Basic Structure of Denotational Definitions

Basic Structure of Denotational Definitions

Wolfgang Schreiner Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC-Linz) Johannes Kepler University, A-4040 Linz, Austria

Wolfgang.Schreiner@risc.uni-linz.ac.at http://www.risc.uni-linz.ac.at/people/schreine

A Calculator Language

- Buttons and display screen,
- Single memory cell,
- Conditional evaluation feature.

Input	Display
ON	
(4 + 12) * 2	
TOTAL	32
1 + LASTANSWER	
TOTAL	33
IF LASTANSWER $+ 1$, 0 , 2 $+ 4$	
TOTAL	6
OFF	

(See Schmidt, Figures 4.2 and 4.3)

Evaluation Functions

• **P**: Program $\rightarrow Nat^*$

Program mapped to list of outputs.

• **S**: Expr-sequence
$$\rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Nat^*$$

Expression sequence and content of memory cell mapped to list of outputs.

$\bullet \mathbf{E} : \mathsf{Expression} \to \mathit{Nat} \to \mathit{Nat}$

Expression and content of memory cell mapped to evaluation result.

• N: Numeral \rightarrow *Nat*

Numeral mapped to natural number.

Observations

1. Global data structures are modelled as arguments to valuation functions.

No "global variables" for functions.

2. Meaning of a syntactic construct can be a function.

S's functionality states thant the meaning of an expression sequence is a function from a memory cell to a list of numbers.

S Rule

S[[E TOTAL S]]

- Calculator actions:
 - 1. Evaluate [[E]] using cell n producing value n'.
 - 2. Print n' on the display.
 - 3. Place n' into the memory cell.
 - 4. Evaluate the rest of sequence [[S]] using the cell.

• Representation in semantic equation

- 1. E[[E]](n) is bound to variable n',
- 2. n' cons ...
- 3. and 4. S[[S]](n')

However right-hand side of equation is a mathematical value!

Simplification

 $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}[[\mathsf{ON}\ 2+1\ \mathsf{TOTAL}\ \mathsf{IF}\ \mathsf{LA}\ ,\ 2\ ,\ 0\ \mathsf{TOTAL}\ \mathsf{OFF}]] \\ &= \mathbf{S}[[2+1\ \mathsf{TOTAL}\ \mathsf{IF}\ \mathsf{LA}\ ,\ 2\ ,\ 0\ \mathsf{TOTAL}\ \mathsf{OFF}]](\mathit{zero}) \\ &= \mathsf{let}\ n' = \mathbf{E}[[2+1]](\mathit{zero}) \\ &\quad \mathsf{in}\ n'\ \mathsf{cons}\ \mathbf{S}[[\mathsf{IF}\ \mathsf{LA}\ ,\ 2\ ,\ 0\ \mathsf{TOTAL}\ \mathsf{OFF}]](n') \\ &= \mathsf{let}\ n' = three \\ &\quad \mathsf{in}\ n'\ \mathsf{cons}\ \mathbf{S}[[\mathsf{IF}\ \mathsf{LA}\ ,\ 2\ ,\ 0\ \mathsf{TOTAL}\ \mathsf{OFF}]](n') \\ &= three\ \mathsf{cons}\ \mathbf{S}[[\mathsf{IF}\ \mathsf{LA}\ ,\ 2\ ,\ 0\ \mathsf{TOTAL}\ \mathsf{OFF}]](three) \\ &= three\ \mathsf{cons}\ \mathbf{S}[[\mathsf{IF}\ \mathsf{LA}\ ,\ 2\ ,\ 0\ \mathsf{TOTAL}\ \mathsf{OFF}]](three) \\ &= three\ \mathsf{cons}\ (\mathsf{E}[[\mathsf{IF}\ \mathsf{LA}\ ,\ 2\ ,\ 0\ \mathsf{IOTAL}\ \mathsf{OFF}]](three) \\ &= three\ \mathsf{cons}\ (\mathsf{E}[[\mathsf{IF}\ \mathsf{LA}\ ,\ 2\ ,\ 0\ \mathsf{I}](three)\ \mathsf{cons}\ \mathsf{nil}) \\ &= three\ \mathsf{cons}\ (\mathsf{IF}\ \mathsf{LA}\ ,\ 2\ ,\ 0\ \mathsf{I}](three)\ \mathsf{cons}\ \mathsf{nil}) \\ &= \mathsf{E}[[\mathsf{IF}\ \mathsf{LA}\ ,\ 2\ ,\ 0\ \mathsf{I}](three)\ \mathsf{equals}\ \mathsf{zero}\ \to \\ &\qquad \mathsf{E}[[2]](three)\ []\ \mathsf{E}[[0]](three)\ equals\ \mathsf{zero}\ \to \\ &\qquad \mathsf{E}[[2]](three)\ []\ \mathsf{E}[[0]](three)\ equals\ \mathsf{zero}\ \to \\ &=\ \mathsf{false}\ \to\ \mathsf{two}\ []\ \mathsf{zero}\ equals\ \mathsf{zero}\ \to \ \mathsf{two}\ []\ \mathsf{zero}\ equals\ equals\ \mathsf{zero}\ equals\ \mathsf{zero}\ equals\ \mathsf{zero}\ equals\ \mathsf{zero}\ equals\ \mathsf{zero}\ equals\ \mathsf{zero}\ equals\ equals\ equals\ \mathsf{zero}\ equals\ equals\$

Simplification

- Each simplification step preserves meaning.
- Goal is to produce equivalent expression whose meaning is more obvious than the meaning of the original.
- Simplification process shows how program operates.
- Denotational definition \rightarrow *specification*.
- Denotational definition plus simplification strategy → implementation.