

4. Resultants

In this chapter we present resultants, another method of elimination theory. Resultants are historically older than Gröbner bases. They are somehow easier to compute, but on the other hand they do not generate as much information as a Gröbner basis.

Theorem 4.1. (B.L.van der Waerden, “Algebra, vol.I”, p.102)

Let $a(x), b(x)$ be two non-constant polynomials in $K[x]$, K a field. Then a and b have a non-constant common factor (i.e. a common root over the algebraic closure of K) if and only if there are polynomials $p(x), q(x) \in K[x]$, not both equal to 0, with $\deg(p) < \deg(b), \deg(q) < \deg(a)$, such that

$$p(x)a(x) + q(x)b(x) = 0 . \quad (*)$$

Proof: If a and b have the non-constant common factor c , then obviously we can write

$$(b/c) \cdot a - (a/c) \cdot b = 0 .$$

On the other hand, assume (*). So we have

$$p(x)a(x) = -q(x)b(x) . \quad (**)$$

We factor the left and right hand sides of (**) into irreducible factors. All the irreducible factors of $a(x)$ must divide the right hand side at least as often as they divide $a(x)$. Yet they cannot divide $q(x)$ as often as they do $a(x)$ because of the degree restriction. Hence at least one irreducible factor of $a(x)$ occurs also in $b(x)$. \square

How can we decide the existence of such polynomials p and q as in the previous theorem?

Let $m = \deg(a), n = \deg(b)$ and write

$$a(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i, \quad b(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i .$$

Ansatz:

$$p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i x^i, \quad q(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} q_i x^i .$$

coefficients of f and g . $\text{res}_x(f, g)$ is a constant in I . For $m = \deg(f)$, $n = \deg(g)$, we have $\text{res}_x(f, g) = (-1)^{mn} \text{res}_x(g, f)$, i.e. the resultant is symmetric up to sign. If a_1, \dots, a_m are the roots of f , and b_1, \dots, b_n are the roots of g in their common splitting field, then

$$\text{res}_x(f, g) = \text{lc}(f)^n \text{lc}(g)^m \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^n (a_i - b_j).$$

The resultant has the important property that, for non-zero polynomials f and g , $\text{res}_x(f, g) = 0$ if and only if f and g have a common root, and in fact, f and g have a non-constant common divisor in $K[x]$, where K is the quotient field of I . If f and g have positive degrees, then there exist polynomials $a(x), b(x)$ over I such that $af + bg = \text{res}_x(f, g)$. The *discriminant* of $f(x)$ is

$$\text{discr}_x(f) = (-1)^{m(m-1)/2} \text{lc}(f)^{2(m-1)} \prod_{i \neq j} (a_i - a_j).$$

We have the relation $\text{res}_x(f, f') = (-1)^{m(m-1)/2} \text{lc}(f) \text{discr}_x(f)$, where f' is the derivative of f .

The resultant of f and g can be written as a linear combination of f and g . This is proven in [CLO98]¹ for polynomials over a field. But the proof can be extended to polynomials over integral domains.

Theorem 4.3. *Given $f, g \in I[x]$, where I is an integral domain. Then*

$$\text{res}_x(f, g) = p \cdot f + q \cdot g$$

for some $p(x), q(x) \in I[x]$.

For actually computing resultants, e.g. for polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, one uses a modular approach similar to the one for gcd computation. So some of the variables are evaluated at several evaluation points and the final result is then interpolated. We state a crucial Lemma needed for this process.

Lemma 4.4. (Lemma 4.3.1 in Winkler, “Computer Algebra”)

Let I, J be integral domains, ϕ a homomorphism from I into J . The homomorphism from $I[x]$ into $J[x]$ induced by ϕ will also be denoted ϕ , i.e. $\phi(\sum_{i=0}^m c_i x^i) = \sum_{i=0}^m \phi(c_i) x^i$. Let $a(x), b(x)$ be polynomials in $I[x]$. If $\deg(\phi(a)) = \deg(a)$ and $\deg(\phi(b)) = \deg(b) - k$, then $\phi(\text{res}_x(a, b)) = \phi(\text{lc}(a))^k \text{res}_x(\phi(a), \phi(b))$.

Proof: Let M be the Sylvester matrix of a and b , M^* the Sylvester matrix of $a^* = \phi(a)$ and $b^* = \phi(b)$. If $k = 0$, then clearly $\phi(\text{res}_x(a, b)) = \text{res}_x(a^*, b^*)$.

If $k > 0$ then M^* can be obtained from $\phi(M)$ by deleting its first k rows and columns. Since the first k columns of $\phi(M)$ contain $\phi(\text{lc}(a))$ on the diagonal and are zero below the diagonal, $\phi(\text{res}_x(a, b)) = \phi(\det(M)) = \det(\phi(M)) = \text{lc}(a)^k \text{res}_x(a^*, b^*)$. \square

¹Cox, Little, O’Shea, “Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms”, 2nd ed., p.152

Theorem 4.5. (Theorem 4.3.3 in Winkler, “Computer Algebra”)

Let K be an algebraically closed field, let

$$\begin{aligned} a(x_1, \dots, x_r) &= \sum_{i=0}^m a_i(x_1, \dots, x_{r-1})x_r^i, \\ b(x_1, \dots, x_r) &= \sum_{i=0}^n b_i(x_1, \dots, x_{r-1})x_r^i \end{aligned}$$

be elements of $K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ of positive degrees m and n in x_r , and let $c(x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}) = \text{res}_{x_r}(a, b)$. If $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in K^r$ is a common root of a and b , then $c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}) = 0$. Conversely, if $c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}) = 0$, then one of the following holds:

- (a) $a_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}) = b_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}) = 0$,
- (b) for some $\alpha_r \in K$, $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ is a common root of a and b .

Proof: By Theorem 4.3 we have $c = ua + vb$, for some $u, v \in K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$. If $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ is a common root of a and b , then the evaluation of both sides of this equation immediately yields $c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}) = 0$.

Now assume $c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}) = 0$. Suppose $a_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}) \neq 0$, so we are not in case (a). Let ϕ be the evaluation homomorphism $x_1 = \alpha_1, \dots, x_{r-1} = \alpha_{r-1}$. Let $k = \deg(b) - \deg(\phi(b))$. By Lemma 4.4. we have $0 = c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}) = \phi(c) = \phi(\text{res}_{x_r}(a, b)) = \phi(a_m)^k \text{res}_{x_r}(\phi(a), \phi(b))$. Since $\phi(a_m) \neq 0$, we have $\text{res}_{x_r}(\phi(a), \phi(b)) = 0$. Since the leading term in $\phi(a)$ is non-zero, $\phi(a)$ and $\phi(b)$ must have a common non-constant factor, say $d(x_r)$ (see (van der Waerden 1970), Sec. 5.8). Let α_r be a root of d in K . Then $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ is a common root of a and b . Analogously we can show that (b) holds if $b_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}) \neq 0$. □

This theorem suggests a method for determining the solutions of a system of algebraic, i.e. polynomial, equations over an algebraically closed field. Suppose, for example, that a system of three algebraic equations is given as

$$a_1(x, y, z) = a_2(x, y, z) = a_3(x, y, z) = 0.$$

Let, e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} b(x) &= \text{res}_z(\text{res}_y(a_1, a_2), \text{res}_y(a_1, a_3)), \\ c(y) &= \text{res}_z(\text{res}_x(a_1, a_2), \text{res}_x(a_1, a_3)), \\ d(z) &= \text{res}_y(\text{res}_x(a_1, a_2), \text{res}_x(a_1, a_3)). \end{aligned}$$

In fact, we might compute these resultants in any other order. By Theorem 4.3.3, all the roots $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ of the system satisfy $b(\alpha_1) = c(\alpha_2) = d(\alpha_3) = 0$. So if there are finitely many solutions, we can check for all of the candidates whether they actually solve the system.

Unfortunately, there might be solutions of b , c , or d , which cannot be extended to solutions of the original system, as we can see from the following example.

Example 4.6. Consider the system of algebraic equations

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(x, y, z) &= 2xy + yz - 3z^2 = 0, \\ a_2(x, y, z) &= x^2 - xy + y^2 - 1 = 0, \\ a_3(x, y, z) &= yz + x^2 - 2z^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
b(x) &= \operatorname{res}_z(\operatorname{res}_y(a_1, a_3), \operatorname{res}_y(a_2, a_3)) \\
&= x^6(x-1)(x+1)(127x^4 - 167x^2 + 4), \\
c(y) &= \operatorname{res}_z(\operatorname{res}_x(a_1, a_3), \operatorname{res}_x(a_2, a_3)) \\
&= (y-1)^3(y+1)^3(3y^2-1)(127y^4 - 216y^2 + 81) \cdot (457y^4 - 486y^2 + 81), \\
d(z) &= \operatorname{res}_y(\operatorname{res}_x(a_1, a_2), \operatorname{res}_x(a_1, a_3)) \\
&= 5184z^{10}(z-1)(z+1)(127z^4 - 91z^2 + 16).
\end{aligned}$$

All the solutions of the system, e.g. $(1, 1, 1)$, have coordinates which are roots of b, c, d . But there is no solution of the system having y -coordinate $1/\sqrt{3}$. So not every root of these resultants can be extended to a solution of the system.

The Göbner basis of the ideal generated by a_1, a_2, a_3 w.r.t. lexicographic ordering with $z > x > y$ contains the univariate polynomial

$$g_1(y) = (y-1)(y+1)(127y^4 - 216y^2 + 81) .$$

So no extraneous factors are generated. All solutions of $g_1(y)$ can be extended to solutions of the whole system. \square

Example 4.7. According to Theorem 4.5(a), a partial solution $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1})$ might not be extendable to a full common solution of a and b , if it is a common root of the leading coefficients of a and b . But in certain cases it might still be extendable. As an example consider

$$\begin{aligned}
a(x_1, x_2) &= -x_1x_2^2 + x_1^2x_2 - 4x_2 + x_1 , \\
b(x_1, x_2) &= 2x_1x_2^2 + x_1^2x_2 - 3x_1x_2 - 4x_2 + x_1 .
\end{aligned}$$

The resultant w.r.t. x_2 is

$$c(x_1) = \operatorname{res}_{x_2}(a, b) = 9x_1^3(x_1-2)(x_1+2) .$$

The leading coefficients of a and b w.r.t. x_2 are $-x_1$ and $2x_1$, respectively. They both vanish at 0, but still $(0, 0)$ is a common solution of a and b . \square