the problem gives rise to equations that are unfeasible to be handled by traditional search algorithms. However, the structural properties of the designs make this problem possible to be tackled in terms of rewriting techniques, by equational unification. We establish connections between central concepts of design theory and equational unification where equivalence operations of designs point to the computation of a minimal complete set of unifiers. These connections make viable the computation of some types of orthogonal designs that have not been found before with the aforementioned algebraic modeling.

Keywords Orthogonal designs · unification theory · algorithms · Gröbner bases

Ilias Kotsireas

Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

E-mail: ikotsire@wlu.ca

Temur Kutsia

RISC, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria

 $\hbox{E-mail: kutsia@risc.jku.at}$

Dimitris E. Simos SBA Research, Austria

E-mail: DSimos@sba-research.org

 $^{^\}star$ Corresponding author. E-mail: DSimos@sba-research.org

1 Introduction

Orthogonal designs are an important class of combinatorial designs. They are of great interest in applications for wireless communication [19] and in statistics [12]. Even though there exist many combinatorial constructions for orthogonal designs [6], [14], the ones that originate from Cayley-Dixon algebras [7,8] have not been explored enough. In particular, as we exemplify in this work, these algebras can provide a general framework for obtaining orthogonal designs for powers of two. Designs in these orders are also of theoretical interest due to their connection to the asymptotic existence of orthogonal designs [6], [14].

Contribution. In this paper, after revisiting past methods, we model orthogonal design problems as polynomial solving problems that can further be formulated in terms of equational unification. In particular, the Cayley-Dixon formulation gives rise to a polynomial system of equations of a specific form, that due to its size cannot be handled by traditional search algorithms. By establishing and proving connections between central concepts of the theory of orthogonal designs and equational unification, we are able to completely tackle these systems of equations, where each solution of them gives rise to an orthogonal design. The efficiency of the unification algorithms needed to solve the corresponding orthogonal design problems is evident also by the fact that we found some types of orthogonal designs, that were not known before with this algebraic modeling of Cayley-Dixon algebras. Our approach not only reports the orthogonal designs, but also constructs the corresponding design matrices. In this way, we always give a constructive solution to the problem which is not always the case with other approaches used in design theory as we explain in the last section. Last, we would like to emphasize the novel connections we established between base orthogonal designs, a notion introduced in this paper, and minimal complete sets of unifiers, as a means to advance the knowledge in the field of design theory (orthogonal design equivalence among other topics) and also benefit from the algorithmic notions of unification theory as we applied them in this paper.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we give some details regarding orthogonal designs and list some of their applications. Afterwards, in Section 3 we detail the algebraic framework for constructing orthogonal designs via computation algebra where we also introduce some new terms for designs. Some first connections with unification theory are also shown. In the subsequent section we give some basic notions of unification theory while in Section 5 we establish additional connections of designs with concepts of unification theory that allow us to formulate orthogonal design problems as unification problems. In Section 6 we describe the unification algorithms we developed for solving the unification problems and in the last section, we translate the solutions obtained via unifiers back to orthogonal designs.

We also provide the input matrices and polynomials in the accompanying data set.

This paper is an extended and improved version of [9].

2 Orthogonal Designs

In this section, we give some details regarding orthogonal designs. We provide the necessary definitions and related concepts that will be needed for our approach and list also some applications of orthogonal designs that are of broader interest.

2.1 Definitions and Related Concepts

An orthogonal design of order n and type (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_u) $(s_i > 0)$, denoted by $OD(n; s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_u)$, on the commuting variables x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_u , is an $n \times n$ matrix D with entries from $\{0, \pm x_1, \pm x_2, \ldots, \pm x_u\}$ such that

$$DD^T = \left(\sum_{i=1}^u s_i x_i^2\right) I_n,$$

where D^T is the transpose of D and I_n is the identity matrix of order n. Alternatively, the rows of D are formally pairwise orthogonal and each row has precisely s_i entries of the type $\pm x_i$. The design matrix D may be considered as a matrix with entries in the field of quotients of the integral domain $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_u]$. In [5], where this was first defined, it was mentioned that

$$D^T D = \left(\sum_{i=1}^u s_i x_i^2\right) I_n$$

and so our alternative description of D applies equally well to the columns of D. It was also shown in [5] that $u \leq \rho(n)$, where $\rho(n)$ (Radon's function) is defined by $\rho(n) = 8c + 2^d$, when $n = 2^a b$, b odd, a = 4c + d, $0 \leq d < 4$. D will be called a full orthogonal design, if $n = s_1 + s_2 + \ldots + s_u$. Due to the Equating-Killing Lemma, given below, which is of central importance in the theory of Orthogonal Designs, one is interested in full orthogonal designs.

Lemma 1 (The Equating and Killing Lemma, [6]) Let $OD(n; s_1, s_2, ..., s_u)$ be an orthogonal design on the commuting variables $\{0, \pm x_1, \pm x_2, ..., \pm x_u\}$. Then there exist an orthogonal design:

- (i) $OD(n; s_1, s_2, ..., s_i + s_j, ..., s_u)$ $(s_i = s_j, equating variables)$
- (ii) $OD(n; s_1, s_2, ..., s_{j-1}, s_{j+1}, ..., s_u)$ $(s_j = 0, killing variables)$

on the u-1 commuting variables $\{0, \pm x_1, \pm x_2, \dots, \pm x_{j-1}, \pm x_{j+1}, \dots, \pm x_u\}$.

We also list the Doubling Lemma, which will be needed in the last section of the paper.

Lemma 2 (The Doubling Lemma, [6]) If there exists an orthogonal design of order n and type (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_u) , then there exist orthogonal designs of type:

- (i) $(e_1s_1, e_2s_2, \dots, e_us_u)$ where $e_i = 1$ or 2,
- (ii) $(s_1, s_1, f s_2, \dots, f s_u)$ where f = 1 or 2.

Example 1 We give an example of some small orthogonal designs, and how we can obtain one from another due to Lemma 1 and related equivalence operations.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 - x_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$OD(2; 1, 1)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 - x_2 - x_3 - x_4 \\ x_2 & x_1 - x_4 & x_3 \\ x_3 & x_4 & x_1 - x_2 \\ x_4 - x_3 & x_2 & x_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - x_2 & x_2 - x_4 \\ x_2 & x_1 - x_4 - x_2 \\ -x_2 & x_4 & x_1 - x_2 \\ x_4 & x_2 & x_2 & x_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 - x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 & 0 & x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 & 0 \\ 0 - x_3 & 0 & x_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$OD(4; 1, 1, 1, 1) \qquad OD(4; 1, 1, 2) \qquad OD(4; 1, 1)$$

- OD(4;1,1,2) can be obtained from OD(4;1,1,1,1) by setting $x_3 = -x_2$ in its design matrix.
- OD(4;1,1) can be obtained from OD(4;1,1,1,1) by setting $x_2=x_4=0$ in its design matrix.

It is important to note here that in the first case, the transformation is composed by the equating operation of the Equating and Killing Lemma and also changing the sign of the variable. The last operation leaves invariant the type of the design, however changes the design matrix. We describe more formally equivalence of orthogonal designs taken from [17] and [22].

Given two designs D_1 and D_2 of the same order, we say that D_2 is a *variant* of D_1 , if it is obtained from D_1 by the following operations, performed in any order and any number of times:

- 1. Multiply one row (one column) by -1.
- 2. Swap two rows (columns).
- 3. Rename or negate a variable throughout the design.

By renaming a variable here we mean either rename it by a fresh name, or permute existing variable names. Then it is easy to prove that the relation of being a variant is an equivalence relation. Below we write $D_1 \simeq D_2$ to express this fact. Note also that if $D_1 \simeq D_2$, then D_1 and D_2 have the same type. This follows directly from the definition of orthogonal design.

The general discussion of equivalence of orthogonal designs is very difficult because of the lack of a nice canonical form. It also means that it is quite difficult to decide whether or not two given orthogonal designs of the same order are equivalent. To the best of our knowledge, there has been little effort contributing to this point. In [17], where the above mentioned notion of equivalence was first introduced, some designs for small orders have been classified by hand.

The approach proposed in this paper, besides providing a systematic search method for orthogonal designs in order of powers of two, also exhibits some interesting connections between the Equating and Killing Lemma and equivalence of orthogonal designs on the one hand, and fundamental concepts of unification theory such as subsumption and equi-generality on the other hand, as we can see below in Section 5.

2.2 Applications of Orthogonal Designs

We give some references to works describing applications of orthogonal designs. We do not aim to provide a comprehensive, or by all means complete, treatment of the subject, as this is not the purpose of the present paper. We are merely interested in giving a flavor of the many different application areas involved, in order to exhibit that while orthogonal designs are specialized types of combinatorial structures their applications are of a broader interest.

As first noted in [12], orthogonal designs are used in statistics where they generate optimal statistical designs used in weighing experiments. A special case of orthogonal designs, the so called *Hadamard matrices* play an important role also in coding theory where they have been used to generate the so called Hadamard codes [11], i.e. error-correcting codes that correct the maximum number of errors. It is worthwhile to note that, a Hadamard code was used during the 1971 space probe Mariner 9 mission by NASA to correct for picture transmission error. The Mariner 9 mission and the Coding Theory used in that project are the subjects of [13] and [20]. Recently, complex orthogonal designs were used in [19] to generate space-time block codes, a relatively new paradigm for communication over Rayleigh fading channels using multiple transmit antennas. In this case, the orthogonal structure of the space-time block code derived by the orthogonal design gives a maximum-likelihood decoding algorithm which is based only on linear processing at the receiver.

Orthogonal designs are also used in telecommunications where they generate sequences used in digital communications and in optics for the improvement of the quality and resolution of image scanners. More details, regarding their applications in communications and signal/image processing can be found in [21,6,16].

3 Orthogonal Designs via Computational Algebra

In this section, we revisit a construction for orthogonal designs based on the multiplication tables of algebras of order n. These multiplication tables are used to construct right multiplication matrices that in the remainder of this paper are used to construct orthogonal designs. Using the right multiplication operator is a way to overcome the obstacle of non-associativity of the algebra. Non-associativity is an obstacle, because it is incompatible with the existence of matrix representations, that we could use directly to construct orthogonal designs. To circumvent this obstacle we use the right multiplication operator, as it seems that left multiplication is not suitable for our purposes.

First, we give an account of the classical Williamson construction for orthogonal designs [2], from the point of view of quaternions, following Baumert and Hall to be able to use it as reference in subsequent constructions.

A basis for quaternions is given by the four elements 1, i, j, k, having the properties

$$i^{2} = -1, j^{2} = -1, k^{2} = -1,$$

 $ij = k, \quad ji = -k, ik = -j,$
 $ki = j, \quad jk = i, \quad kj = -i.$
(1)

These properties are enough to specify the full multiplication table for the four basis elements. We note that quaternion multiplication is not commutative.

To associate a 4×4 matrix to each basis element, we use the right multiplication operator on the column vector $v=\begin{bmatrix}1 & i & j & k\end{bmatrix}^t$. In particular, we perform (elementwise) the four right multiplications $v\cdot 1,\ v\cdot i,\ v\cdot j,\ v\cdot k$, which give the corresponding four results:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdot 1 \\ i \cdot 1 \\ j \cdot 1 \\ k \cdot 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdot i \\ i \cdot i \\ j \cdot i \\ k \cdot i \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdot j \\ i \cdot j \\ j \cdot j \\ k \cdot j \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdot k \\ i \cdot k \\ j \cdot k \\ k \cdot k \end{bmatrix}$$

and subsequently we apply the quaternionic properties (1) to simplify these into:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\i\\j\\k \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} i\\-1\\-k\\j \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} j\\k\\-1\\-i \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} k\\-j\\i\\-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The next step is to express each of the 16 elements in the previous four simplified column matrices as a linear combination of the quaternionic basis elements 1, i, j, k and this gives rise to the following four 4×4 matrices respectively:

$$Q_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad Q_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$Q_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad Q_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let x, y, z, u be commuting variables. Then the sum

$$xQ_1 + yQ_2 + zQ_3 + uQ_4$$

is equal to the classical Williamson array

$$H_4 = \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z & u \\ -y & x & -u & z \\ -z & u & x & -y \\ -u & -z & y & x \end{pmatrix}$$

which has the property

$$H_4H_4^T = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + u^2)I_4.$$

The matrix H is the design matrix of an OD(4; 1, 1, 1, 1).

The Cayley-Dixon process allows us to obtain an algebra of dimension 2n from an algebra of dimension n, see [4]. One limitation of this process is that it restricts our method to study ODs in powers of two. By applying the Cayley-Dixon process

successively to the algebras of quaternions we get octonions and sedenions [7]. Repeating the Cayley-Dixon process to the algebra of sedenions one obtains a Cayley-Dixon algebra of dimension 32 and doing the same for the latter algebra we can obtain a Cayley-Dixon algebra of order 64 [8]. Finally, we would like to mention that the algebras of orthogonal designs are Clifford algebras [15].

3.1 Cayley-Dixon Orthogonal Designs

It is important to note that the Cayley-Dixon process essentially constructs the multiplication tables we need to model orthogonal designs. Now we describe a generic formulation of the algebraic modeling with multiplication tables of appropriate Cayley-Dixon algebras of order n to obtain orthogonal designs of order n

Take a Cayley-Dixon algebra of dimension n with the basis $e_0=1,e_1,\ldots,e_{n-1}$. To associate an $n\times n$ matrix to each basis element, we use the right multiplication operator on the column vector $v=\begin{bmatrix}1&e_1&\ldots&e_{n-1}\end{bmatrix}^t$, where with y^t we denote the transposed vector of a row vector y. Then the n right multiplications $v\cdot e_0,\ v\cdot e_1,\ \ldots,\ v\cdot e_{n-1}$ give rise to n matrices Q_0,\ldots,Q_{n-1} of order n by a straightforward generalization of the procedure described earlier in Section 3. Let x_1,\ldots,x_n be

commuting variables. Then the sum $A = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{i+1}Q_i$ is equal to an $n \times n$ matrix

with the property that the diagonal elements of AA^T are all equal to $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$, but

whose other elements are not necessarily all zero. Hence, A, in general, is not a design matrix. We call it a *candidate matrix*.

By requiring that all elements of AA^T (except the diagonal ones) are equal to zero, we obtain a polynomial system of equations in the set of variables $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. We define this problem as the *Cayley-Dixon orthogonal design problem* for A and denote it by CDDDP(A). (We also say that the problem is of order n when A is of order n.) The idea is that its solutions should give an instance of A, which will be an orthogonal design matrix.

To represent solutions, we introduce a special kind of mapping that we call substitution mapping or, simply, a substitution. Formally, a substitution from a set S_1 to a set $S_2 \supseteq S_1$ is a mapping from S_1 to S_2 which is identity almost everywhere. We use lower case Greek letters to denote them. The identity substitution is denoted by ε . The domain and the range of a substitution σ are defined, respectively, as $dom(\sigma) := \{u \mid u \in S_1, u \neq \sigma(u)\}$ and $ran(\sigma) := \bigcup_{u \in dom(\sigma)} \{\sigma(u)\}$. A substitution, usually, is represented as a function by a finite set of bindings of elements in its domain. For instance, a substitution σ is represented as $\{u \mapsto \sigma(u) \mid u \in dom(\sigma)\}$.

It is important to highlight that we seek solutions of Cayley-Dixon orthogonal design problems in an endomorphic form, i.e., substitutions from a set S to itself. For $\mathtt{CDODP}(A)$ of order n, this set is $\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$. Moreover, the domain and the range of such substitutions should be disjoint, i.e., the substitutions should be idempotent. These requirements are justified by the following:

- Mapping of variables x_i to variables x_j , for $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$, is due to the fact that we force the matrix A to become an orthogonal design and, by definition, the diagonal elements give rise to a quadratic form that is a sum of squares.

- In particular, if several variables map to the same variable, it is the analogue of the equating operation of the Equating-Killing Lemma for orthogonal designs for the equations that are produced by the algebraic modeling. It is clear from the context that equating variables in the polynomial system of equations is the same as equating variables in the design matrix representation.

Theorem 1 Let $n = 2^m$ for some m > 0 and A be a candidate matrix of order n. For any endomorphic idempotent solution of CDODP(A) there exists an orthogonal design of order n.

Proof Let σ be an endomorphic idempotent solution of CDODP(A) over the set of variables $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. From σ , we associate with each x_i a number s_i as follows:

- If $x_i \in dom(\sigma)$ and $x_i \notin ran(\sigma)$, then $s_i = 0$.
- If $x_i \notin dom(\sigma)$ and $x_i \notin ran(\sigma)$, then $s_i = 1$.
- If $x_i \notin dom(\sigma)$ and $x_i \in ran(\sigma)$, then $s_i = m + 1$, where m is the number of variables that map to x_i by σ .

(Since σ is idempotent, $dom(\sigma) \cap ran(\sigma) = \emptyset$ and we can not have the forth alternative.)

Let D be the matrix obtained from A by replacing each x_i , $1 \le i \le n$, with $\sigma(x_i)$ in it. Let $\{l_1, \ldots, l_k\}$ be the maximal subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $s_{l_i} \ne 0$

for each $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then the matrix D has the property $DD^T = (\sum_{j=1}^k s_{l_j} x_{l_j}^2) I_n$,

which implies that it is an orthogonal design of order n. Its type is $(s_{l_1}, \ldots, s_{l_k})$.

The orthogonal designs whose existence is proved in this theorem are called Cayley-Dixon orthogonal designs generated from A. When it does not cause confusion, we drop the explicit reference to A.

Given a candidate matrix A and an endomorphic idempotent solution σ of $\mathtt{CDODP}(A)$, we denote by $\mathtt{CDOD}(A,\sigma)$ the unique Cayley-Dixon orthogonal design generated from A by σ , as it has been (uniquely) constructed in the proof of Theorem 1.

It is important to note that the Cayley-Dixon orthogonal design problem is instantiated for orders of power of two, since in these orders we are able to construct the multiplication tables of the respective algebras by using successively the Cayley-Dixon process on the construction of designs via quaternions of [2]. We are interested in Cayley-Dixon orthogonal designs in orders 16, 32, 64, and 128:

- CDODP16: An instance of the CDODP(A) problem for the candidate matrix A of order 16, consisting of a polynomial system of 42 equations in 14 variables.
- CDODP32: An instance of the CDODP(A) problem for the candidate matrix A of order 32, consisting of a polynomial system of 252 equations in 30 variables.
- CDODP64: An instance of the CDODP(A) problem for the candidate matrix A of order 64, consisting of a polynomial system of 1182 equations in 62 variables.
- CDODP128: An instance of the CDODP(A) problem for the candidate matrix A of order 128, consisting of a polynomial system of 5088 equations in 126 variables.

For example, the candidate matrix A of order 16 is shown in Fig. 1, and the corresponding problem of polynomial equations $\mathtt{CDODP}(A)$ in Fig. 2. Matrices and polynomials of the other orders can be found in the accompanying data set.

```
x_{10} x_{11} x_{12} x_{13} x_{14} x_{15} x_{16}
                                                                             x_9 x_{12} -x_{11} x_{14} -x_{13} -x_{16} x_{15}
                                                             x_6 - x_{11} - x_{12}
                                                                                     x_9 \quad x_{10} \quad x_{15} \quad x_{16} - x_{13} - x_{14}
                                                             x_5 - x_{12} \quad x_{11} - x_{10}
                                                                                           x_9 \quad x_{16} - x_{15} \quad x_{14} - x_{13}
                                                   -x_3 -x_4 -x_{13} -x_{14} -x_{15} -x_{16} x_9 x_{10} x_{11} x_{12}
                                                     x_4 -x_3 -x_{14} x_{13} -x_{16} x_{15} -x_{10}
                                  x_{13} x_{14} x_{15}
 -x_{11} -x_{12} -x_{9} x_{10} x_{15} x_{16} -x_{13} -x_{14}
                                                                     x_3 - x_4
 -x_{12} x_{11} -x_{10} -x_{9} x_{16} -x_{15} x_{14} -x_{13}
                                                                     x_4
                                                                             x_3
 -x_{14} x_{13} -x_{16} x_{15} -x_{10} -x_{9} -x_{12} x_{11}
 -x_{15} x_{16} x_{13} -x_{14} -x_{11} x_{12} -x_{9} -x_{10}
\begin{bmatrix} -x_{16} & -x_{15} & x_{14} & x_{13} & -x_{12} & -x_{11} & x_{10} & -x_{9} \end{bmatrix}
```

Fig. 1 The candidate matrix of order 16 over the variables x_1, \ldots, x_{16} .

We emphasize here the computational difficulty of retrieving all endomorphic solutions of the previous four problems. Some of them have been reported in [7] (two solutions for order 16) and in [8] (one solution for order 32 and two solutions for order 64). We have tried to use Gröbner Bases to verify those results. In particular, we have computed in Magma V2.12-14 a reduced Gröbner basis (for a total degree reverse lexicographical ordering) for the polynomial systems of the CDODP16 and CDODP32 problems. For order 64 we did not manage to compute a Gröbner basis due to its enormous computational cost. Clearly, a solution of the reduced polynomial system obtained by a Gröbner basis corresponds to a solution of the original system. We formulate the Cayley-Dixon orthogonal design problems in terms of Gröbner bases below.

- CDODP16GB: A reduced Gröbner basis of the CDODP16 problem, consists of a polynomial system of 21 equations in 14 variables.
- CDODP32GB: A reduced Gröbner basis of the CDODP32 problem, consists of a polynomial system of 290 equations in 30 variables.

Gröbner bases give some insight how to locate endomorphic solutions due to the fact that binomial terms of the polynomial system could be written in a canonical form. However, this is not sufficient to compute all required solutions as there is no indication for the structure of substitution of different variables. Moreover, using this property that distills from Gröbner bases in [7] and [8], it was feasible only to compute a handful of solutions and, respectively, orthogonal designs.

It is clear that a specialized equation solver is needed to retrieve *all* endomorphic solutions for the previous six problems. Performing some post-processing on the structure of the polynomial systems we obtained for these problems, we observe that each equation consists of the *same* number of positive and negative monomial terms, and within each equation, all monomials have the same degree. This property, together with the fact that we are looking for a solution that maps variables to variables, makes the Cayley-Dixon orthogonal design problems and their Gröbner basis counterparts very suitable to be attacked by equational unification as we later explain in Sections 5 and 6.

More detailed figures that characterize polynomials in CDODP16, CDODP32, CDODP64, CDODP128, CDODP16BB, and CDODP32GB are given in Table 1. The word length there refers to the number of monomials in a polynomial. Half of them are positive and the other half are negative. Degree stands for the degree that each monomial has. In the last column, the number of polynomials is shown. For instance, the first row for CDODP32 indicates that there are 84 polynomials with the length 4, where all monomials have degree 2. An example of such a polynomial is $-x_{13}x_{24} + x_4x_{25} + x_8x_{29} - x_9x_{20}$. The longest polynomials can be found in the CDODP128 problem: There are 870 of them with the length 60 there. An example of such a polynomial

```
-x_3x_{13} - x_2x_{16} + x_8x_{10} + x_5x_{11} = 0
                                                               -x_6x_{13} + x_5x_{14} - x_4x_{11} + x_3x_{12} = 0
 x_3x_{14} - x_2x_{15} + x_7x_{10} - x_6x_{11} = 0
                                                               -x_6x_{15} + x_5x_{16} - x_8x_{13} + x_7x_{14} = 0
                                                               -x_6x_{15} + x_7x_{14} + x_2x_{11} - x_3x_{10} = 0
 x_3x_{15} + x_2x_{14} - x_7x_{11} - x_6x_{10} = 0
                                                               -x_6x_{16} - x_5x_{15} + x_8x_{14} + x_7x_{13} = 0
-x_3x_{16} + x_2x_{13} + x_8x_{11} - x_5x_{10} = 0
-x_4x_{13} - x_3x_{14} + x_6x_{11} + x_5x_{12} = 0
                                                                 x_6x_{16} - x_8x_{14} - x_2x_{12} + x_4x_{10} = 0
 x_4x_{13} - x_2x_{15} + x_7x_{10} - x_5x_{12} = 0
                                                                 x_7x_{11} + x_6x_{10} - x_3x_{15} - x_2x_{14} = 0
-x_4x_{14} + x_2x_{16} - x_8x_{10} + x_6x_{12} = 0
                                                               -x_7x_{12} - x_5x_{10} + x_4x_{15} + x_2x_{13} = 0
-x_4x_{14} + x_3x_{13} + x_6x_{12} - x_5x_{11} = 0
                                                                 x_7x_{12} - x_8x_{11} + x_3x_{16} - x_4x_{15} = 0
-x_4x_{15} - x_2x_{13} + x_7x_{12} + x_5x_{10} = 0
                                                                 x_7x_{13} - x_5x_{15} - x_4x_{10} + x_2x_{12} = 0
 x_4 x_{15} - x_3 x_{16} + x_8 x_{11} - x_7 x_{12} = 0
                                                               -x_7x_{14} + x_6x_{15} + x_3x_{10} - x_2x_{11} = 0
 x_4x_{16} + x_2x_{14} - x_8x_{12} - x_6x_{10} = 0
                                                                -x_7x_{14} + x_8x_{13} - x_5x_{16} + x_6x_{15} = 0
 x_4x_{16} + x_3x_{15} - x_8x_{12} - x_7x_{11} = 0
                                                               -x_7x_{16} + x_8x_{15} + x_3x_{12} - x_4x_{11} = 0
-x_5x_{11} - x_8x_{10} + x_2x_{16} + x_3x_{13} = 0
                                                                -x_7x_{16} + x_8x_{15} + x_5x_{14} - x_6x_{13} = 0
-x_5x_{12} - x_6x_{11} + x_3x_{14} + x_4x_{13} = 0
                                                               -x_8x_{11} + x_5x_{10} + x_3x_{16} - x_2x_{13} = 0
 x_5 x_{12} - x_7 x_{10} + x_2 x_{15} - x_4 x_{13} = 0
                                                                 x_8 x_{12} + x_6 x_{10} - x_4 x_{16} - x_2 x_{14} = 0
-x_5x_{14} + x_6x_{13} - x_3x_{12} + x_4x_{11} = 0
                                                                 x_8x_{12} + x_7x_{11} - x_4x_{16} - x_3x_{15} = 0
 x_5 x_{15} - x_7 x_{13} - x_2 x_{12} + x_4 x_{10} = 0
                                                               -x_8x_{13} + x_5x_{16} - x_3x_{10} + x_2x_{11} = 0
-x_5x_{16} + x_8x_{13} - x_2x_{11} + x_3x_{10} = 0
                                                                -x_8x_{14} - x_7x_{13} + x_6x_{16} + x_5x_{15} = 0
 x_6x_{11} - x_7x_{10} + x_2x_{15} - x_3x_{14} = 0
                                                                 x_8x_{14} - x_6x_{16} - x_4x_{10} + x_2x_{12} = 0
-x_6x_{12} + x_5x_{11} + x_4x_{14} - x_3x_{13} = 0
                                                               -x_8x_{15} + x_7x_{16} + x_4x_{11} - x_3x_{12} = 0
-x_6x_{12} + x_8x_{10} - x_2x_{16} + x_4x_{14} = 0
                                                               -x_8x_{15} + x_7x_{16} + x_6x_{13} - x_5x_{14} = 0
```

Fig. 2 CDODP(A) problem of order 16, where A is the candidate matrix from Fig 1.

is

```
\begin{array}{l} -x_{64}x_{121}+x_{4}x_{69} & +x_{7}x_{66} & +x_{9}x_{80} & -x_{10}x_{79} & -x_{13}x_{76} & +x_{14}x_{75} \\ -x_{18}x_{87} & -x_{21}x_{84} & +x_{22}x_{83} & -x_{27}x_{94} & +x_{28}x_{93} & +x_{31}x_{90} & -x_{32}x_{89} \\ +x_{33}x_{104} & -x_{34}x_{103} & -x_{37}x_{100} + x_{38}x_{99} & -x_{43}x_{110} + x_{44}x_{109} + x_{47}x_{106} \\ -x_{48}x_{105} & -x_{51}x_{118} + x_{52}x_{117} + x_{55}x_{114} & -x_{56}x_{113} + x_{57}x_{128} - x_{58}x_{127} \\ -x_{61}x_{124} + x_{62}x_{123} & -x_{2}x_{71} & -x_{5}x_{68} & -x_{11}x_{78} & +x_{12}x_{77} & +x_{15}x_{74} \\ -x_{16}x_{73} & -x_{19}x_{86} & +x_{20}x_{85} & +x_{23}x_{82} & +x_{25}x_{96} & -x_{26}x_{95} & -x_{29}x_{92} \\ +x_{30}x_{91} & -x_{35}x_{102} + x_{36}x_{101} + x_{39}x_{98} & -x_{40}x_{97} & +x_{41}x_{112} - x_{42}x_{111} \\ -x_{45}x_{108} + x_{46}x_{107} + x_{49}x_{120} - x_{50}x_{119} & -x_{53}x_{116} + x_{54}x_{115} - x_{59}x_{126} \\ +x_{60}x_{125} + x_{63}x_{122} - x_{24}x_{81} & +x_{17}x_{88}. \end{array}
```

4 Equational Unification

Unification theory [1] studies unification problems: sets of equations between terms. The latter, as usual, are constructed by a set of function symbols \mathcal{F} and a (countably infinite) set of variables \mathcal{V} . We denote the set of terms over \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{V} by $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{V})$. Variables are denoted by x,y,z, function symbols by f,g, and terms by s,t,r.

Our substitutions are a special case of the substitutions defined in Section 3.1, mapping variables to terms. An application of a substitution σ to a term t, denoted $t\sigma$, is defined as follows: If t = x, then $t\sigma := \sigma(x)$. If $t = f(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$, $n \ge 0$, then $t\sigma := f(s_1\sigma, \ldots, s_n\sigma)$. Composition of two substitutions σ and φ , written as $\sigma\varphi$, is defined as $t\sigma\varphi := (t\sigma)\varphi$ for any t.

An equational theory, defined by a set equational axioms $E \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}) \times \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V})$, is the least congruence relation on $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V})$, that is closed under substitution application and contains E. It is denoted by $\dot{=}_E$. If $s \dot{=}_E t$, then we say that s and t are equal modulo E. The axioms (i.e., the elements of E) are written as $s \approx t$. For instance, $E = \{f(x, f(y, z)) \approx f(f(x, y), z), \ f(x, y) \approx f(y, x)\}$ defines the equational theory of associativity and commutativity of f.

Given an E and a set of variables \mathcal{X} , the substitution σ is more general modulo E on \mathcal{X} than the substitution φ , written $\sigma \preccurlyeq_E^{\mathcal{X}} \varphi$, iff there exists a substitution ϑ such that $x\sigma\vartheta \doteq_E x\varphi$ for all $x\in\mathcal{X}$. The relation $\preccurlyeq_E^{\mathcal{X}}$ is a quasi-order, and the induced equivalence is denoted by $\simeq_E^{\mathcal{X}}$.

Given an E and a set of function symbols \mathcal{F} , an E-unification problem Γ over \mathcal{F} is a finite set of equations between terms over \mathcal{F} and a countable infinite set of variables \mathcal{V} , written as $\Gamma := \{s_1 \stackrel{?}{=}_E t_1, \dots, s_n \stackrel{?}{=}_E t_n\}$. An E-unifier of Γ is a substitution σ such that $s_i \sigma \stackrel{.}{=}_E t_i \sigma$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let Γ be an E-unification problem over \mathcal{F} and let \mathcal{X} be the set of all variables that occur in Γ . A minimal complete set of unifiers (mcsu, in short) of Γ , denoted $mcsu(\Gamma)$, is the set of substitutions such that the following three conditions are satisfied:

- Correctness: Each element of $mcsu(\Gamma)$ is an E-unifier of Γ .
- Completeness: For each unifier φ of Γ there exists $\sigma \in mcsu(\Gamma)$ such that $\sigma \preceq_E^{\mathcal{X}} \varphi$.
- Minimality: For all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in mcsu(\Gamma)$, if $\sigma_1 \preceq_E^{\mathcal{X}} \sigma_2$, then $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$.

The signature of an equational theory E, denoted by sig(E), is the set of all function symbols that appear in the axioms of E. An E-unification problem Γ over \mathcal{F} is elementary, if $\mathcal{F} \setminus sig(E) = \emptyset$. It is a problem with constants, if $\mathcal{F} \setminus sig(E)$ is a set of constants. It is called a general problem, if $\mathcal{F} \setminus sig(E)$ may contain arbitrary function symbols.

When we are interested in E-unification problems of a special form, we talk about a fragment of E-unification. When solutions only of a special form are needed, then we say that a v-ariant of E-unification is considered.

5 Orthogonal Designs Meet Equational Unification

In this section, we establish the connections between orthogonal designs and equational unification. In particular, we show that Cayley-Dixon orthogonal designs

defined in Section 3.1 can be constructed from unifiers of certain unification problems.

Recall that, as we observed, each equation in a Cayley-Dixon orthogonal design problem consists of an equal number of positive and negative monomial terms. Moreover, within an equation, all monomials have the same degree. That means that the equations have the form $x_{11} \cdots x_{1n} + \cdots + x_{m1} \cdots x_{mn} - B_{11} \cdots B_{1n} - \cdots - B_{m1} \cdots B_{mn} = 0$ with n, m > 0. By taking the design variables as unification variables, making the multiplication explicit, and placing negative monomials on the other side of equation, we obtain a unification problem of the form $x_{11} * \cdots * x_{1n} + \cdots + x_{m1} * \cdots * x_{mn} \stackrel{?}{\rightleftharpoons}_{AC(+,*)} B_{11} * \cdots * B_{1n} + \cdots + B_{m1} * \cdots * B_{mn}$, where * and + are associative and commutative (and the subscript AC(+,*) indicates this fact). We refer to the unification problem obtained from a CDODP(A) (with the candidate matrix A of order n) in this way as $CDODP_{\mathcal{U}}(A)$ (of order n). The important property, that is straightforward to see, is that there is a direct one-to-one correspondence between endomorphic solutions of unification equations in the $CDODP_{\mathcal{U}}(A)$ and those of the corresponding polynomial equations in the given CDODP(A).

Theorem 2 Let $n = 2^m$ for some m > 0 and A be a candidate matrix of order n. A substitution σ is an endomorphic idempotent unifier for $CDODP_{\mathcal{U}}(A)$ iff there exists a Cayley-Dixon orthogonal design generated from A by σ .

Proof By construction of $\mathtt{CDODP}_{\mathcal{U}}$, σ is an endomorphic idempotent unifier for $\mathtt{CDODP}_{\mathcal{U}}(A)$ iff σ is an endomorphic idempotent solution of $\mathtt{CDODP}(A)$. By definition, Cayley-Dixon orthogonal designs generated from A are those (and only those) that are obtained from A by endomorphic idempotent solutions of $\mathtt{CDODP}(A)$ with the help of the construction in the proof of Theorem 1.

In the theory of orthogonal designs, as we have already mentioned, the Equating-Killing Lemma plays a pivotal role, as it can produce a vast number of orthogonal designs from any given one. It is natural to distinguish between orthogonal designs that can or cannot be produced by the Equating-Killing Lemma.

Given two orthogonal designs of the same order, D_1 and D_2 , we say D_1 is more general than D_2 and write $D_1 \subseteq D_2$, if there exists an orthogonal design D_3 of the same order as D_1 and D_2 such that $D_1 \simeq D_3$ and D_2 is obtained from D_3 by equating zero or more variables. Strictly more generality relation is written $D_1 \subseteq D_2$ and requires equating one or more variables to get D_3 from D_1 .

Definition 1 (Basis) Let \mathcal{D} be a set of orthogonal designs of order n. A basis for \mathcal{D} is a set $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ such that for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$, there is $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B \subseteq D$.

A trivial basis for \mathcal{D} is \mathcal{D} itself. The interesting ones are reduced bases defined below:

Definition 2 (Reduced Basis, Base OD) Let \mathcal{B} be a basis of the set \mathcal{D} of orthogonal designs of the same order. \mathcal{B} is a *reduced basis* of \mathcal{D} , written $rb(\mathcal{D})$, if \mathcal{B} does not contain two elements B_1 , B_2 such that $B_1 \leq B_2$. The elements of $rb(\mathcal{D})$ are called the *base orthogonal designs* for \mathcal{D} .

This notion of *base orthogonal designs* introduced here for the first time, exhibits a remarkable connection with unification theory.

Theorem 3 Consider the problem $\mathtt{CDODP}(A)$ and the corresponding unification problem $\mathtt{CDODP}_{\mathcal{U}}(A)$ for a candidate matrix A. Let σ be an element of the minimal complete set of endomorphic idempotent unifiers of $\mathtt{CDODP}_{\mathcal{U}}(A)$. Assume \mathcal{D} is a set of Cayley-Dixon orthogonal designs generated from A. Then $\mathtt{CDOD}(A,\sigma) \in \mathcal{D}$ is a base orthogonal design for \mathcal{D} .

Proof Let V be the set of variables of A. The theorem follows from the following fact: For two endomorphic idempotent unifiers φ_1 and φ_2 of $\mathtt{CDODP}_{\mathcal{U}}$, if $\varphi_1 \preccurlyeq^V_{\mathsf{AC}(+,*)} \varphi_2$, then $\mathtt{CDOD}(A,\varphi_1) \leq \mathtt{CDOD}(A,\varphi_2)$. (Recall that $\mathtt{CDOD}(A,\varphi)$ is the unique Cayley-Dixon orthogonal design generated from A by φ .) Since φ_1 and φ_2 are endomorphic, $\varphi_1 \preccurlyeq^X_{\mathsf{AC}(+,*)} \varphi_2$ means that for some ϑ , $v\varphi_1\vartheta = v\varphi_2$ for all $v \in V$. Hence, ϑ is also endomorphic on V and it can be decomposed into $\vartheta_1\vartheta_2$, where ϑ_1 is a permutation (a bijective mapping from $dom(\vartheta)$ to $dom(\vartheta)$), and ϑ_2 is an endomorphic substitution. Then from $\mathtt{CDOD}(A,\varphi_1)$ we first can obtain an orthogonal design D by renaming variables that correspond to ϑ_1 . It gives $\mathtt{CDOD}(A,\varphi_1) \simeq D$. Afterwards, from D we can perform variable equating according to ϑ_2 , which will give $\mathtt{CDOD}(A,\varphi_2)$. By the definition of \preceq , we get $\mathtt{CDOD}(A,\varphi_1) \preceq \mathtt{CDOD}(A,\varphi_2)$.

The connections between orthogonal designs and unification theory presented in this section are essential for translating Cayley-Dixon orthogonal design problems into unification problems, and in addition provide some concrete guidelines on how to efficiently perform a systematic solving of the respective polynomial systems.

6 Solving Unification Problems

Our unification problem Γ contains only equations in the flattened form $x_1^1 * \cdots * x_n^1 + \cdots + x_n^m := {}^2_{AC(+,*)} y_1^1 * \cdots * y_n^1 + \cdots + y_n^m * \cdots * y_n^m$ for some n, m > 0, where + and * are the AC symbols. We call it a balanced fragment of AC-unification. We are looking for AC-unifiers of Γ that map variables of Γ to variables of Γ , i.e., both domain and range of unifiers should be subsets of $var(\Gamma)$. We call such variants endomorphic. Hence, the problem we would like to solve is an endomorphic variant of a balanced fragment of the elementary AC-unification. For brevity, we refer to it as an AC_{EB}-unification problem.

Note that this problem always has a unifier: Just map all variables to one of them, and it will be a solution. What we are looking for is the minimal complete set of unifiers.

AC-unification problems are solved by reducing them to systems of linear Diophantine equations, see, e.g., [18,3]. However, it is pretty easy to formulate a direct algorithm that computes a complete set of unifiers for AC_{EB}-unification problems. In fact, as we will see, the four rules below are sufficient to construct it. The rules transform systems (pairs Γ ; σ of a unification problem and a substitution) into systems. The symbol \cup stands for disjoint union. The subscript AC(+,*) is omitted, as well as the symbol *.

T: **Trivial**
$$\{x \stackrel{?}{=} x\} \cup \Gamma'; \ \sigma \Longrightarrow \Gamma'; \ \sigma.$$

D-sum: Decomposition for Sums

$$\{s_1 + \dots + s_n \stackrel{.}{=}^? t_1 + \dots + t_n\} \cup \Gamma'; \ \sigma \Longrightarrow \{s_1 \stackrel{.}{=}^? \pi(t_1), \dots, s_n \stackrel{.}{=}^? \pi(t_n)\} \cup \Gamma'; \ \sigma,$$

where n > 1 and π is a permutation of the multiset $\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$.

D-prod: Decomposition for Products

$$\{x_1 \cdots x_n \stackrel{:}{=}^? y_1 \cdots y_n\} \cup \Gamma'; \ \sigma \Longrightarrow \{x_1 \stackrel{:}{=}^? \pi(y_1), \dots, x_n \stackrel{:}{=}^? \pi(y_n)\} \cup \Gamma'; \ \sigma,$$

where n > 1 and π is a permutation of the multiset $\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$.

S: Solve

$$\{x \stackrel{?}{=} {}^{?}y\} \cup \Gamma'; \ \sigma \Longrightarrow \Gamma'\{x \mapsto y\}; \ \sigma\{x \mapsto y\}, \quad \text{where } x \neq y$$

We call a system Γ ; σ a balanced system, if Γ is a balanced AC-unification problem. By inspecting the rules, it is easy to see that the rules transform balanced systems into balanced systems. Note that any balanced system Γ ; σ , where $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$, can be transformed, and each selected equation can be transformed by only one rule.

To solve a unification problem Γ , we create the initial system Γ ; ε and apply the rules exhaustively. Let BF denote this algorithm, to indicate that it is a brute force approach, i.e., BF := $(T \mid D\text{-sum} \mid D\text{-prod} \mid S)^*$, where \mid stands for choice and * for iteration. The terminal systems have the form \emptyset ; σ . We say in this case that the algorithm computes σ . Given a balanced Γ , the set of all substitutions computed by BF is denoted by $\Sigma_{BF}(\Gamma)$. This set is finite, because there can be finitely many terminal systems (since rules that produce all possible permutations lead to finite branching).

Theorem 4 Given a balanced AC-unification problem Γ , the algorithm BF terminates and computes $\Sigma_{BF}(\Gamma)$, which is a complete set of endomorphic idempotent AC-unifiers of Γ .

Proof To prove termination, we first define the size of an equation as the number of symbol occurrences in it (including the * that is omitted in the rules). Next, we associate to each AC-unification problem its measure: the multiset of sizes of equations in it. Then we can see that each rule strictly decreases this measure. For the rules T and S it is obvious. For the other two rules it follows from the condition n > 1, which implies that the resulting set of equations reduces the number of occurrences of + or *, while the rest does not increase. These facts, together with the observation that the number of branching alternatives the rules produce is finite, imply termination.

The S rule guarantees that the computed substitutions are endomorphic and idempotent. Each rule preserves the set of endomorphic unifiers for the problems it transforms. Hence the computed substitutions are endomorphic idempotent unifiers. Completeness is implied by the fact that the permutations in the decomposition rules generate all possible branchings in the search tree.

The set $\Sigma_{\mathsf{BF}}(\varGamma)$ is not minimal, in general. This is not surprising, since $\mathrm{AC}_{\mathsf{EB}}$ -unification is, in fact, variadic commutative (also known as orderless) unification [10]. No algorithm is known that would directly compute minimal complete

set of unifiers for commutative unification problems. There is an additional minimization step required.

Our main challenge, however, was related to the size of the problem. The unification problems contain hundreds of equations and the brute-force approach of BF usually is not feasible. We need to keep the alternatives as small as possible. For this purpose, we elaborated three heuristics. Two of them concern equation selection, and one unification problem simplification:

- E-Sel: For transformation, select an equation with the minimal number of arguments. For instance, if the unification problem is $\{x_1x_2 + y_3x_3 \stackrel{?}{=} x_3y_3 + y_1y_2, x_1 \stackrel{?}{=} y_1\}$, the equation $x_1 \stackrel{?}{=} y_1$ will be selected and transformed by the rule Solve.
- S-Sel: In the decomposition rules, permute that side of the selected equation that generates fewer permutations (i.e., the side that has more repeated arguments). It reduces the branching factor, but completeness is not violated, since equality is symmetric.
- Simp: Remove all AC-equal arguments from both sides of equations. This technique reduces, for instance, the equation $x_1x_2+y_3x_3 \stackrel{?}{=} x_3y_3+y_1y_2$ to $x_1x_2 \stackrel{?}{=} y_1y_2$ and $x_1x_2y_1 \stackrel{?}{=} y_1x_2y_2$ to $x_1 \stackrel{?}{=} y_2$. Technically, this can be achieved by defining an ordering on variables that is extended lexicographically to products and sums, rearranging unordered subterms in equations in the ordered form, and then removing all common arguments from both sides of equations. (If there are no common arguments, the equation is returned unchanged.) Note that Simp subsumes the T rule, since it also removes the trivial equations. Therefore, we will not use the T rule separately below.

Let D-sum-s and D-prod-s stand for the variants of D-sum and D-prod rules, where the permutation side is selected according to S-Sel. Then we define the refined algorithm Ref with the following strategy (o stands for composition, | for choice, * for iteration), where the equation is selected according to E-Sel:

$$Ref := (Simp \circ (S \mid D-sum-s \mid D-prod-s))^*.$$

In words, it means that Ref works with a set of systems, selects one of them according to E-Sel (nondeterministically), simplifies it with respect to Simp, transforms the obtained system into new ones with one of the rules S, D-sum-s, or D-prod-s, and iterates.

Since unification problems are sets, simplification step may decrease the number of equations, when an equation simplifies to a trivial one, or to an existing equation. It is not hard to see that Ref terminates and the selection and simplification heuristics affect neither soundness nor completeness. Therefore, based on Theorem 4, we have that $\Sigma_{\text{Ref}}(\Gamma)$ is a complete set of endomorphic idempotent unifiers of Γ .

As it turns out, Simp plays an important role in reducing the number of computed unifiers and speeding up the computation. We also experimented with its variation that removes trivial equations only, denoting by Ref⁻ the corresponding version of the algorithm Ref. In Figure 2, one can clearly see the difference between the algorithms with and without simplification rules with respect to the

number of computed unifiers and computation time.¹ The impact can be as much as dropping the number of computed unifiers from 7312 to 20, and computation time from 1311.316 to 1.3 seconds (see the case with CDODP32GB).

The set computed by Ref is complete but not minimal. A minimal and complete algorithm ACEB for AC_{EB} -unification problems can be formulated as

$$ACEB(\Gamma) := minimize(\Sigma_{Ref}(\Gamma)),$$

where *minimize* is a function that minimizes a set of substitutions. Therefore, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 5 ACEB(Γ) = $mcsu(\Gamma)$.

For efficiency reasons, it makes sense to have an incremental version of the algorithm ACEB: Instead of working with the entire set of equations at once, we split this set into smaller subsets of some fixed size Size. After ACEB computes an mcsu \mathcal{U} of one such chunk, we generate all possible instances of the next subset with respect to the unifiers in \mathcal{U} , and proceed further in a similar way for each new chunk. Such early minimization efforts reduce the number of redundant potential solutions. This method is sensitive to the choice of Size. It should be big enough not to trigger frequent calls of the expensive minimize function, and small enough not to postpone minimization too much. As experiments showed, a good strategy for the unification problems originated from the original polynomials is, for instance, to set Size close to the number of equations of the smallest size. For instance, in CDODP32, the polynomials of the smallest size are those that contain 4 monomials, each of degree 2. There are 84 such polynomials (out of 252) there. Setting Size to 84 led to the fastest computation of the result. However, for equations coming from the polynomials in Gröbner bases, we could not observe such a pattern.

The incremental version does not make a difference from the general version for the algorithm Ref⁻. Therefore, this algorithm was run on the entire set of input equations instead of splitting it into smaller subsets.

Candidate matrices of orders 16, 32, 64, 128, and the polynomials that give the Cayley-Dixon orthogonal design problems of the corresponding orders, are too big to be placed in the paper. They can be found in the supplementary materials of the paper.

Below we give the elements of $\mathsf{ACEB}(\varGamma)$ for unification problems \varGamma that originate from those problems:

CDODP16 and CDODP16GB:

```
\sigma_{1}^{16} = \left\{ \begin{array}{llll} x_{2} \rightarrow x_{8}, & x_{3} \rightarrow x_{8}, & x_{4} \rightarrow x_{8}, & x_{5} \rightarrow x_{8}, & x_{6} \rightarrow x_{8}, & x_{7} \rightarrow x_{8}, x_{10} \rightarrow x_{16}, \\ & x_{11} \rightarrow x_{16}, \ x_{12} \rightarrow x_{16}, \ x_{13} \rightarrow x_{16}, \ x_{14} \rightarrow x_{16}, \ x_{15} \rightarrow x_{16} \right\} \\ \sigma_{2}^{16} = \left\{ x_{10} \rightarrow x_{2}, & x_{11} \rightarrow x_{3}, & x_{12} \rightarrow x_{4}, & x_{13} \rightarrow x_{5}, & x_{14} \rightarrow x_{6}, & x_{15} \rightarrow x_{7}, & x_{16} \rightarrow x_{8} \right. \right\}
```

CDODP32 and CDODP32GB:

 $^{^1}$ The unification algorithms have been implemented in Mathematica 9.0 and their performance has been measured on a Dell Linux Workstation with Intel Xeon E5-2680v2 2 CPU 2.8 GHz, 384 GB RAM.

Problem	Length	Degree	# of polynomials		
CDODP16	4	2	42		
		Total: 42			
CDODP32	4	2	84		
	8	2	42		
	12	2	126		
		Total: 252			
	4	2	252		
	8	2	84		
	12	2	168		
CDODP64	16	2	42		
	20	2 2	168		
	24	2	126		
	28	2	342		
			Total: 1182		
	4	2	684		
	8	2	252		
CDODP128	12	2	504		
	16	2 2	84		
	20	2	336		
	24	2	168		
	28	2 2 2 2 2 2	336		
	32	2	42		
	36	2	336		
	40	2	168		
	44	2	336		
	48	2	126		
	52	2	504		
	56	2	342		
	60	2	870		
			Total: 5088		
CDODP16GB	2	2	21		
			Total: 21		
CDODP32GB	2	2	105		
	4	2	21		
	4	3	137		
	4	4	27		
			Total: 290		

 ${\bf Table \ 1} \ \ {\bf Statistics \ of \ the \ equation \ structure}.$

Problem	# Pol.	Size	Ref ⁻		Ref		ACEB	
			# Unif.	Seconds	# Unif.	Seconds	# Unif.	Seconds
CDODP16	42	∞	264	1.104	65	0.464	2	0.424
CD0DP32	252	∞			2267	88.332	3	91.168
		84			3087	50.792	3	10.704
CDODP64	1182	252					4	3973.192
CDODP128	5088	10					5	19918.268
CDODP16GB	21	∞	45	0.132	7	0.052	2	0.056
CDODP32GB	290	∞	7312	1311.316	20	1.300	3	1.340

Table 2 Performance statistics table. "# Pol." and "# Unif." abbreviate the numbers of polynomials and unifiers, respectively. Size is the size of equation chunks in the incremental version of the algorithms, where ∞ means no restriction for this size. The empty cells indicate that trying the algorithm with those parameters was not feasible.

CDODP64:

```
\sigma_1^{64} = \{ \ x_2 \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_3 \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_4 \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_5 \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_6 \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_7 \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_8 \rightarrow x_9, \\
                                                                       x_{10} \to x_9, \quad x_{11} \to x_9, \quad x_{12} \to x_9, \quad x_{13} \to x_9, \quad x_{14} \to x_9, \quad x_{15} \to x_9, \quad x_{16} \to x_9,
                                                                       x_{17} \to x_9, \quad x_{18} \to x_9, \quad x_{19} \to x_9, \quad x_{20} \to x_9, \quad x_{21} \to x_9, \quad x_{22} \to x_9, \quad x_{23} \to x_9,
                                                                       x_{24} \to x_{9}, \quad x_{25} \to x_{9}, \quad x_{26} \to x_{9}, \quad x_{27} \to x_{9}, \quad x_{28} \to x_{9}, \quad x_{29} \to x_{9}, \quad x_{30} \to x_{9},
                                                                       x_{31} \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_{32} \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_{34} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{35} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{36} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{37} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{38} \rightarrow x_{64},
                                                                       x_{39} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{40} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{41} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{42} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{43} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{44} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{45} \rightarrow x_{64},
                                                                       x_{46} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{47} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{48} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{49} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{50} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{51} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{52} \rightarrow x_{64},
                                                                       x_{53} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{54} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{55} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{56} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{57} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{58} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{59} \rightarrow x_{64},
                                                                       x_{60} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{61} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{62} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{63} \rightarrow x_{64} 
\sigma_2^{64} = \{ \ x_2 \to x_9, \quad x_3 \to x_9, \quad x_4 \to x_9, \quad x_5 \to x_9, \quad x_6 \to x_9, \quad x_7 \to x_9, \quad x_8 \to x_9, \\
                                                                       x_{10} \to x_9, \quad x_{11} \to x_9, \quad x_{12} \to x_9, \quad x_{13} \to x_9, \quad x_{14} \to x_9, \quad x_{15} \to x_9, \quad x_{16} \to x_9,
                                                                       x_{17} \rightarrow x_{49}, \ x_{18} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{19} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{20} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{21} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{22} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{23} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{24} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{25} \rightarrow 
                                                                       x_{24} \rightarrow x_{64}, \; x_{25} \rightarrow x_{64}, \; x_{26} \rightarrow x_{64}, \; x_{27} \rightarrow x_{64}, \; x_{28} \rightarrow x_{64}, \; x_{29} \rightarrow x_{64}, \; x_{30} \rightarrow x_{64}, \; x_{28} \rightarrow x_{64}, \; x_{29} \rightarrow x_{29}, \; x_{29} \rightarrow x_
                                                                       x_{31} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{32} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{34} \rightarrow x_{9}, \ x_{35} \rightarrow x_{9}, \ x_{36} \rightarrow x_{9}, \ x_{37} \rightarrow x_{9}, \ x_{38} \rightarrow x_{9},
                                                                       x_{39} \rightarrow x_{9}, \quad x_{40} \rightarrow x_{9}, \quad x_{41} \rightarrow x_{9}, \quad x_{42} \rightarrow x_{9}, \quad x_{43} \rightarrow x_{9}, \quad x_{44} \rightarrow x_{9}, \quad x_{45} \rightarrow x_{9},
                                                                       x_{46} \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_{47} \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_{48} \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_{50} \rightarrow x_{64}, \quad x_{51} \rightarrow x_{64}, \quad x_{52} \rightarrow x_{64}, \quad x_{53} \rightarrow x_{64}, \quad x_{54} \rightarrow x_{54}, \quad x
                                                                       x_{54} \rightarrow x_{64}, \; x_{55} \rightarrow x_{64}, \; x_{56} \rightarrow x_{64}, \; x_{57} \rightarrow x_{64}, \; x_{58} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{59} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{60} \rightarrow x_{64},
                                                                       x_{61} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{62} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{63} \rightarrow x_{64} 
\sigma_3^{64} = \{ \ x_2 \to x_8, \quad x_3 \to x_8, \quad x_4 \to x_8, \quad x_5 \to x_8, \quad x_6 \to x_8, \quad x_7 \to x_8, \quad x_{10} \to x_{64}, \\
                                                                       x_{11} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{12} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{13} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{14} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{15} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{16} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{17} \rightarrow x_{49},
                                                                       x_{18} \to x_8, \quad x_{19} \to x_8, \quad x_{20} \to x_8, \quad x_{21} \to x_8, \quad x_{22} \to x_8, \quad x_{23} \to x_8, \quad x_{24} \to x_8,
                                                                       x_{25} \rightarrow x_{9}, \ x_{26} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{27} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{28} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{29} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{30} \rightarrow x_{64}, \ x_{31} \rightarrow x_{64},
                                                                       x_{32} \to x_{64}, \ x_{34} \to x_{8}, \quad x_{35} \to x_{8}, \quad x_{36} \to x_{8}, \quad x_{37} \to x_{8}, \quad x_{38} \to x_{8}, \quad x_{39} \to x_{8},
                                                                       x_{40} \rightarrow x_8, \quad x_{41} \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_{42} \rightarrow x_{64}, \quad x_{43} \rightarrow x_{64}, \quad x_{44} \rightarrow x_{64}, \quad x_{45} \rightarrow x_{64}, \quad x_{46} \rightarrow x_{64}, 
                                                                       x_{47} \rightarrow x_{64}, \; x_{48} \rightarrow x_{64}, \; x_{50} \rightarrow x_{8}, \; \; x_{51} \rightarrow x_{8}, \; \; x_{52} \rightarrow x_{8}, \; \; x_{53} \rightarrow x_{8}, \; \; x_{54} \rightarrow x_{8},
                                                                       x_{55} \to x_8, \quad x_{56} \to x_8, \quad x_{57} \to x_9, \quad x_{58} \to x_{64}, \quad x_{59} \to x_{64}, \quad x_{60} \to x_{64}, \quad x_{61} \to x_{64}, \quad x_{64} \to x_{64}, \quad x
                                                                       x_{62} \rightarrow x_{64}, x_{63} \rightarrow x_{64}
```

```
\sigma_4^{64} = \{ \ x_2 \rightarrow x_{58}, \ x_3 \rightarrow x_{59}, \ x_4 \rightarrow x_{60}, \ x_5 \rightarrow x_{61}, \ x_6 \rightarrow x_{62}, x_{10} \rightarrow x_{58}, x_{11} \rightarrow x_{59}, x_{11} \rightarrow x_{11}, x_{12} \rightarrow x_{12}, x_{13} \rightarrow x_{13}, x_{14} \rightarrow x_{15}, x_{15} \rightarrow x_{1
                                                                               x_{12} \rightarrow x_{60}, x_{13} \rightarrow x_{61}, x_{14} \rightarrow x_{62}, x_{15} \rightarrow x_7, x_{16} \rightarrow x_8, x_{17} \rightarrow x_{49}, x_{18} \rightarrow x_{58},
                                                                               x_{19} \rightarrow x_{59}, \, x_{20} \rightarrow x_{60}, \, \, x_{21} \rightarrow x_{61}, \, \, x_{22} \rightarrow x_{62}, \, \, x_{23} \rightarrow x_{7}, \, \, \, x_{24} \rightarrow x_{8}, \, \, \, x_{25} \rightarrow x_{9},
                                                                                 x_{26} \to x_{58}, x_{27} \to x_{59}, x_{28} \to x_{60}, x_{29} \to x_{61}, x_{30} \to x_{62}, x_{31} \to x_7, x_{32} \to x_8,
                                                                               x_{34} \rightarrow x_{58}, \, x_{35} \rightarrow x_{59}, \, \, x_{36} \rightarrow x_{60}, \, \, x_{37} \rightarrow x_{61}, \, \, x_{38} \rightarrow x_{62}, \, x_{39} \rightarrow x_{7}, \, \, \, x_{40} \rightarrow x_{8},
                                                                               x_{41} \rightarrow x_9, \ x_{42} \rightarrow x_{58}, \ x_{43} \rightarrow x_{59}, \ x_{44} \rightarrow x_{60}, \ x_{45} \rightarrow x_{61}, \ x_{46} \rightarrow x_{62}, \ x_{47} \rightarrow x_7,
                                                                                 x_{48} \to x_8, \ x_{50} \to x_{58}, \ x_{51} \to x_{59}, \ x_{52} \to x_{60}, \ x_{53} \to x_{61}, \ x_{54} \to x_{62}, \ x_{55} \to x_7,
                                                                               x_{56} \rightarrow x_8, x_{57} \rightarrow x_9, x_{63} \rightarrow x_7, x_{64} \rightarrow x_8
CDODP128:
\sigma_1^{128} = \{ x_2 \to x_9, 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                      x_3 \to x_9,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   x_4 \to x_9,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   x_5 \rightarrow x_9,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            x_6 \rightarrow x_9,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                x_7 \to x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         x_8 \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                           x_{10} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                           x_{11} \rightarrow x_9,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            x_{12} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           x_{13} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        x_{14} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                x_{15} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        x_{16} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            x_{19} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           x_{20} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                           x_{17} \to x_9, \quad x_{18} \to x_9,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        x_{21} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  x_{22} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         x_{23} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                           x_{24} \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_{25} \rightarrow x_9,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            x_{26} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           x_{27} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        x_{28} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                x_{29} \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_{30} \rightarrow x_9,
                                                                                           x_{31} \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_{32} \rightarrow x_9,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            x_{33} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           x_{34} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          x_{35} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                x_{36} \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_{37} \rightarrow x_9,
                                                                                           x_{38} \to x_9, \quad x_{39} \to x_9,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            x_{40} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             x_{41} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          x_{42} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    x_{43} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           x_{44} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                           x_{45} \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_{46} \rightarrow x_9,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              x_{47} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             x_{48} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            x_{49} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    x_{50} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           x_{51} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                           x_{52} \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_{53} \rightarrow x_9,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              x_{54} \rightarrow x_9,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           x_{55} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          x_{56} \rightarrow x_9,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  x_{57} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         x_{58} \rightarrow x_9,
                                                                                         x_{59} \rightarrow x_9, \quad x_{60} \rightarrow x_9,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              x_{61} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             x_{62} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          x_{63} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    x_{64} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         x_{66} \rightarrow x_{99}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            x_{69} \to x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           x_{70} \to x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        x_{71} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{72} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{73} \to x_{99},
                                                                                           x_{67} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{68} \rightarrow x_{99},
                                                                                           x_{74} \to x_{99}, \ x_{75} \to x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          x_{76} \to x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       x_{77} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{78} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{79} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{80} \to x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           x_{84} \to x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          x_{85} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{86} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{87} \to x_{99},
                                                                                           x_{81} \to x_{99}, \ x_{82} \to x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              x_{83} \to x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       x_{91} \rightarrow x_{99},
                                                                                           x_{88} \to x_{99}, x_{89} \to x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            x_{90} \to x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          x_{92} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{93} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{94} \to x_{99},
                                                                                           x_{95} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{96} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{97} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{98} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{100} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{101} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{102} \rightarrow x_{99},
                                                                                 x_{103} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{104} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ \ x_{105} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ \ x_{106} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ \ x_{107} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ \ x_{108} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{109} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ \ x_{109} \rightarrow x_{109}, \ \ x_{109} 
                                                                                 x_{110} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{111} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ \ x_{112} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ \ x_{113} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ \ x_{114} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ \ x_{115} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{116} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ \ x_{110} \rightarrow 
                                                                               x_{117} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{118} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ \ x_{119} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ \ x_{120} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ \ x_{121} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ \ x_{122} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{123} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ \ x_{124} \rightarrow x_{120} \rightarrow x_{1
                                                                                 x_{124} \to x_{99}, x_{125} \to x_{99}, x_{126} \to x_{99}, x_{127} \to x_{99}, x_{128} \to x_{99}
\sigma_2^{128} = \{ \quad x_2 \to x_{96}, \quad
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        x_3 \rightarrow x_{96}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            x_4 \to x_{96}, \quad x_5 \to x_{96},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    x_6 \to x_{96}, \quad x_7 \to x_{96}, \quad x_8 \to x_{96},
                                                                                                      x_9 \to x_{96}, \quad x_{10} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{11} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{12} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{13} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{14} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{15} \to x_{96}, \\
                                                                                              x_{16} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{17} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{18} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{19} \to x_{96},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        x_{20} \to x_{96}, \ x_{21} \to x_{96}, \ x_{22} \to x_{96},
                                                                                              x_{23} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{24} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{25} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{26} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{27} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{28} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{29} \to x_{96},
                                                                                              x_{30} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{31} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{32} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{33} \rightarrow x_{97}, \quad x_{34} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{35} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{36} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{36} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{38} \rightarrow x_{98}, \quad x_{98} \rightarrow x_
                                                                                              x_{37} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{38} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{39} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{40} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{41} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{42} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{43} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{44} \rightarrow x_
                                                                                              x_{44} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{45} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{46} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{47} \to x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          x_{48} \to x_{99}, \ x_{49} \to x_{99}, \ x_{50} \to x_{99},
                                                                                              x_{51} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{52} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{53} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{54} \to x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            x_{55} \to x_{99}, \ x_{56} \to x_{99}, \ x_{57} \to x_{99},
                                                                                              x_{58} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{59} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{60} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{61} \to x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          x_{62} \to x_{99}, \ x_{63} \to x_{99}, \ x_{64} \to x_{99},
                                                                                              x_{66} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{67} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{68} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{69} \to x_{96},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     x_{70} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{71} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{72} \to x_{96},
                                                                                              x_{73} 	o x_{96}, \quad x_{74} 	o x_{96}, \quad x_{75} 	o x_{96}, \quad x_{76} 	o x_{96}, \quad x_{77} 	o x_{96}, \quad x_{78} 	o x_{96}, \quad x_{79} 	o x_{96},
                                                                                              x_{80} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{81} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{82} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{83} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{84} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{85} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{86} \rightarrow x_{96},
                                                                                             x_{87} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{88} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{89} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{90} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{91} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{92} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{93} \to x_{96},
                                                                                              x_{94} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{95} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{98} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{100} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{101} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{102} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{103} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{104} \rightarrow x_{104}
                                                                                     x_{104} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{105} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{106} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{107} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{108} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{109} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{110} \rightarrow x_{99},
                                                                                     x_{111} \to x_{99}, \ x_{112} \to x_{99}, \ x_{113} \to x_{99}, \ x_{114} \to x_{99}, \ x_{115} \to x_{99}, \ x_{116} \to x_{99}, \ x_{117} \to x_{99}, \ x_{118} \to x_{119}, \ x_{119} \to x_{1
                                                                                     x_{118} \to x_{99}, \ x_{119} \to x_{99}, \ x_{120} \to x_{99}, \ x_{121} \to x_{99}, \ x_{122} \to x_{99}, \ x_{123} \to x_{99}, \ x_{124} \to x_{1
                                                                                     x_{125} \to x_{99}, \ x_{126} \to x_{99}, \ x_{127} \to x_{99}, \ x_{128} \to x_{99}  }.
```

```
\sigma_3^{128} = \{ x_2 \to x_{99}, 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      x_3 \to x_{99}, \quad x_4 \to x_{99}, \quad x_5 \to x_{99}, \quad x_6 \to x_{99}, \quad x_7 \to x_{99}, \quad x_8 \to x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                                        x_9 \to x_{99}, \quad x_{10} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{11} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{12} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{13} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{14} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{15} \to x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                           x_{16} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{17} \rightarrow x_{81}, \quad x_{18} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{19} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{20} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{21} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{22} \rightarrow x_{96},
                                                                                                                                                                           x_{23} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{24} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{25} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{26} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{27} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{28} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{29} \to x_{96},
                                                                                                                                                                           x_{30} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{31} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{32} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{33} \rightarrow x_{97}, \quad x_{34} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{35} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{36} \rightarrow x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                           x_{37} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{38} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{39} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{40} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{41} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{42} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{43} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{41} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{42} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{43} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{44} \rightarrow x_
                                                                                                                                                                           x_{44} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{45} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{46} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{47} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{48} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{49} \rightarrow x_{81}, \quad x_{50} \rightarrow x_{96},
                                                                                                                                                                           x_{51} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{52} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{53} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{54} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{55} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{56} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{57} \rightarrow x_{96},
                                                                                                                                                                           x_{58} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{59} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{60} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{61} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{62} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{63} \to x_{96},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                x_{64} \to x_{96}
                                                                                                                                                                           x_{66} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{67} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{68} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{69} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{70} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{71} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{72} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{72} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{72} \to x_{72} \to x_{72}, \quad x_{73} \to x_{73}, \quad x_{74} \to x_{74}, \quad x_{75} \to x_{75}, \quad x_
                                                                                                                                                                       x_{73} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{74} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{75} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{76} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{77} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{78} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{79} \rightarrow x_
                                                                                                                                                                       x_{80} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{82} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{83} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{84} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{85} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{86} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{87} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{88} \to x_{98}, \quad x_{88} \to x_
                                                                                                                                                                           x_{88} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{89} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{90} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{91} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{92} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{93} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{94} \to x_{96},
                                                                                                                                                                    x_{95} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{98} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{100} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{101} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{102} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{103} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{104} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{104} \rightarrow x_{104
                                                                                                                                                          x_{105} \rightarrow x_{99}, \; x_{106} \rightarrow x_{99}, \; x_{107} \rightarrow x_{99}, \; x_{108} \rightarrow x_{99}, \, x_{109} \rightarrow x_{99}, \, x_{110} \rightarrow x_{99}, \; x_{111} \rightarrow x_{99}, \; x_{100} \rightarrow x_{1
                                                                                                                                                          x_{112} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{113} \rightarrow x_{81}, \ x_{114} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{115} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{116} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{117} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{118} \rightarrow x_{96},
                                                                                                                                                          x_{119} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{120} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{121} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{122} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{123} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{124} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{125} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{126} \rightarrow x_{1
                                                                                                                                                          x_{126} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{127} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{128} \rightarrow x_{96}
```

```
\sigma_4^{128} = \{ \quad x_2 \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_3 \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_4 \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_5 \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_6 \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_7 \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_8 \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_9 \rightarrow x_{99}
                                                                                                        x_{10} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{11} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{12} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{13} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{14} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{15} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{16} \to x_{96},
                                                                                                        x_{17} \rightarrow x_{81}, \quad x_{18} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{19} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{20} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{21} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{22} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{23} \rightarrow x_{99},
                                                                                                        x_{24} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{25} \to x_{9}, \quad x_{26} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{27} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{28} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{29} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{30} \to x_{96},
                                                                                                        x_{31} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{32} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{33} \rightarrow x_{97}, \quad x_{34} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{35} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{36} \rightarrow x_{99},
                                                                                                        x_{38} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{39} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{40} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{41} \rightarrow x_{9}, \quad x_{42} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{43} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{44} \rightarrow x_{
                                                                                                        x_{45} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{46} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{47} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{48} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{49} \rightarrow x_{81}, \quad x_{50} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{51} \rightarrow x_{99},
                                                                                                        x_{52} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{53} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{54} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{55} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{56} \to x_{99},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         x_{57} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        x_{58} \to x_{96}
                                                                                                        x_{59} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{60} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{61} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{62} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{63} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{64} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{66} \rightarrow x_{99},
                                                                                                        x_{67} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{68} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{69} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{70} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{71} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{72} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{73} \to x_{9},
                                                                                                      x_{74} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{75} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{76} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{77} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{78} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{79} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{80} \to x_{96},
                                                                                                      x_{82} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{83} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{84} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{85} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{86} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{87} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{88} \rightarrow x_{99},
                                                                                                      x_{89} \rightarrow x_{9}, \quad x_{90} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{91} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{92} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{93} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{94} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{95} \rightarrow x_{96},
                                                                                                        x_{98} \to x_{99}, \ x_{100} \to x_{99}, \ x_{101} \to x_{99}, \ x_{102} \to x_{99}, \ x_{103} \to x_{99}, \ x_{104} \to x_{99}, \ x_{105} \to x_{9},
                                                                                             x_{106} \to x_{96}, \ x_{107} \to x_{96}, \ x_{108} \to x_{96}, \ x_{109} \to x_{96}, \ x_{110} \to x_{96}, \ x_{111} \to x_{96}, \ x_{112} \to x_{96},
                                                                                             x_{113} \to x_{81}, \ x_{114} \to x_{99}, \ x_{115} \to x_{99}, \ x_{116} \to x_{99}, \ x_{117} \to x_{99}, \ x_{118} \to x_{99}, \ x_{119} \to x_{1
                                                                                             x_{120} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{121} \rightarrow x_{9}, \ \ x_{122} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{123} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{124} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{125} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{126} \rightarrow x_{
                                                                                             x_{127} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{128} \rightarrow x_{96}  }
```

```
\sigma_5^{128} = \{ x_2 \to x_{98}, 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    x_3 \rightarrow x_{99}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         x_4 \to x_{92}, \quad x_5 \to x_{93}, \quad x_6 \to x_{94}, \quad x_7 \to x_{95}, \quad x_8 \to x_{96},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                               x_{10} \rightarrow x_{98}, \quad x_{11} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{12} \rightarrow x_{92}, \quad x_{13} \rightarrow x_{93}, \quad x_{14} \rightarrow x_{94}, \quad x_{15} \rightarrow x_{95}, \quad x_{16} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{16} \rightarrow x_{16} \rightarrow x_{16}, \quad x_
                                                                                                                                                                                                                               x_{17} \rightarrow x_{81}, \quad x_{18} \rightarrow x_{98}, \quad x_{19} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{20} \rightarrow x_{92}, \quad x_{21} \rightarrow x_{93}, \quad x_{22} \rightarrow x_{94}, \quad x_{23} \rightarrow x_{95},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       x_{26} \to x_{98}, \quad x_{27} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{28} \to x_{92}, \quad x_{29} \to x_{93}, \quad x_{30} \to x_{94},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         x_{25} \rightarrow x_9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                               x_{31} \rightarrow x_{95}, \quad x_{32} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{33} \rightarrow x_{97}, \quad x_{34} \rightarrow x_{98}, \quad x_{35} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{36} \rightarrow x_{92}, \quad x_{37} \rightarrow x_{93},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                           x_{38} \to x_{94}, \quad x_{39} \to x_{95}, \quad x_{40} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{41} \to x_{9}, \quad x_{42} \to x_{98}, \quad x_{43} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{44} \to x_{92}, \quad x_{44} \to x_{94}, \quad x_{45} \to x_{10}, \quad x_{10} \to x_{
                                                                                                                                                                                                                               x_{45} \rightarrow x_{93}, \quad x_{46} \rightarrow x_{94}, \quad x_{47} \rightarrow x_{95}, \quad x_{48} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{49} \rightarrow x_{81}, \quad x_{50} \rightarrow x_{98}, \quad x_{51} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{51} \rightarrow x_{51} \rightarrow x_{52}, \quad x_{51} \rightarrow x_{52}, \quad x_{52} \rightarrow x_{53}, \quad x_{53} \rightarrow x_{54}, \quad x_{54} \rightarrow x_{54}, \quad x_
                                                                                                                                                                                                                           x_{52} \rightarrow x_{92}, \quad x_{53} \rightarrow x_{93}, \quad x_{54} \rightarrow x_{94}, \quad x_{55} \rightarrow x_{95}, \quad x_{56} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{57} \rightarrow x_{9}, \quad x_{58} \rightarrow x_{98},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                           x_{59} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{60} \to x_{92}, \quad x_{61} \to x_{93}, \quad x_{62} \to x_{94}, \quad x_{63} \to x_{95}, \quad x_{64} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{66} \to x_{98}, \quad x_{64} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{66} \to x_{98}, \quad x_{68} \to x_
                                                                                                                                                                                                                               x_{67} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{68} \rightarrow x_{92}, \quad x_{69} \rightarrow x_{93}, \quad x_{70} \rightarrow x_{94}, \quad x_{71} \rightarrow x_{95}, \quad x_{72} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{73} \rightarrow x_{9},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                           x_{74} \rightarrow x_{98}, \quad x_{75} \rightarrow x_{99}, \quad x_{76} \rightarrow x_{92}, \quad x_{77} \rightarrow x_{93}, \quad x_{78} \rightarrow x_{94}, \quad x_{79} \rightarrow x_{95}, \quad x_{80} \rightarrow x_{96}, \quad x_{78} \rightarrow x_{98}, \quad x_{79} \rightarrow x_{79}, \quad x_{79} \rightarrow x_
                                                                                                                                                                                                                           x_{82} \to x_{98}, \quad x_{83} \to x_{99}, \quad x_{84} \to x_{92}, \quad x_{85} \to x_{93}, \quad x_{86} \to x_{94}, \quad x_{87} \to x_{95}, \quad x_{88} \to x_{96}, \quad x_{88} \to x_{98}, \quad x_{88} \to x_{88}, \quad x_{88} \to x_
                                                                                                                                                                                                                           x_{89} \to x_{9}, \quad x_{90} \to x_{98}, \quad x_{91} \to x_{99}, \ x_{100} \to x_{92}, \ x_{101} \to x_{93}, \ x_{102} \to x_{94}, \ x_{103} \to x_{95}, \\ x_{100} \to x_{100} 
                                                                                                                                                                                                         x_{104} \rightarrow x_{96}, \; x_{105} \rightarrow x_{9}, \; \; x_{106} \rightarrow x_{98}, \; x_{107} \rightarrow x_{99}, \; x_{108} \rightarrow x_{92}, \; x_{109} \rightarrow x_{93}, \; x_{110} \rightarrow x_{94}, \; x_{104} \rightarrow x_{
                                                                                                                                                                                                         x_{111} \rightarrow x_{95}, \ x_{112} \rightarrow x_{96}, \ x_{113} \rightarrow x_{81}, \ x_{114} \rightarrow x_{98}, \ x_{115} \rightarrow x_{99}, \ x_{116} \rightarrow x_{92}, \ x_{117} \rightarrow x_{93}, \ x_{118} \rightarrow x_{1
                                                                                                                                                                                                         x_{118} 	o x_{94}, \; x_{119} 	o x_{95}, \; x_{120} 	o x_{96}, \; x_{121} 	o x_{9}, \; \; x_{122} 	o x_{98}, \; x_{123} 	o x_{99}, \; x_{124} 	o x_{92}, \; x_{124} 	o x_{1
                                                                                                                                                                                                    x_{125} \rightarrow x_{93}, x_{126} \rightarrow x_{94}, x_{127} \rightarrow x_{95}, x_{128} \rightarrow x_{96}
```

We note that if Γ_1 and Γ_2 are unification problems originating from CDODP16 and CDODP16GB, respectively, then, as expected, $ACEB(\Gamma_1) = ACEB(\Gamma_2)$. This also applies to CDODP32 and CDODP32GB.

7 New Cayley-Dixon Orthogonal Designs via Equational Unification

In this section, we translate back from unifiers to solutions of the polynomial systems that give rise to Cayley-Dixon orthogonal designs and list their types. As noted before, the elements of $ACEB(\Gamma)$ correspond to base orthogonal designs from Corollary 3, which implies that the designs we list below are sufficient to give all Cayley-Dixon orthogonal designs for orders 16, 32, 64, and 128. Therefore, we provide a complete solution to the Cayley-Dixon orthogonal design problem for these orders.

- 1. For order 16 we obtain the following two base Cayley-Dixon orthogonal designs:
 - From σ_1^{16} : OD(16; 1, 1, 7, 7).
 - From σ_2^{16} : OD(16; 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2).
- 2. For order 32 we obtain the following three base Cayley-Dixon orthogonal designs:
 - From σ_1^{32} : OD(32; 1, 1, 15, 15).
 - From σ_2^{32} : OD(32; 1, 1, 2, 14, 14).
 - From σ_3^{32} : OD(32; 1, 1, 2, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4).
- 3. For order 64 we obtain the following four base Cayley-Dixon orthogonal designs:

 - From σ_1^{64} : OD(64; 1, 1, 31, 31). From σ_2^{64} : OD(64; 1, 1, 2, 30, 30).
 - From σ_3^{64} : OD(64; 1, 1, 2, 4, 28, 28).
 - From σ_4^{64} : OD(64; 1, 1, 2, 4, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8).
- 4. For order 128 we obtain the following five base Cayley-Dixon orthogonal designs:
 - From σ_1^{128} : OD(128; 1, 1, 63, 63).

```
\begin{array}{lll} - \ \mathrm{From} \ \sigma_2^{128} \colon OD(128;1,1,2,62,62). \\ - \ \mathrm{From} \ \sigma_3^{128} \colon OD(128;1,1,2,4,60,60). \\ - \ \mathrm{From} \ \sigma_4^{128} \colon OD(128;1,1,2,4,8,56,56). \\ - \ \mathrm{From} \ \sigma_5^{128} \colon OD(128;1,1,2,4,8,16,16,16,16,16,16,16). \end{array}
```

It is important to note that from the previous list, some Cayley-Dixon orthogonal designs appear here for the *first time*. Namely, OD(32; 1, 1, 2, 14, 14), OD(64; 1, 1, 2, 30, 30), and OD(64; 1, 1, 2, 4, 28, 28) have not been reported in [7] and [8], respectively. Moreover, *all* five orthogonal designs of order 128 are new within the Cayley-Dixon class.

However, these types of orthogonal designs are not new in the literature on orthogonal designs, as they can be obtained by other methods. In particular, the existence of OD(32;1,1,2,14,14) is attributed to a result of Robinson (p. 358, Corollary D.2., [6]) which states that all orthogonal designs of type (1,1,a,b,c), $a+b+c=2^t-2$ exist in order $2^t,t\geq 3$, for a=2, b=14, c=14 and t=5. Again, from Robinson's result, the OD(64;1,1,2,30,30) and OD(128;1,1,2,62,62) are known for a=2, b=30, c=30, t=6 and a=2, b=62, c=62, t=7, respectively. Finally, by applying the Doubling Lemma (c.f. Lemma 2) to OD(32;1,1,2,14,14), we can get OD(64;1,1,2,4,28,28). Likewise, applying the Doubling Lemma to the designs OD(64;1,1,2,4,28,28), OD(64;1,1,2,30,30), and OD(64;1,1,2,4,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8), we can obtain in a one-to-one correspondence the following ones: OD(128;1,1,2,4,8,56,56), OD(128;1,1,2,4,60,60), and OD(128;1,1,2,4,8,16,16,16,16,16,16,16).

From the previous discussion, three patterns for the orthogonal designs that are modeled by Cayley-Dixon algebras and obtained via equational unification are visible:

- The four variable designs are of the form $OD(2^n; 1, 1, 2^{n-1} 1, 2^{n-1} 1)$, for orders 2^n where n = 4, 5, 6, 7. These types of orthogonal designs can also be obtained via simple Paley matrices [6].
- The five variable designs are of the form $OD(2^n; 1, 1, a, b, c)$ where $a = 2, b = 2^n 2, c = 2^n 2$ for n = 5, 6, 7. As we already noted, these types of orthogonal designs can be obtained from Robinson's results.
- It is clear that there is an analogy between the Cayley-Dixon process and the Doubling Lemma. In particular, by applying the doubling lemma, we get the following constructions: $(OD_1 \leadsto OD_2 \text{ means that } OD_2 \text{ can be obtained from } OD_1 \text{ by the doubling lemma.})$

```
OD(16;1,1,2,2,2,2,2,2,2) \leadsto \\ OD(32;1,1,2,4,4,4,4,4,4) \leadsto \\ OD(64;1,1,2,4,8,8,8,8,8,8) \leadsto \\ OD(128;1,1,2,4,8,16,16,16,16,16,16,16,16). OD(32;1,1,2,14,14) \leadsto \\ OD(64;1,1,2,4,28,28) \leadsto \\ OD(128;1,1,2,4,8,56,56). OD(64;1,1,2,30,30) \leadsto \\ OD(128;1,1,2,4,60,60).
```

Moreover, we would like to explicitly state that these designs are *new with respect to the algebraic modeling of Cayley-Dixon algebras* (in the class of Cayley-Dixon orthogonal designs). However, the corresponding types of orthogonal designs have been reported in the literature also with other techniques. To make our contribution in this section more precise, we can say the following:

- 1. It was not known before that most of the orthogonal designs we found belong also to the class of Cayley-Dixon orthogonal designs.
- 2. Our approach not only reports the orthogonal designs, but also constructs the corresponding design matrices. In this way, we always give a constructive solution to the problem. It is not always the case with the other approaches. In some cases, there are semi-constructive techniques (doubling method), but in some other, there is only the existential, non-constructive method (Robinson's Lemma). (The doubling method is semi-constructive in the sense that one needs to know the design matrix of the initial orthogonal design in order to build design matrices of the orthogonal designs the doubling method gives.) To the best of our knowledge this is the first time that the specific design matrices have been constructed explicitly.
- 3. The design matrices are of interest for the applications of orthogonal designs, since in that case it is not enough to know that the design type exists. For example, in weighing experiments you need the design matrix to perform the actual experiment.
- 4. The fact that the class of Cayley-Dixon orthogonal designs contains the previous types of orthogonal designs is of interest also to the asymptotic existence of orthogonal designs [6], [14] and can be a subject of future work.

8 Conclusion

In this paper, we presented an algebraic framework for modeling orthogonal designs in order of powers of two via Cayley-Dixon algebras of the same orders. This framework gives rise to a polynomial system of equations that is infeasible to be tackled with traditional search algorithms, as the order increases. We exhibited that the structural properties of this algebraic framework can be written in terms of unification theory by establishing important connections between orthogonal designs and unifiers. These connections enabled the development of unification algorithms that can solve the problems arising from the algebraic modeling of orthogonal designs and find solutions that were not known before with this algebraic modeling of Cayley-Dixon algebras.

Acknowledgements The first author is supported by an NSERC Discovery grant. The second author has been supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under the projects SToUT (P 24087-N18) and GALA (P 28789-N32). The third author has been funded in part by the Austrian COMET Program from the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG). Moreover, the authors are thankful to the anonymous reviewers for their helpful suggestions and comments that improved the presentation of the paper.

References

- Baader, F., Snyder, W.: Unification theory. In: J.A. Robinson, A. Voronkov (eds.) Handbook of Automated Reasoning (in 2 volumes), pp. 445–532. Elsevier and MIT Press (2001)
- Baumert, L.D., Hall, Jr., M.: Hadamard matrices of the Williamson type. Math. Comput. 19, 442–447 (1965)
- 3. Boudet, A., Contejean, E., Devie, H.: A new AC unification algorithm with an algorithm for solving systems of Diophantine equations. In: Proceedings of the Fifth Annual Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LICS '90), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA, June 4-7, 1990, pp. 289–299. IEEE Computer Society (1990). DOI 10.1109/LICS.1990.113755
- 4. Dixon, G.M.: Division Algebras: Octonions, Quaternions, Complex Numbers and the Algebraic Design of Physics, *Mathematics and its Applications*, vol. 290. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht (1994)
- Geramita, A.V., Geramita, J.M., Seberry Wallis, J.: Orthogonal designs. Linear and Multilinear Algebra 3, 281–306 (1976)
- Geramita, A.V., Seberry, J.: Orthogonal Designs. Quadratic Forms and Hadamard Matrices, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 45. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, NY (1979)
- Kotsireas, I.S., Koukouvinos, C.: Orthogonal designs via computational algebra. J. Combin. Designs 14, 351–362 (2006)
- 8. Kotsireas, I.S., Koukouvinos, C.: Orthogonal designs of order 32 and 64 via computational algebra. Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 39, 39–48 (2007)
- Kotsireas, I.S., Kutsia, T., Simos, D.E.: Constructing orthogonal designs in powers of two: Gröbner bases meet equational unification. In: M. Fernández (ed.) 26th International Conference on Rewriting Techniques and Applications, RTA 2015, June 29 to July 1, 2015, Warsaw, Poland, LIPIcs, vol. 36, pp. 241–256. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik (2015)
- 10. Kutsia, T.: Solving and proving in equational theories with sequence variables and flexible arity symbols. Ph.D. thesis, Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria (2002)
- MacWilliams, F., Sloane, N.: The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes. North-Holland, The Netherlands, Amsterdam (1997)
- 12. Plackett, R.L., Burman, J.: The design of optimum multifactorial experiments. Biometrika 33, 305–325 (1946)
- 13. Posner, E.: Combinatorial structures in planetary reconnaissance. In: H. Mann (ed.) Proceedings of the 1968 Symposium on Error Correcting Codes, pp. 15–46. Wiley, New York (1968)
- 14. Seberry, J.: Orthogonal Designs. Hadamard matrices, Quadratic Forms and algebras. Revised and updated edition of the 1979 original. Springer (2017)
- Seberry, J.: Hadamard matrices, orthogonal designs and Clifford-Gastineau-Hills algebras. Australas. J. Combin. 71, 452–467 (2018)
- Seberry, J., Craigen, R.: Orthogonal designs. In: C. Colbourn, J. Dinitz (eds.) The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, pp. 400–406. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla. (1996)
- 17. Seberry Wallis, J.: Hadamard designs. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 2, 45–54 (1970)
- 18. Stickel, M.E.: A unification algorithm for associative-commutative functions. J. ACM 28(3), 423–434 (1981). DOI 10.1145/322261.322262
- Taroch, V., Jafarkhani, H., Calderbank, A.R.: Space-time block codes from orthogonal designs. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 45, 1456–1467 (1999)
- Van Lint, J.: Coding, decoding and combinatorics. In: R. Wilson (ed.) Applications of Combinatorics. Shiva, Cheshire (1982)
- Yarlagadda, R., Hershey, J.: Hadamard Matrix Analysis and Synthesis: With Applications to Communications and Signal/Image Processing. Kluwer Acad. Pub., Boston (1997)
- 22. Zhao, Y., Wang, Y., Seberry, J.: On amicable orthogonal designs of order 8. Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 34, 321–329 (2006)