

Logic 1, WS 2012. Homework 4, given Nov 15, due Nov 22.

1. Evaluate the truth of the formula $(P[a] \wedge (\forall_x (P[x] \Rightarrow P[f[x]]))) \Rightarrow P[f[f[a]]]$ under the interpretation I :

$$D = \{0, 1, 2\};$$

$$a_I = 1;$$

$$f_I[0] = 2, f_I[1] = 2, f_I[2] = 0;$$

$$P_I[0] = \mathbb{F}, P_I[1] = \mathbb{T}, P_I[2] = \mathbb{F}.$$

2. Find counterexamples φ, ψ which disprove the false equivalences:

$$(\exists_x \varphi) \wedge (\exists_x \psi) \equiv \exists_x (\varphi \wedge \psi),$$

$$(\forall_x \varphi) \vee (\forall_x \psi) \equiv \forall_x (\varphi \vee \psi).$$

3. Prove the following equivalence by reducing both sides to CNF:

$$(\forall_x P[x]) \Rightarrow Q \equiv \exists_x (P[x] \Rightarrow Q).$$

4. Prove that if the formula $\exists_x P[x]$ is satisfiable, then the formula $P[a]$ is also satisfiable.

5. Prove that if the formula $\forall_x P[x, f[x]]$ is satisfiable, then the formula $\forall_{x,y} P[x, y]$ is also satisfiable.