

Induction

Wolfgang Schreiner

Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC-Linz)

Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria

Wolfgang.Schreiner@risc.uni-linz.ac.at

<http://www.risc.uni-linz.ac.at/people/schreine>

Overview

- Inductive Definitions
- Induction Proofs
- Application: Verifications
- Induction on Sets

Inductive Definitions

Situation

- Recursive definitions on \mathbb{N} :

$$* : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

$$x * y := \mathbf{if} \ y = 0 \ \mathbf{then} \ 0 \ \mathbf{else} \ x + (x * y^-)$$

- Proposition:

$$\begin{aligned} x * 0 &= 0, \\ x * y' &= x + (x * y). \end{aligned}$$

Recursive function definition implies pair of equations.

Idea

Also converse is true:

- For each $a * b$, left hand side of only **one** equation “matches”:
 - Either $b = 0$ or $b = y'$ for some y .
 - Consequence of first Peano axiom.
- Equality $b = y'$ determines **unique** y .
 - $(b = y_0' \wedge b = y_1') \Rightarrow y_0 = y_1$ for all y_0 and y_1 .
 - Consequence of second Peano axiom.

Pair of equations uniquely determines a function.

Alternative Definition Format

Definition by pair of equations (“induction on second argument”):

$$* : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

$$x * 0 := 0,$$

$$x * (y + 1) := x + (x * y).$$

By syntactic restriction of the equations, the function is well defined.

Inductive Function Definitions

Definition: An **inductive definition** over \mathbb{N} of an n -ary function f :

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0, \dots, 0, \dots, x_{n-1}) &:= T_b, \\ f(x_0, \dots, x_i + 1, \dots, x_{n-1}) &:= T_r \end{aligned}$$

- f does not occur in **base term** T_b .
- Every application of f in **recursion term** T_r has form

$$f(T_0, \dots, x_i, \dots, T_{n-1})$$

- Free variables of terms must occur in definiendum.

Induction runs over x_i .

Inductively Defined Function

Let $A_0, \dots, A_{i-1}, A_{i+1}, \dots, A_{n-1}, B$ such that we have

$$T_b \in B \wedge T_r \in B$$

for all $x_0 \in A_0, \dots, x_{i-1} \in A_{i-1}, x_i \in \mathbb{N}, x_{i+1} \in A_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n-1} \in A_{n-1}$. Then the definition introduces the unique function

$$f : A_0 \times \dots \times A_{i-1} \times \mathbb{N} \times A_{i+1} \times \dots \times A_{n-1} \rightarrow B$$

that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0, \dots, 0, \dots, x_{n-1}) &= T_b \wedge \\ f(x_0, \dots, x_i + 1, \dots, x_{n-1}) &= T_r \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_0 \in A_0, \dots, x_{i-1} \in A_{i-1}, x_i \in \mathbb{N}, x_{i+1} \in A_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n-1} \in A_{n-1}$.

Induction with Larger Decrements

Example: **Fibonacci Numbers**

$$\begin{aligned}\text{fib}(0) &:= 1, \\ \text{fib}(1) &:= 1, \\ \text{fib}(x+2) &:= \text{fib}(x) + \text{fib}(x+1)\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{fib} = [1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, \dots]$$

All base cases must be covered!

Induction over Multiple Arguments

Examples:

$$\begin{aligned}f(0, 0) &:= 0, \\f(x + 1, 0) &:= 1 + f(x, 0), \\f(x, y + 1) &:= 1 + f(x, y).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}f(0, 0) &:= 0, \\f(x + 1, 0) &:= 1 + f(x, 0), \\f(0, y + 1) &:= 1 + f(0, y), \\f(x + 1, y + 1) &:= 2 + f(x, y),\end{aligned}$$

All possible base cases must be covered!

Inductive Predicate Definitions

Definition: An **inductive definition** over \mathbb{N} of an n -ary predicate p :

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_0, \dots, 0, \dots, x_{n-1}) &:\Leftrightarrow F_b, \\ p(x_0, \dots, x_i + 1, \dots, x_{n-1}) &:\Leftrightarrow F_r \end{aligned}$$

- p does not occur in **base formula** F_b .
- Every application of p in **recursion formula** F_r has form

$$p(T_0, \dots, x_i, \dots, T_{n-1})$$

- Free variables of terms must occur in definiendum.

Induction runs over x_i .

Inductively Defined Predicate

Take sets $A_0, \dots, A_{i-1}, A_{i+1}, \dots, A_{n-1}$.

The definition introduces the predicate

$$p \subseteq A_0 \times \dots \times A_{i-1} \times \mathbb{N} \times A_{i+1} \times \dots \times A_{n-1}$$

that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_0, \dots, 0, \dots, x_{n-1}) &\Leftrightarrow T_b \wedge \\ p(x_0, \dots, x_i + 1, \dots, x_{n-1}) &\Leftrightarrow T_r \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_0 \in A_0, \dots, x_{i-1} \in A_{i-1}, x_i \in \mathbb{N}, x_{i+1} \in A_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n-1} \in A_{n-1}$.

Example

We can introduce the predicate $\text{iseven}(x) :\Leftrightarrow 2|x$ also as

$$\begin{aligned}\text{iseven}(0) &:\Leftrightarrow \text{T}, \\ \text{iseven}(x + 1) &:\Leftrightarrow \neg \text{iseven}(x).\end{aligned}$$

or as

$$\begin{aligned}\text{iseven}(0) &:\Leftrightarrow \text{T}, \\ \text{iseven}(1) &:\Leftrightarrow \text{F}, \\ \text{iseven}(x + 2) &:\Leftrightarrow \text{iseven}(x).\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{iseven} = [\text{T}, \text{F}, \text{T}, \text{F}, \text{T}, \dots]$$

Induction Proofs

Mathematical Induction

Third Peano Axiom:

$$(F[x \leftarrow 0] \wedge (\forall x \in \mathbb{N} : F \Rightarrow F[x \leftarrow x + 1])) \Rightarrow \forall x \in \mathbb{N} : F.$$

Proposition: In order to prove

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{N} : F,$$

it suffices to prove

1. $F[x \leftarrow 0]$,
2. $(\forall x \in \mathbb{N} : F \Rightarrow F[x \leftarrow x + 1])$.

Typical Format

We want to prove

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{N} : F.$$

1. **Induction Base:** We show $F[x \leftarrow 0]$.
2. **Induction Hypothesis:** We take arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume F .
3. **Induction Step:** We show $F[x \leftarrow x + 1]$.

Proof strategy for formulas that are universally quantified over \mathbb{N} .

Example

We prove by induction on n

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : n < 2^n.$$

The **induction base** holds because $0 < 1 = 2^0$.

Now we take arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume (**induction hypothesis**)

$$(1) \ n < 2^n.$$

We have to show (**induction step**)

$$(2) \ n + 1 < 2^{n+1}.$$

By (1) we have

$$(3) \ n + 1 < 2^n + 1$$

and therefore

$$(4) \ n + 1 < 2^n + 1 \leq 2^n + 2^n = 2 * 2^n = 2^{n+1}$$

which implies (2).

Example

We prove by induction on n

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : 3 \mid n^3 + 2n$$

The induction base holds because $3 \mid 0$ and $0 = 0^3 + 2 \cdot 0$.

We take arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume

$$(1) \quad 3 \mid n^3 + 2n.$$

We have to show

$$(2) \quad 3 \mid (n+1)^3 + 2(n+1).$$

Example (Continued)

By (1) and definition of $|$ we have some $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(3) \quad 3a = n^3 + 2n.$$

We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)^3 + 2(n+1) &= \\ (n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n + 1) + (2n + 2) &= \\ (n^3 + 2n) + (3n^2 + 3n + 3) &= (3) \\ 3a + 3(n^2 + n + 1) &= \\ 3(a + n^2 + n + 1) & \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2) by definition of $|$.

Example

We prove by induction on n

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} i = \frac{(n+1)n}{2}$$

The induction base holds because

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 0} i = 0 = \frac{(0+1) * 0}{2}.$$

We take arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume

$$(1) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} i = \frac{(n+1)n}{2}.$$

We have to show

$$(2) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} i = \frac{((n+1)+1)(n+1)}{2}.$$

Example (Continued)

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} i &= (\text{definition } \sum) \\
 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} i + (n+1) &= (1) \\
 \frac{(n+1)n}{2} + (n+1) &= \\
 \frac{(n+1)n + 2(n+1)}{2} &= \\
 \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2} &= \\
 \frac{(n+1)((n+1)+1)}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2).

Example

We can prove by induction the “computing laws” in \mathbb{N} :

We prove

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, y \in \mathbb{N}, z \in \mathbb{N} : x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z.$$

We take arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in \mathbb{N}$ and prove by induction on z .

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{N} : x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$$

We have to show

$$x + (y + 0) = (x + y) + 0.$$

...

See lecture notes.

Complete Induction

Generalization of the induction principle:

Proposition: In order to prove

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{N} : F$$

it suffices to prove

$$(\forall x \in \mathbb{N} : (\forall n < x : F[x \leftarrow n]) \Rightarrow F).$$

1. Induction Hypothesis. We take arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume

$$\forall n < x : F[x \leftarrow n].$$

2. Induction Step: We show F .

Example

We prove that every natural number greater than 1 can be factorized into a sequence of prime numbers, i.e.,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : n > 1 \Rightarrow \\ (\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, f : \mathbb{N}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{N} : n = \prod_{0 \leq i < k} f(i) \wedge \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_k : f(i) \text{ is prime}).$$

We proceed by complete induction over n .

We take arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume

$$(1) \forall m < n : m > 1 \Rightarrow \\ (\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, f : \mathbb{N}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{N} : m = \prod_{0 \leq i < k} f(i) \wedge \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_k : f(i) \text{ is prime}).$$

We have to show

$$n > 1 \Rightarrow \\ (\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, f : \mathbb{N}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{N} : n = \prod_{0 \leq i < k} f(i) \wedge \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_k : f(i) \text{ is prime}).$$

See lecture notes.

Induction over Term Values

Proposition: In order to prove F , it suffices to prove

$$(\forall y \in \mathbb{N} : y = T \Rightarrow F)$$

where y does not occur freely in T or F .

Consequence: in order to prove

$$\forall x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} : F$$

we may prove

$$(\forall x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in \mathbb{N} : y = T \Rightarrow F)$$

where T is a term with free variables x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} .

We introduce a variable over \mathbb{N} to proceed by induction.

Application: Verification

Specifications

Definition: For every function $f : A \rightarrow B$, a relation $I \subseteq A$ and a relation $O \subseteq A \times B$, we call the formula

$$\forall x : I(x) \Rightarrow O(x, f(x))$$

a **specification** of f with **input condition** I and **output condition** O .

If the formula is true, then f **implements** the specification.

We want to verify whether a function implements a specification.

Example

Exponentiation function:

$$\begin{aligned}x^0 &:= 1, \\ x^{n+1} &:= x * x^n.\end{aligned}$$

We want to verify that the function implements the specification

$$\forall x, n \in \mathbb{N} : x^n = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} x.$$

Example (Continued)

Take arbitrary x ; we proceed by induction over n .

We have $x^0 = 1 = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 0} x$ and thus the induction base holds.

We take arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume

$$(1) \quad x^n = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} x.$$

We have to prove

$$(2) \quad x^{n+1} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} x.$$

We know

$$\begin{aligned} x^{n+1} &= (\text{definition exponentiation}) \\ x * x^n &= (1) \\ x * \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} x &= (\text{definition } \prod) \\ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} x & \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2).

Purpose of Verification

- Given: input condition I and output condition O .
 - **Abstract** definition of a function (“what is to be done”).
 - May be unconstructive (does not immediately yield an algorithm).
 - Even if constructive, the corresponding algorithm may be too inefficient.
- Given: definition of a function f .
 - **Concrete** definition of a function (“how is it done”).
 - Intended to yield (efficient) algorithm.
- Verification: show that f implements corresponding specification.

Definition of such a function and its verification needs more knowledge;
more knowledge gives better algorithms.

Example: Greatest Common Divisor

$\text{gcd}(x, y) := \text{such } z \in \mathbb{N} : z|x \wedge z|y \wedge (\forall w : (w|x \wedge w|y) \Rightarrow w \leq z).$

```
fun gcd(x, y) =  
  let(m = if(=(x, N0), y, x):  
    such(z in nat(N0, m):  
      and(divides(z, x), divides(z, y),  
        forall(w in nat(+N(z, N1), m):  
          or(not(divides(w, x)), not(divides(w, y))))),  
    z));
```

Extremely inefficient way to compute the greatest common divisor.

Specification

We can show that

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{N} : \exists w : w|0 \wedge w > z$$

i.e., $\text{gcd}(0, 0)$ is undefined, but that, if $x \neq 0 \vee y \neq 0$,

$$\exists z \in \mathbb{N} : z|x \wedge z|y \wedge (\forall w : (w|x \wedge w|y) \Rightarrow w \leq z).$$

i.e., $\text{gcd}(x, y)$ is well defined.

Thus our problem is to find some f that implements the specification

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N} : (m \neq 0 \vee n \neq 0) \Rightarrow f(m, n) = \text{gcd}(m, n).$$

in a more efficient way than gcd does.

Euclid's Algorithm

New knowledge:

$$(0) \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, n \leq m : \gcd(m, n) = \gcd(m - n, n).$$

Idea for recursive function definition (termination term $m + n$):

```
Euclid( $m, n$ ) :=  
  if  $m = 0$  then  $n$   
  else if  $n = 0$  then  $m$   
  else if  $n \leq m$  then Euclid( $m - n, n$ )  
  else Euclid( $m, n - m$ ).
```

Verification

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N} : (m \neq 0 \vee n \neq 0) \Rightarrow \text{Euclid}(m, n) = \text{gcd}(m, n).$$

Proof by complete induction on term $m + n$.

We take arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume

$$(1) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, y \in \mathbb{N} : x + y < m + n \Rightarrow \\ (x \neq 0 \vee y \neq 0) \Rightarrow \text{Euclid}(x, y) = \text{gcd}(x, y).$$

We have to prove

$$(2) \quad (m \neq 0 \vee n \neq 0) \Rightarrow \text{Euclid}(m, n) = \text{gcd}(m, n).$$

We assume (3) $(m \neq 0 \vee n \neq 0)$ and prove (4) $\text{Euclid}(m, n) = \text{gcd}(m, n)$.

Verification (Continued)

By function definition, we have four cases:

- $m = 0$.

By (3), we have $n \neq 0$ and, by definition of gcd and Euclid,

$$\text{gcd}(m, n) = n = \text{Euclid}(m, n)$$

which implies (4).

- $m \neq 0 \wedge n = 0$.

We have, by definition of gcd and Euclid,

$$\text{gcd}(m, n) = m = \text{Euclid}(m, n)$$

which implies (4).

Verification (Continued)

- $m \neq 0 \wedge n \neq 0 \wedge n \leq m$.

We know

$$\text{gcd}(m, n) = (0)$$

$$\text{gcd}(m - n, n) = (1)$$

$$\text{Euclid}(m - n, n) = (\text{definition Euclid})$$

$$\text{Euclid}(m, n)$$

which implies (4).

- $m \neq 0 \wedge n \neq 0 \wedge n \not\leq m$.

The proof is analogous to the previous case.

Improvements

More knowledge:

$$(0') \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq 0 : \gcd(m, n) = \gcd(m, m \bmod n)$$

Function definition (with recursion term $m + n$):

```
Euclid'(m, n) :=  
  if  $m = 0$  then  $n$   
  else if  $n = 0$  then  $m$   
  else if  $n \leq m$  then  $\text{Euclid}'(m \bmod n, n)$   
  else  $\text{Euclid}'(m, n \bmod m)$ 
```

Logic Evaluator

```
fun Euclid(m: N, n: N) recursive +(m, n) =  
  if(=(m, 0), n,  
    if(=(n, 0), m,  
      if(<=(m, n), Euclid(m, -(n, m)),  
        Euclid(-(m, n), n)))));  
fun Euclid'(m: N, n: N) recursive +(m, n) =  
  if(=(m, 0), n,  
    if(=(n, 0), m,  
      if(<=(m, n), Euclid'(m, modN(n, m)),  
        Euclid'(modN(m, n), n))));
```

Much faster than gcd!

Induction on Sets

Inductive Set Definition

Definition: An inductive definition of a set S is a collection of formulas

$$(\forall x_1, \dots, x_{m_1}, y_1 \in S, \dots, y_{n_1} \in S : \\ f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{m_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_1}) \in S)$$

, \dots ,

$$(\forall x_1, \dots, x_{m_c}, y_1 \in S, \dots, y_{n_c} \in S : \\ f_c(x_1, \dots, x_{m_c}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_c}) \in S)$$

where we call the function constants f_1, \dots, f_c the **constructors** of S .

Defined Set

S is the smallest set on which the conjunction of these formulas holds, i.e., every element of S is described by a **constructor term**

$$f_i(T_1, \dots, T_{m_i}, S_1, \dots, S_{n_i})$$

for some terms $T_1, \dots, T_{m_i}, S_1, \dots, S_{n_i}$ where the S_1, \dots, S_{n_i} are also such constructor terms.

Example

The set \mathbb{N} is inductively defined by

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\in \mathbb{N}, \\ \forall x \in \mathbb{N} : x' &\in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

with constructors 0 and '.

Every element of \mathbb{N} is of the form

$$0' \dots ',$$

e.g. the number 4 in \mathbb{N} is denoted by $0''''$.

Example

For every set T , the set $\text{List}(T)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nil} &\in \text{List}(T), \\ \forall e \in T, l \in \text{List}(T) : \text{cons}(e, l) &\in \text{List}(T). \end{aligned}$$

with constructors nil and cons .

Every element of $\text{List}(T)$ is of the form

$$\text{cons}(e_0, \dots, \text{cons}(e_{n-1}, \text{nil})),$$

e.g. the list $[2, 3]$ in $\text{List}(\mathbb{N})$ is denoted by $\text{cons}(2, \text{cons}(3, \text{nil}))$.

Example

For every set T , the set $\text{Tree}(T)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{empty} \in \text{Tree}(T), \\ &\forall e \in T, l \in \text{Tree}(T), r \in \text{List}(T) : \text{node}(e, l, r) \in \text{Tree}(T). \end{aligned}$$

with constructors `empty` and `node`.

Every element of $\text{Tree}(T)$ is of the form

$$\text{node}(n_0, \text{node}(n_{11}, \dots), \text{node}(n_{21}, \dots)),$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & 1 & & \\ & & | & & \\ & 2 & & 5 & \\ & | & & | & \\ 3 & 4 & & & \end{array}$$

$$\text{node}(1, \text{node}(2, \text{node}(3, \text{empty}, \text{empty}), \text{node}(4, \text{empty}, \text{empty})), \text{node}(5, \text{empty}, \text{empty}))$$

Term

The set Term is defined by

$$0 \in \text{Term},$$

$$1 \in \text{Term},$$

$$\forall x \in \text{Term} : -x \in \text{Term},$$

$$\forall x \in \text{Term}, y \in \text{Term} : x + y \in \text{Term},$$

$$\forall x \in \text{Term}, y \in \text{Term} : x * y \in \text{Term}$$

with constructors $0, 1, -, +, *$.

An element of Term is $1 + (1 + 0) * 1$.

Formula

The set Formula is defined by

$$T \in \text{Formula}$$

$$\forall x \in \text{Formula} : \text{not}(x) \in \text{Formula},$$

$$\forall x \in \text{Formula}, y \in \text{Formula} : \text{and}(x, y) \in \text{Formula},$$

$$\forall x \in \text{Variable}, y \in \text{Formula} : \text{forall}(x, y) \in \text{Formula}$$

with constructors “T”, “not”, “and”, “forall”.

An element of Formula is forall(X, and(T, or(T, F))) (assuming $X \in \text{Variable}$).

Term Algebra

An inductively defined set is a **term algebra** if we have for every constructor f of this set

$$\forall x, y : f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow x = y$$

i.e., different arguments are mapped to different results.

Furthermore, for all constructors f and g

$$\forall x, y : f(x) \neq g(y)$$

i.e., different constructors yield different results.

Consequence

- Every element of a term algebra is denoted by **one and only one** constructor term

$$f_i(T_1, \dots, T_{m_i}, S_1, \dots, S_{n_i})$$

for some terms $T_1, \dots, T_{m_i}, S_1, \dots, S_{n_i}$ where the S_1, \dots, S_{n_i} are also constructor terms.

- One to one correspondence between terms and set elements.

We may define functions and predicates in term algebras inductively .

Example

Take the set $\text{List}(T)$ defined in the previous example and assume that it is a term algebra. We define the length of a list as

$$\begin{aligned}\text{length} &: \text{List}(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ \text{length}(\text{nil}) &:= 0 \\ \text{length}(\text{cons}(e, l)) &:= 1 + \text{length}(l).\end{aligned}$$

Then we have $\text{length}(\text{cons}(1, \text{cons}(2, \text{nil}))) = 2$.

Example

Take the set Term defined in the previous example and assume that it is a term algebra. We define the value of a term as

$$\text{value} : \text{Term} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

$$\text{value}(0) := 0_{\mathbb{N}}$$

$$\text{value}(1) := 1_{\mathbb{N}}$$

$$\text{value}(-x) := -_{\mathbb{N}} \text{value}(x)$$

$$\text{value}(x + y) := \text{value}(x) +_{\mathbb{N}} \text{value}(y)$$

$$\text{value}(x * y) := \text{value}(x) *_{\mathbb{N}} \text{value}(y)$$

Then we have $\text{value}(1 + (1 + 0) * 1) = 2$.

Generalized Induction Principle

We want to prove

$$\forall x \in S : F.$$

Idea: every element x in S is denoted by some term

$$f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{m_i}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_i}).$$

Let the induction run over the structure of every such term:

- assume that F holds for every “ S -component” y_j of x , and
- show that F is propagated to x itself.

Structural Induction

Proposition: In order to prove a property

$$\forall x \in S : F$$

for an inductively defined set S , it suffices to prove

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall x_1, \dots, x_{m_i}, y_1 \in S, \dots, y_{n_i} \in S : \\ &\quad (F[x := y_1] \wedge \dots \wedge F[x := y_{n_i}]) \Rightarrow \\ &\quad F[x := f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{m_i}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_i})] \end{aligned}$$

for every constructor f_i of S .

Example

Take the set $\text{List}(T)$ defined inductively as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nil} &\in \text{List}(T), \\ \forall e \in T, l \in \text{List}(T) : \text{cons}(e, l) &\in \text{List}(T). \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{append} &: \text{List}(T) \times \text{List}(T) \rightarrow \text{List}(T) \\ \text{append}(\text{nil}, y) &:= y \\ \text{append}(\text{cons}(e, x), y) &:= \text{cons}(e, \text{append}(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

and claim that the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in \text{List}(T), y \in \text{List}(T) : \\ \text{length}(\text{append}(x, y)) &= \text{length}(x) + \text{length}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Example (Continued)

We proceed by structural induction on x :

Case $x = \text{nil}$: We have to show

$$\forall y \in \text{List}(T) :$$

$$\text{length}(\text{append}(\text{nil}, y)) = \text{length}(\text{nil}) + \text{length}(y).$$

Take arbitrary $y \in \text{List}(T)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}(\text{append}(\text{nil}, y)) &= (\text{definition append}) \\ \text{length}(y) &= \\ 0 + \text{length}(y) &= (\text{definition length}) \\ \text{length}(\text{nil}) + \text{length}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Example (Continued)

Case $x = \text{cons}(e, l)$: Take arbitrary $e \in T$ and $l \in \text{List}(T)$.

We assume (induction hypothesis)

$$\forall y \in \text{List}(T) :$$

$$\text{length}(\text{append}(l, y)) = \text{length}(l) + \text{length}(y)$$

and have to show

$$\forall y \in \text{List}(T) :$$

$$\text{length}(\text{append}(\text{cons}(e, l), y)) = \text{length}(\text{cons}(e, l)) + \text{length}(y).$$

Example (Continued)

Take arbitrary $y \in \text{List}(T)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}(\text{append}(\text{cons}(e, l), y)) &= (\text{definition append}) \\ \text{length}(\text{cons}(e, \text{append}(l, y))) &= (\text{definition length}) \\ 1 + \text{length}(\text{append}(l, y)) &= (\text{induction hypothesis}) \\ 1 + (\text{length}(l) + \text{length}(y)) &= \\ (1 + \text{length}(l)) + \text{length}(y) &= (\text{definition length}) \\ \text{length}(\text{cons}(e, l)) + \text{length}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Summary

- Inductive definitions on \mathbb{N} .
 - Single induction parameter.
 - Multiple base cases.
 - Multiple induction parameters.
- Induction proofs on \mathbb{N} .
 - Mathematical induction.
 - Complete induction.
 - Induction over term values.
- Induction on sets.
 - Inductive set definitions.
 - Inductive function/predicate definitions on term algebras.
 - Induction proofs on inductively defined sets.