

Introduction to Automated Theorem Proving

Tudor Jebelean

October 15, 2009

Contents

1	Propositional Logic	2
1.1	Syntax	2
1.2	Semantics	3

Chapter 1

Propositional Logic

1.1 Syntax

Propositional logic is a mathematical model of reasoning with statements composed logically from elementary statements (or *propositions*). The only relevant characteristic of an elementary proposition (like "It rains.") is that it can be true or false. Therefore such a an elementary statement is denoted by a single symbol (*propositional variable*) about which we only know that it can be true or false.

Examples:

$$\underbrace{\text{"It rains."}}_A \quad \underbrace{\text{"It is sunny."}}_B$$

Using the propositional variables as basic building blocks, we can compose arbitrary complex *formulae* by using the *logical connectives* ($\neg \wedge \vee \implies \Leftrightarrow$ and possibly other).

Examples:

$$\underbrace{\text{"If it rains then it is not sunny."}}_{A \implies \neg B}$$

The syntax of propositional logic consists in the definition of the set of all propositional logic formulae, or the language of propositional logic formulae, which will contain formulae like:

$$\mathcal{L} : \text{Language with "words" like} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \wedge B \\ A \wedge \neg B \\ (\neg A \wedge B) \Leftrightarrow (A \implies B) \\ A \wedge \neg A \end{array} \right.$$

The language \mathcal{L} is defined over a certain set Σ of symbols: the parantheses, the logical connectives, the logical constants, and an infinite set Θ of propositional variables.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Set of "symbols":} \\ \text{"alphabet"} \end{array} \quad \Sigma = \{\neg, \wedge, \vee, \implies, \Leftrightarrow\} \cup \{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F}\} \cup \Theta$$

Note: Θ is the set of propositional variables. for instance this could be $\{A, B, C, P, Q, \dots, A_1, A_2, \dots\}$. This set Θ is infinite, but enumerable.

Inductive Definition

- (0) $\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{L}$ or one can also write $\{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F}\} \subset \mathcal{L}$
- (1) if $\vartheta \in \Theta$, then $\vartheta \in \mathcal{L}$ (a propositional variable ϑ "is" also a logical formula)
 "variable" "word", logical formula
- (2) if $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{L}$, then $\underbrace{\neg\varphi, \varphi \wedge \psi, \varphi \vee \psi, \varphi \implies \psi, \varphi \iff \psi}_{\text{"are also words in the language"}} \in \mathcal{L}$
- (3) These are all the formulae.

Note that Σ^* also has the properties (0), (1) and (2). However, [3] means that \mathcal{L} is the smallest set having these properties. This allows us to use the structural induction principle in order to prove properties of formulae.

Atoms and literals. Formulae consisting in a single propositional variable are called "atoms". Formulae consisting in an atom or a negated atom are called "literals".

Notation: Sometimes we will denote $\neg\varphi$ by $\bar{\varphi}$. Also, if L is a literal, we will denote by \bar{L} the opposite of L (that is \bar{A} if L is A , and A if L is \bar{A}).

Exercise: Formulate the grammar for the language of propositional logic.

$$P = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \mid \mathbb{F} \mid A \mid B \mid C \\ W \rightarrow (\neg W) \mid (W \wedge W) \mid \dots \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{Grammar } G = (\underbrace{\Sigma}_{\text{"alphabet"}}, \underbrace{\Sigma_N}_{\text{"nonterminal symbols"}}, \underbrace{S}_{\text{"nonterminal start symbol"}}, \underbrace{P}_{\text{set of productions}})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F}\} \cup \Theta \cup \{(\,, \cdot, \neg, \vee, \wedge, \implies, \iff)\} \\ \Sigma_N &= \{W\} \\ S &= \Sigma_N \end{aligned}$$

1.2 Semantics

Example: Intuitively, the meaning of " $A \wedge B$ " is that "this is only true if both A and B are true".

$f_{A \wedge B}$	T	F
T	T	F
F	F	F

Table 1.1: Semantic value of $A \wedge B$.

The semantic value (or the meaning) of the formula $A \wedge B$ is the function $f_{A \wedge B} : \mathcal{I}_{\{A, B\}} \rightarrow \{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F}\}$, where $\mathcal{I}_{\{A, B\}} = \{I : \{A, B\} \rightarrow \{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F}\}\}$ is the set of all assignments of truth values to the variables A, B .

I is called an “interpretation” for the formula $A \wedge B$. $\mathcal{I}_{\{A, B\}}$ is the “set of interpretations” for the formula $A \wedge B$.

As syntax is defined as the set \mathcal{L} of all correct formulae, the semantics is defined as the set \mathcal{S} of all possible semantic values:

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{I}_V \mid V \subseteq \Theta\}.$$

The “semantic evaluation function” associates each formula φ from \mathcal{L} to its semantic value f_φ from \mathcal{S} . If we denote by $\text{Var}(\varphi)$ the set of propositional variables occurring in φ , then:

$$f_\varphi : \mathcal{I}_{\text{Var}(\varphi)} \rightarrow \{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F}\}, \quad f_\varphi(I) = \langle \varphi \rangle_I,$$

where $\langle \varphi \rangle_I$ is the “truth value of φ under the interpretation I ”.

$\langle \varphi \rangle_I$ (the truth evaluation of a formula φ under the interpretation I) is defined inductively on the structure of formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbb{F} \rangle_I &= \mathbb{F} \\ \langle \mathbb{T} \rangle_I &= \mathbb{T} \\ \langle v \rangle_I &= I(v), \quad \text{if } v \in \Theta \\ \langle \neg \varphi \rangle_I &= \mathcal{B}_\neg(\langle \varphi \rangle_I) \\ \langle \varphi \vee \psi \rangle_I &= \mathcal{B}_\vee(\langle \varphi \rangle_I, \langle \psi \rangle_I) \\ \langle \varphi \wedge \psi \rangle_I &= \mathcal{B}_\wedge(\langle \varphi \rangle_I, \langle \psi \rangle_I) \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

The functions $\mathcal{B}_\neg, \mathcal{B}_\vee, \mathcal{B}_\wedge, \dots$ (boolean evaluation functions) are defined explicitly by truth tables for each logical connective, and they can be seen as the semantic values of the logical connectives.

	\mathcal{B}_\neg	\mathcal{B}_\wedge	T	F	\mathcal{B}_\vee	T	F	\mathcal{B}_\Rightarrow	T	F	$\mathcal{B}_\Leftrightarrow$	T	F
T	F	T	T	F	T	T	T	T	T	F	T	T	F
F	T	F	F	F	F	T	F	F	T	T	F	F	T

Table 1.2: The semantics of logical connectives

Example

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (A \wedge (A \Rightarrow B)) \Rightarrow B \rangle_I &= \mathcal{B}_\Rightarrow(\langle (A \wedge (A \Rightarrow B)) \rangle_I, \langle B \rangle_I) \\ &= \mathcal{B}_\Rightarrow(\mathcal{B}_\wedge(\langle A \rangle_I, \langle (A \Rightarrow B) \rangle_I), \langle B \rangle_I) \\ &= \mathcal{B}_\Rightarrow(\mathcal{B}_\wedge(\langle A \rangle_I, \mathcal{B}_\Rightarrow(\langle A \rangle_I, \langle B \rangle_I)), \langle B \rangle_I) \\ &= \mathcal{B}_\Rightarrow\left(\underbrace{\mathcal{B}_\wedge\left(\mathbb{T}, \underbrace{\mathcal{B}_\Rightarrow(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F})}_{\mathbb{F}}\right)}_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{F}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{T} \end{aligned}$$