APÉRY'S DOUBLE SUM IS PLAIN SAILING INDEED

CARSTEN SCHNEIDER

ABSTRACT. We demonstrate that also the second sum involved in Apéry's proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$ becomes trivial by symbolic summation.

In his beautiful survey [4], van der Poorten explained that Apéry's proof [1] of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$ relies on the following fact: If

$$a(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+k}{k}^{2} \binom{n}{k}^{2}$$

and

$$b(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+k}{k}^2 \binom{n}{k}^2 \left(H_n^{(3)} + \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{2m^3 \binom{n+m}{m} \binom{n}{m}} \right)$$
(1)

where $H_n^{(3)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^3}$ are the harmonic numbers of order three, then both sums a(n) and b(n) satisfy the same recurrence relation

$$(n+1)^{3}A(n) - (2n+3)\left(17n^{2} + 51n + 39\right)A(n+1) + (n+2)^{3}A(n+2) = 0.$$
 (2)

Van der Poorten points out that Henri Cohen and Don Zagier showed this key ingredient by "some rather complicated but ingenious explanations" [4, Section 8] based on the creative telescoping method.

Due to Doron Zeilberger's algorithmic breakthrough [9], the a(n)-case became a trivial exercise. Also the b(n)-case can be handled by skillful application of computer algebra: In [10] Zeilberger was able to generalize the Zagier/Cohen method in the setting of WZ-forms. Later developments for multiple sums [8, 7] together with holonomic closure properties [5, 3] enable alternative computer proofs of the b(n)-case; see, e.g., [2].

Nowadays, also the b(n)-case is completely trivialized: Using the summation package Sigma [6] we get plain sailing – instead of plane sailing, cf. van der Poorten's statement in [4, Section 8]. Namely, after loading the package into the computer algebra system Mathematica $\ln[1] = \langle \langle \text{Sigma.m} \rangle$

Sigma - A summation package by Carsten Schneider © RISC-Linz

we insert our sum mySum = b(n)

$$\ln[2] = \mathbf{mySum} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {\binom{n+k}{k}}^{2} {\binom{n}{k}}^{2} {\binom{H_{n}^{(3)} + \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{2m^{3} {\binom{n+m}{m}} {\binom{n}{m}}}};$$

and produce the desired recurrence with

In[3] := GenerateRecurrence[mySum]

 $\text{Out[3]} = \left\{ (n+1)^3 \mathrm{SUM}[n] - (2n+3) \left(17n^2 + 51n + 39 \right) \mathrm{SUM}[n+1] + (n+2)^3 \mathrm{SUM}[n+2] = = 0 \right\}$

Supported by the SFB-grant F1305 and the grant P16613-N12 of the Austrian FWF.

CARSTEN SCHNEIDER

where SUM[n] = b(n) = mySum. The correctness proof is immediate from the proof certificates delivered by Sigma.

Proof. Set $h(n,k) := \binom{n+k}{k} \binom{n}{k}$, $s(n,k) := \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{2m^3\binom{n+m}{m}\binom{n}{m}}$, and let f(n,k) be the summand of (1), i.e., $f(n,k) = h(n,k)^2 (H_n^{(3)} + s(n,k))$. The correctness follows by the relation

$$s(n+1,k) = s(n,k) - \frac{1}{(n+1)^3} - \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(n+1)^2(n+k+1)h(n,k)}$$
(3)

and by the creative telescoping equation

$$c_0(n)f(n,k) + c_1(n)f(n+1,k) + c_2(n)f(n+2,k) = g(n,k+1) - g(n,k)$$
(4)

with the proof certificate given by $c_0(n) = (n+1)^3$, $c_1(n) = 17n^2 + 51n + 39$, $c_2(n) = (n+2)^3$, and

$$g(n,k) = \frac{h(n,k)^2 \Big[p_0(n,k) H_n^{(3)} + p_1(n,k) \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{2m^3 \binom{n+m}{m} \binom{n}{m}} \Big] + (-1)^k h(n,k) p_2(n,k)}{(n+1)^2 (n+2)(-k+n+1)^2 (-k+n+2)^2}$$

where

$$\begin{split} p_0(n,k) =& 4k^4(n+1)^2(n+2)(2n+3)(2k^2-3k-4n^2-12n-8), \\ p_1(n,k) =& 4k^4(n+1)^2(n+2)(2n+3)(2k^2-3k-4n^2-12n-8), \\ p_2(n,k) =& k(k+n+1)(2n+3)(-8n^4+24kn^3-48n^3-31k^2n^2+109kn^2 \\ &\quad -104n^2+13k^3n-100k^2n+159kn-96n+21k^3-81k^2+74k-32). \end{split}$$

Relation (3) is straightforward to check: Take its shifted version in k, subtract the original version, and then verify equality of hypergeometric terms. To conclude that (4) holds for all $0 \le k \le n$ and all $n \ge 0$ one proceeds as follows: Express g(n, k + 1) in (4) in terms of h(n, k) and s(n, k) by using the relations $h(n, k + 1) = \frac{(n-k)(n+k+1)}{(k+1)^2}h(n, k)$ and $s(n, k + 1) = \frac{(-1)^k}{2(k+1)^3h(n,k+1)}$. Similarly, express the f(n+i, k) in (4) in terms of h(n, k) and s(n, k) by using the relations $b(n + 1, k) = \frac{n+k+1}{n-k+1}h(n, k)$ and (3). Then verify (4) by polynomial arithmetic. Finally, summing (4) over k from 0 to n gives $\mathsf{Out}[3]$ or (2).

In conclusion, we remark that the harmonic numbers $H_n^{(3)}$ in (1) are crucial to obtain the recurrence relation (2). More precisely, for the input sum

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+k}{k}^{2} \binom{n}{k}^{2} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{2m^{3} \binom{n+m}{m} \binom{n}{m}}$$

Sigma is only able to derive a recurrence relation of order four.

References

- [1] R. Apéry. Irrationalité de $\zeta(2)$ et $\zeta(3)$. Astérisque, 61:11–13, 1979.
- F. Chyzak. Variations on the sequence of apéry numbers. http://algo.inria.fr/libraries/autocomb/Aperyhtml/Apery.html.
- [3] C. Mallinger. Algorithmic manipulations and transformations of univariate holonomic functions and sequences. Master's thesis, RISC, J. Kepler University, Linz, 1996.

- [4] A. van der Poorten. A proof that Euler missed... Apéry's proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$. Math. Intelligencer, 1:195–203, 1979.
- [5] B. Salvy and P. Zimmermann. Gfun: A package for the manipulation of generating and holonomic functions in one variable. ACM Trans. Math. Software, 20:163–177, 1994.
- [6] C. Schneider. The summation package Sigma: Underlying principles and a rhombus tiling application. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., 6(2):365–386, 2004.
- [7] K. Wegschaider. Computer generated proofs of binomial multi-sum identities. Diploma thesis, RISC Linz, Johannes Kepler University, 1997.
- [8] H. Wilf and D. Zeilberger. An algorithmic proof theory for hypergeometric (ordinary and "q") multisum/integral identities. *Invent. Math.*, 108:575–633, 1992.
- [9] D. Zeilberger. The method of creative telescoping. J. Symbolic Comput., 11:195–204, 1991.
- [10] D. Zeilberger. Closed form (pun intended!). Contemp. Math., 143:579–607, 1993.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR SYMBOLIC COMPUTATION, J. KEPLER UNIVERSITY LINZ, A-4040 LINZ, AUSTRIA *E-mail address*: Carsten.Schneider@risc.uni-linz.ac.at