

# Denominator Bounds for Higher Order Systems of Linear Recurrence Equations

— Extended Abstract —

Johannes Middeke      Carsten Schneider

Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC), Linz, Austria

Submitted to ISSAC 2016

## 1 Introduction

Let  $(K, \sigma)$  be a difference field. We define the set of constants by  $\text{const } K = \{c \in K \mid \sigma(c) = c\}$ . A  $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension of  $K$  is a field of rational functions  $K(t)$  over  $K$  together with an extension of  $\sigma$  to  $K(t)$  given by either  $\sigma(t) = at$  ( $\Pi$  case) or  $\sigma(t) = t + b$  ( $\Sigma^*$  case) for some non-zero  $a$  or  $b \in K$  such that  $\text{const } K(t) = \text{const } K$  holds. See, for example, [8, 9] for more details on  $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extensions.

In this work, we consider coupled systems of recurrence equations of the form

$$A_s \sigma^s(y) + \dots + A_1 \sigma(y) + A_0 y = b \quad (1)$$

where  $A_0, \dots, A_s \in K(t)^{m \times n}$  are matrices and  $b \in K(t)^m$  is a vector. Our goal is to find rational solutions, that is, all  $y \in K(t)^n$  which satisfy the system. A first step is to find a nonzero polynomial  $d \in K[t]$  such that  $dy$  has only polynomial entries for all possible solutions  $y$ . This polynomial is known as *denominator bound* or *universal denominator*.

Most existing algorithms as for instance [3, 1] work by translating the higher order system to a first order system. We only know of one method, [4], dealing directly with higher order systems. Our algorithm is similar to that later work; however, we expand it in several points: 1. Most importantly, we address the problem for general  $\Pi\Sigma^*$  extensions instead of concentrating on the case  $\text{const } K = K$  and the shift operator  $t \mapsto t + 1$ . 2. In addition our method does not require the system matrices to be square or their rows to be linearly independent.

## 2 Results

The special case of a scalar recurrence ( $m = 1$ ) for a  $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension  $(K(t), \sigma)$  of  $(K, \sigma)$  has been treated in [6, 10]. The derived algorithm generalises Abramov's denominator bounding algorithm [2] that has been introduced for the rational case, i.e., for the situation that  $\text{const}(K) = K$  and  $\sigma(t) = t + 1$ . Exploiting the observation that Abramov's algorithm can be formulated in a straightforward fashion [7] enables us to tackle the denominator bounding problem for the general case  $m \geq 1$  in a given  $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extension.

More precisely, in this poster we present a way to derive the denominator bound for the "aperiodic" part directly from the highest and lowest coefficient matrix  $A_\ell$  and  $A_0$  for the case that both matrices are regular. It is convenient to consider two cases.

For the  $\Sigma^*$ -case we show that for any solution  $y = \frac{p}{d} \in K(t)^m$  ( $p \in K[t]^m \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $d \in K[t] \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\text{gcd}(p, d) = 1$ ) of the system of (1) the denominator  $d$  fulfils

$$d \mid \text{gcd}\left(\prod_{j=0}^D \sigma^{-\ell-j}(\tilde{m}), \prod_{j=0}^D \sigma^j(\tilde{p})\right) \quad (2)$$

where  $\tilde{m}$  is the denominator of  $A_\ell^{-1}$ ,  $\tilde{p}$  is the denominator of  $A_0^{-1}$  and  $D$  is the dispersion of  $\sigma^{-\ell}(\tilde{m})$  and  $\tilde{p}$ . Here the dispersion of  $a, b \in K[t]$  is defined by

$$\text{disp}(a, b) = \max\{n > 0 \mid \text{gcd}(a, \sigma^n(b)) \neq 1\}$$

with the convention that  $\max \emptyset = -1$ ; and the denominator of a matrix means the least common multiple of the denominators of its entries. It is important to note that for any  $a, b \in K[t] \setminus \{0\}$  the dispersion  $\text{disp}(a, b)$  is finite; for details see [6, 9]. This implies in particular that the products in our formula (2) are well defined.

For the  $\Pi$ -case the situation is slightly more complicated. For any  $a, b \in K[t] \setminus \{0\}$  the dispersion  $\text{disp}(a, b)$  is finite if and only if  $t \nmid a$  or  $t \nmid b$ ; see again [6, 9]. Using this extra insight, we show that for any solution  $y = \frac{p}{d t^r} \in K(t)^m$  ( $r \geq 0$ ,  $p \in K[t]^m \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $d \in K[t] \setminus \{0\}$  with  $t \nmid d$  and  $\gcd(p, d t^r) = 1$ ) of the system (1) the factor  $d$  of the denominator fulfils (2) where  $\tilde{m}$  and  $\tilde{p}$  are defined as above but where the possibly occurring factors  $t$  are removed. In the  $\Pi$  case, what is left of a polynomial after removing factors of  $t$  is usually known as its aperiodic part within the  $\Pi\Sigma^*$  setting; that is, we are computing the so-called aperiodic denominator bound here. Again this construction implies that  $D = \text{disp}(\sigma^{-\ell}(\tilde{m}), \tilde{p})$  in (2) is finite.

Moreover, we provide a discussion on how to deal with systems where the leading or trailing coefficient matrices are singular. Here, we have to preprocess the system using so-called row and column reduction—see, for example, [5]. In brief, this method considers the system matrix  $A = A_\ell \sigma^\ell + \dots + A_1 \sigma + A_0$  as a matrix over the operator ring  $K(t)[\sigma]$  and uses elementary row or column transformations in order to make the leading matrix  $A_\ell$  regular. A slight modification of the method can be used to work on the trailing matrix  $A_0$  as well. Thus we obtain two equivalent systems from which we get a similar denominator bound to (2).

For the  $\Pi$ -case we also provide some preliminary results on the missing “periodic” denominator bound  $t^r$  for some  $r \geq 0$ . Here we focus on the special case that  $\text{const } K = K$ .

## References

- [1] Jakob Ablinger, Arnd Behring, Johannes Blümlein, Abilio De Freitas, Andreas von Manteuffel, and Carsten Schneider, *Calculating Three Loop Ladder and V-Topologies for Massive Operator Matrix Elements by Computer Algebra*, *Comput. Phys. Comm.* **202** (2016), 33–112 (english), arXiv:1509.08324 [hep-ph].
- [2] Sergei A. Abramov, *Rational solutions of linear differential and difference equations with polynomial coefficients*, *U.S.S.R. Comput. Math. Math. Phys.* **29** (1989), no. 6, 7–12.
- [3] Sergei A. Abramov and Moulay Barkatou, *Rational solutions of first order linear difference systems*, *Proceedings of ISSAC’98 (Rostock)*, 1998.
- [4] Sergei A. Abramov and E. Khmelnov, D. *Denominators of rational solutions of linear difference systems of an arbitrary order*, *Programming and Computer Software* **38** (2012), no. 2, 84–91.
- [5] Bernhard Beckermann, Howard Cheng, and George Labahn, *Fraction-free row reduction of matrices of Ore polynomials*, *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **41** (2006), 513–543.
- [6] Manuel Bronstein, *On solutions of linear ordinary difference equations in their coefficient field*, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **29** (2000), no. 6, 841–877.
- [7] William Y. Chen, Peter Paule, and Husam L. Saad, *Converging to Gosper’s algorithm*, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **41** (2008), no. 3, 351–364. MR 2449596
- [8] Michael Karr, *Summation in finite terms*, *Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery* **28** (1981), no. 2, 305–350.
- [9] Carsten Schneider, *Symbolic summation in difference fields*, Ph.D. thesis, Research Institute for Symbolic Computation / Johannes Kepler University, May 2001, published as Technical report no. 01-17 in RISC Report Series.
- [10] Carsten Schneider, *A collection of denominator bounds to solve parameterized linear difference equations in  $\Pi\Sigma$ -extensions*, *An. Univ. Timișoara Ser. Mat.-Inform.* **42** (2004), no. 2, 163–179, Extended version of Proc. SYNASC’04.