

Parallel logical inference search in Algebraic Programming System (APS)

J.Kapitonova, A.Letichevski, V.German, M.Morokhovets

(Glushkov Institute of Cybernetics)

OBJECTIVES:

- **to develop parallel prover as an application of APS**
- **to investigate the implemented version of that prover**

Logical calculus

1

A prime sequent:

$x \Rightarrow y$, where x, y are propositional formulas.

A conditional sequent:

(w, Q) , where w is conjunction of literals, Q is conjunction of prime sequents.

An auxiliary goal: $aux(v, u \Rightarrow z, P)$, where z is a literal, u, v are propositional formulas, P is conjunction of prime sequents

Axioms:

$(w, 1)$;

$(w, u \Rightarrow 1)$;

$(w, 0 \Rightarrow P)$;

$(0, Q)$,

where w is conjunction of literals, P is conjunction of prime sequents, 1 denotes empty conjunction.

Logical calculus

2

Rules of Inference:

$$(R1) \quad (w, u \Rightarrow 0) \vdash (w, 1 \Rightarrow \neg u),$$

$$(R2) \quad (w, u \Rightarrow x \wedge y) \vdash (w, (u \Rightarrow x) \wedge (u \Rightarrow y)),$$

$$(R3) \quad (w, u \Rightarrow x \vee y) \vdash (w, \neg x \wedge u \Rightarrow y),$$

$$(R4) \quad (w, x \wedge y \Rightarrow z) \vdash (w \wedge x, y \Rightarrow z), \text{ x is a literal,}$$

$$(R5) \quad (w, F) \vdash (w', F')$$

$$(w, F \wedge H) \vdash (w, H)$$

where (w', F') is an axiom,

$$(R6) \quad \text{aux}(1, w \wedge u \Rightarrow z, 1) \vdash \text{aux}(v, z \wedge y \Rightarrow z, P)$$

$$(w, u \Rightarrow z) \vdash (w \wedge \neg z, P)$$

where z is a literal, P is conjunction of prime sequents.

Logical calculus

3

(RA1) $\text{aux}(v, x \wedge y \Rightarrow z, P) \vdash \text{aux}(v \wedge y, x \Rightarrow z, P)$,

(RA2) $\text{aux}(v, x \vee y \Rightarrow z, P) \vdash \text{aux}(v, x \Rightarrow z, (v \Rightarrow \neg y) \wedge P)$.

Formula P is a tautology IFF $(1, 1 \Rightarrow P) \vdash Q$, where Q is an axiom.

EXAMPLE

Is $(a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a1) \wedge (a1 \vee \neg a1)$ a tautology?

$(a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a1) \wedge (a1 \vee \neg a1)$ is a tautology IFF

$(1, 1 \Rightarrow (a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a1) \wedge (a1 \vee \neg a1)) \vdash Q$, where Q is an axiom.

/ Proof /

$(1, 1 \Rightarrow (a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a1) \wedge (a1 \vee \neg a1))$ (initial sequent)

$(1, (1 \Rightarrow (a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a1)) \wedge (1 \Rightarrow (a1 \vee \neg a1)))$ (R2)

/ Now R5 is applicable: if it is possible to prove

$(1, 1 \Rightarrow (a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a1)) \vdash (w', F')$, where (w', F') is an axiom,

then there is only to prove that

$(1, 1 \Rightarrow (a1 \vee \neg a1)).$ (*) /

$(1, 1 \Rightarrow (a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a1))$ (R5, begin)

$(1, \neg(a2 \wedge a1) \Rightarrow \neg a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a1)$ (for $x=a2 \wedge a1, y=\neg a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a1$ R3)

$(1, \neg a2 \vee \neg a1 \Rightarrow \neg a2 \wedge a1 \vee \neg a1)$ (substitute: $\neg(a2 \wedge a1) \rightarrow \neg a2 \vee \neg a1$)

$(1, (\neg a2 \vee \neg a1) \wedge (a2 \vee \neg a1) \Rightarrow \neg a1)$ (R3)

$\text{aux}(1, (\neg a2 \vee \neg a1) \wedge (a2 \vee \neg a1) \Rightarrow \neg a1, 1)$ (R6, begin)

$\text{aux}((\neg a2 \vee \neg a1), a2 \vee \neg a1 \Rightarrow \neg a1, 1)$ (RA1)

$\text{aux}((\neg a2 \vee \neg a1), \neg a1 \Rightarrow \neg a1, \neg a2 \vee \neg a1 \Rightarrow \neg a2)$ (RA2)

$(a1, \neg a2 \vee \neg a1 \Rightarrow \neg a2)$ (R6, end)

$\text{aux}(1, a1 \wedge (\neg a2 \vee \neg a1) \Rightarrow \neg a2, 1)$ (R6, begin)

$\text{aux}(a1, \neg a2 \vee \neg a1 \Rightarrow \neg a2, 1)$ (RA1)

$\text{aux}(a1, \neg a2 \Rightarrow \neg a2, a1 \Rightarrow a1)$ (RA2)

$(a1 \wedge a2, a1 \Rightarrow a1)$ (R6, end)

aux(1, a1 ∧ a2 ∧ a1 ⇒ a1, 1)

(R6, begin)

aux(1, a1 ∧ a2 ⇒ a1, 1)

(substitute: a1 ∧ a2 ∧ a1 → a1 ∧ a2)

aux(a2, a1 ⇒ a1, 1)

(RA1)

(a1 ∧ a2 ∧ ¬a1, 1)

(R6, end; axiom)

/ Prove (1, 1 ⇒ (a1 ∨ ¬a1)) /

(1, (1 ⇒ (a1 ∨ ¬a1)))

(sequent (*))

(1, a1 ⇒ a1)

(R3)

aux(1, a1 ⇒ a1, 1)

(R6, begin)

(¬a1, 1)

(R6, end; R5, end; axiom)

/ Proved /

PARALLELIZATION IN THEOREM-PROVING

- **AND-PARALLELISM**
- **OR-PARALLELISM**
- **COOPERATIVE THEOREM PROVING**
- **COMPETITIVE THEOREM PROVING**

COMPUTATIONAL ENVIRONMENT

**SUPERCOMPUTER FOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES
(SCIT):**

cluster-type computer system

**32 processors + Network File System + Message Passing
Interface**

TYPES OF INPUT FORMULAS

- $F_1 \& \dots \& F_n$

- $F_1 \& \dots \& F_n \rightarrow F$

EXPERIMENTS

**Series 1: Exp 1 = (a_7 & a_6 & a_5 & a_4 & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 ∨
~(a_7) & a_6 & a_5 & a_4 & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 ∨
~(a_6) & a_5 & a_4 & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 ∨ ~(a_5) & a_4 & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 ∨
~(a_4) & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 ∨ ~(a_3) & a_2 & a_1 ∨ ~(a_2) & a_1 ∨ ~(a_1)) &
(a_6 & a_5 & a_4 & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 ∨ ~(a_6) & a_5 & a_4 & a_3 & a_2 & a_1
∨ ~(a_5) & a_4 & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 ∨ ~(a_4) & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 ∨ ~(a_3) & a_2
& a_1 ∨ ~(a_2) & a_1 ∨ ~(a_1)) &
(a_5 & a_4 & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 ∨ ~(a_5) & a_4 & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 ∨ ~(a_4) &
a_3 & a_2 & a_1 ∨ ~(a_3) & a_2 & a_1 ∨ ~(a_2) & a_1 ∨ ~(a_1)) &
(a_4 & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 ∨ ~(a_4) & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 ∨ ~(a_3) & a_2 & a_1 ∨
~(a_2) & a_1 ∨ ~(a_1)) &
(a_3 & a_2 & a_1 ∨ ~(a_3) & a_2 & a_1 ∨ ~(a_2) & a_1 ∨ ~(a_1)) &
(a_2 & a_1 ∨ ~(a_2) & a_1 ∨ ~(a_1)) & (a_1 ∨ ~(a_1)).**

$$\text{Exp } i = (a_{f(i)} \& a_{f(i)-1} \& \dots \& a_1 \vee \sim(a_{f(i)}) \& a_{f(i)-1} \& \dots \& a_1 \vee \dots$$

$$\vee \sim(a_2) \& a_1 \vee \sim(a_1)) \& (a_{f(i)-1} \& \dots \& a_1 \vee \sim(a_{f(i)-1})) \& \dots \& a_1 \vee \dots \vee$$

$$\sim(a_2) \& a_1 \vee \sim(a_1)) \& \text{Exp } i-1.$$

$f(i) = 7+2(i-1)$, i is positive integer, $1 \leq i \leq 6$, $f(7)=18$.

Exp $i = \text{Exp } i.j$ w.r.t. commutativity and associativity

Series 2.

A tree T1 is represented by propositional formula:

$$T1 = (\sim(a_0) \vee a_1) \& (\sim(a_0) \vee a_2) \& (\sim(a_0) \vee a_3) \& (\sim(a_0) \vee a_4) \& (\sim(a_0) \vee a_5) \& (\sim(a_1) \vee a_6) \& (\sim(a_2) \vee a_7) \& (\sim(a_3) \vee a_8) \& (\sim(a_4) \vee a_9) \& (\sim(a_5) \vee a_{10}).$$

A path between nodes v and u exists, when $T1 \rightarrow (u \rightarrow v)$ is a tautology. A tree T_i ($1 \leq i \leq 10$) has $10+5(i-1)$ nodes.

Graph G_{ri} ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) has $10+5(i-1)$ nodes; it is built by re-directing a node $(a_{(5(i-1)+1)}, a_{(5i+1)})$ in T_i .

Table1 Speed-up in series 1 (time in seconds)

	Exp1	Exp1.1	Exp2	Exp2.1	Exp3	Exp3.1	Exp4	Exp4.1	Exp5	Ep5.1	Exp 6	Exp6.1	Exp6.2	Exp 7
Time for 2 processors	7	9	15	15	31	34	65	90	141	142	294	296	293	2091
Minimal time	5	6	8	9	15	9	24	37	43	46	63	85	86	1711
Number of Proc.	4	3	4	4	3	3	5	4	5	6	8	10	5	8
Speed-up	1.8	1.5	1.9	1.7	6.2	3.8	2.7	2.4	3.3	3	4.7	3.5	3.4	1.2

Table 2 Speed-up in series 2 (paths in trees)

	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
Time for 2 processors	15	22	52	125	237	440	652	1054	1343	2234
Min time	8	13	18	25	36	42	60	77	132	132
Number of proc.	6	7	6	10	13	26	18	20	15	27
Speed-up	1.9	1.7	2.9	5.0	6.7	10.5	10.9	13.9	10.2	16.9

Table 3 Speed-up in series 3 (paths in graphs)

	Gr1	Gr2	Gr3	Gr4
Time for 2 processors	19	28	64	146
Min. time	12	16	28	41
Number of processors	4	4	11	17
Speed-up	1.6	1.8	2.3	3.6