

Logic Programming

Backtracking and Cut

Temur Kutsia

Research Institute for Symbolic Computation
Johannes Kepler University of Linz, Austria
`kutsia@risc.uni-linz.ac.at`

Contents

Generating Multiple Solutions

The "Cut"

- Confirming the Choice of a Rule

- The "Cut-fail" Combination

- Terminating a "Generate-and-Test"

- Problems with the Cut

Finitely Many Alternatives

Simplest way: Several facts match against the question.

Example

```
father (mary, george) .  
father (john, george) .  
father (sue, harry) .  
father (george, edward) .
```

```
?- father(X, Y) .  
X=mary, Y=george ;  
X=john, Y=george ;  
X=sue, Y=harry ;  
X=george, Y=edward
```

The answers are generated in the order in which the facts are given.

Repeating the Same Answer

Old answers do not influence newer ones: same answer can be returned several times.

Example

```
father(mary, george) .  
father(john, george) .  
father(sue, harry) .  
father(george, edward) .
```

```
?- father(_, X) .  
X=george ;  
X=george ;  
X=harry ;  
X=edward
```

george returned twice because George is the father of both Mary and John.

Embedding Does Not Matter

Backtracking happens in the same way if the alternatives are embedded more deeply.

Example

```
father(mary, george) .  
father(john, george) .  
father(sue, harry) .  
father(george, edward) .  
child(X, Y) :- father(Y, X) .
```

```
?- child(X, Y) .  
X=george, Y=mary ;  
X=george, Y=john ;  
X=harry, Y=sue ;  
X=edward, Y=george
```

Mixing facts and Rules

If facts and rules are mixed, the alternatives follow again in the order in which things are presented.

Example

<code>person(adam).</code>	<code>?- person(X).</code>
<code>person(X):-mother(X,Y).</code>	<code>X=adam ;</code>
<code>person(eve).</code>	<code>X=cain ;</code>
<code>mother(cain,eve).</code>	<code>X=abel ;</code>
<code>mother(abel,eve).</code>	<code>X=jabal ;</code>
<code>mother(jabal,adah).</code>	<code>X=tubalcain ;</code>
<code>mother(tubalcain,zillah).</code>	<code>X=eve</code>

Multiple Goals with Multiple Solutions

More interesting case: Two goals, each with several solutions.

Example

```
pair(X,Y):-                ?- pair(X,Y).
  boy(X),girl(Y).         X=john, Y=griselda ;
  boy(johm).              X=john, Y=ermintrude ;
  boy(marmaduke).         X=john, Y=brunhilde ;
  boy(bertram).           X=marmaduke, Y=griselda ;
  boy(charles).           X=marmaduke, Y=ermintrude ;
  girl(griselda).         X=marmaduke, Y=brunhilde ;
  girl(ermitrude).        X=bertram, Y=griselda ;
  girl(brunhilda).        ...
```

12 solutions.

Infinite Number of Possibilities

Sometimes one might want to generate an infinite number of possibilities.

It might not be known in advance how many of them needed.

Example

```
is_integer(0).
```

```
is_integer(X):-is_integer(Y), X is Y + 1.
```

```
?- is_integer(X).
```

```
X=0 ;
```

```
X=1 ;
```

```
X=2 ;
```

```
...
```

How does it work?

Member and Multiple Solutions

Most rules give rise to alternative solutions if they are used for goals that contain many uninstantiated variables.

Example

```
member(X, [X|_]).  
member(X, [_|Y]) :- member(X, Y).
```

```
?- member(a, X).  
X=[a|_G314] ;  
X=[_G313, a|_G317] ;  
X=[_G313, _G316, a|_G320] ;  
...
```

There is a way to tell PROLOG to discard choices: The "cut".

The "Cut"

Cut (written "!") tells the system which previous choices need not to be considered again when it backtracks.

Advantages:

- ▶ The program will run faster. No time wasting on attempts to re-satisfy certain goals.
- ▶ The program will occupy less memory. Less backtracking points to be remembered.

Example of Cut

Reference library:

- ▶ Determine which facilities are available.
- ▶ If one has an overdue book can only use the *basic facilities*.
- ▶ Otherwise can use the *general facilities*.

Reference Library

Example

```
facility(Pers, Fac) :-  
    book_overdue(Pers, Book), !,  
    basic_facility(Fac).  
facility(Pers, Fac) :- general_facility(Fac).  
basic_facility(reference).  
basic_facility(enquiries).  
additional_facility(borrowing).  
additional_facility(inter_library_loan).  
general_facility(X) :- basic_facility(X).  
general_facility(X) :- additional_facility(X).
```

Reference Library

Example

```
book_overdue('C. Watzer', book10089).  
book_overdue('A. Jones', book29907).  
...  
client('C. Watzer').  
client('A. Jones').  
...  
  
?- client(X), facility(X,Y).
```

How does it proceed?

Reference Library

The effect of cut:

- ▶ If a client has an overdue book, then only allow her/him the basic facilities.
- ▶ Don't bother going through all the clients overdue books.
- ▶ Don't remember any other rule about facilities.

The Effect of Cut

In general, when a cut is encountered as a goal

- ▶ The system becomes committed to all choices made since the parent goal was invoked.
- ▶ All other alternatives are discarded.
- ▶ An attempt to re-satisfy any goal between the parent goal and the cut goal will fail.

Common Uses of Cut

Three main cases:

1. To tell the system that it found the right rule for a particular goal. *Confirming the choice of a rule.*
2. To tell the system to fail a particular goal without trying for alternative solutions. *Cut-fail combination.*
3. To tell the system to terminate the generation of alternative solutions by backtracking. *Terminate a "generate-and-test".*

Confirming the Choice of a Rule

Typical situation:

- ▶ We wish to associate several clauses with the same predicate.
- ▶ One clause is appropriate if the arguments are of one form, another is appropriate if the arguments have another form.
- ▶ Often (but not always) these alternatives can be made disjoint by providing just the argument patterns (e.g., empty list in one clause, and a nonempty list in another.)
- ▶ If we cannot specify an exhaustive set of patterns, we may give rules for some specific argument types and give a "catchall" rule at the end for everything else.

Confirming the Choice of a Rule

Example of the case when an exhaustive set of patterns can not be specified:

Example

```
sum_to(1,1).
```

```
sum_to(N,Res):-  
    N1 is N - 1,  
    sum_to(N1,Res1),  
    Res is Res1 + N.
```

```
?- sum_to(5,X).  
X=15 ;
```

It loops.

Confirming the Choice of a Rule

What happened?

- ▶ `sum_to(1, 1)` and `sum_to(N, Res)` are not disjoint alternatives.
- ▶ `sum_to(1, 1)` matches both `sum_to(1, 1)` and `sum_to(N, Res)`.
- ▶ But if a goal matches `sum_to(1, 1)`, there is no reason why it should try the second alternative, `sum_to(N, Res)`.
- ▶ Cut the second alternative.

Confirming the Choice of a Rule

Example

```
sum_to(1,1):-!.
```

```
sum_to(N,Res):-  
    N1 is N - 1,  
    sum_to(N1,Res1),  
    Res is Res1 + N.
```

```
?- sum_to(5,X).
```

```
X=15 ;
```

No

More Usual Situation

- ▶ In the previous example we could specify a pattern for the boundary case `sum_to(1, 1)`.
- ▶ Usually, it is hard to specify pattern if we want to provide extra conditions that decide on the appropriate rule.
- ▶ The previous example still loops on goals `sum_to(N, Res)` **where** $N \leq 1$.
- ▶ We can put this condition in the boundary case telling PROLOG to stop for such goals.
- ▶ But then the pattern can not be specified.

Cut with Extra Conditions

Example

```
sum_to(N, 1) :- N =< 1, !.
```

```
sum_to(N, Res) :-  
    N1 is N - 1,  
    sum_to(N1, Res1),  
    Res is Res1 + N.
```

Cut and Not

General principle:

- ▶ When cut is used to confirm the choice of a rule, it can be replaced with `not`.
- ▶ `not (X)` succeeds when `X`, seen as a PROLOG goal, fails.
- ▶ Replacing cut with `not` is often considered a good programming style.
- ▶ However, it can make the program less efficient.
- ▶ Trade-off between readability and efficiency.

Cut and Not

Example (With Cut)

```
sum_to(1,1):-!.  
  
sum_to(N,Res):-  
    N1 is N - 1,  
    sum_to(N1,Res1),  
    Res is Res1 + N.
```

Example (With Not)

```
sum_to(1,1).  
sum_to(N,Res):-  
    not(N=1), % N \ = 1,  
    N1 is N - 1,  
    sum_to(N1,Res1),  
    Res is Res1 + N.
```


Cut and Not

Example (With Cut)

```
sum_to(N,1):-N =< 1,  
    !.  
sum_to(N,Res):-  
    N1 is N - 1,  
    sum_to(N1,Res1),  
    Res is Res1 + N.
```

Example (With Not)

```
sum_to(N,1):-N =< 1.  
sum_to(N,Res):-  
    not(N=<1), % N > 1,  
    N1 is N - 1,  
    sum_to(N1,Res1),  
    Res is Res1 + N.
```

Double Work

not might force PROLOG to try the same goal twice:

Example

A: -B, C.

A: -not(B), D.

B may be tried twice after backtracking.

The "Cut-fail" Combination

`fail.`

- ▶ Built-in predicate.
- ▶ No arguments.
- ▶ Always fails as a goal and causes backtracking.

The "Cut-fail" Combination

`fail` **after** `cut`:

- ▶ The normal backtracking behavior will be altered by the effect of `cut`.
- ▶ Quite useful combination in practice.

The Average Taxpayer

Write a program to determine an average taxpayer.

Two cases:

- ▶ Foreigners are not average taxpayers.
- ▶ If a person is not a foreigner, apply the general criterion (whatever it is) to find out whether he or she is an average taxpayer.

The Average Taxpayer

Example

```
average_taxpayer(X) :-  
    foreigner(X), !, fail.  
average_taxpayer(X) :-  
    satisfies_general_criterion(X).
```

What would happen had we omitted the cut?

The Average Taxpayer

Wrong version, without cut:

Example (Wrong)

```
average_taxpayer(X) :-  
    foreigner(X), fail.  
average_taxpayer(X) :-  
    satisfies_general_criterion(X).
```

If there is a foreigner `widslewip` who satisfies the general criterion, the program will incorrectly answer yes on the goal
`?- average_taxpayer(widslewip).`

The Average Taxpayer

We can use cut-fail combination to define `satisfies_general_criterion`.

Two cases:

- ▶ A person whose spouse earns more than a certain amount (e.g. Euro 3000) does not satisfy the criterion of being an average taxpayer.
- ▶ If this is not the case, then a person satisfies the criterion if his income is within a certain interval (e.g. more that Euro 2000 and less than Euro 3000).

The Average Taxpayer

Clauses for `satisfies_general_criterion`.

Example

```
satisfies_general_criterion(X) :-  
    spouse(X,Y),  
    gross_income(Y,Inc),  
    Inc > 3000,  
    !, fail.
```

```
satisfies_general_criterion(X) :-  
    gross_income(X,Inc),  
    Inc < 3000,  
    Inc > 2000.
```

The Average Taxpayer

We can use cut-fail combination to define `gross_income`.

Two cases:

- ▶ A person who gets a pension less than certain amount (e.g. Euro 500), is considered to have no gross income.
- ▶ Otherwise, person's gross income is determined as the sum of his/her gross salary and investment income.

The Average Taxpayer

Clauses for `gross_income`.

Example

```
gross_income(X,Y) :-  
    receives_pension(X,P),  
    P < 500,  
    !, fail.  
gross_income(X,Y) :-  
    gross_salary(X,Z),  
    investment_income(X,W),  
    Y is Z+W.
```

not with Cut and Fail

not can be defined in terms of cut and fail.

Example

```
not(P) :- call(P), !, fail.  
not(P) .
```

Replacing Cut with `not`

- ▶ Cut can be replaced with `not` in cut-fail combination.
- ▶ Unlike the first use of cut, this replacement does not affect efficiency.
- ▶ However, more reorganization of the program is required.

Example

```
average_taxpayer(X) :-  
    not(foreigner(X)),  
    not(spouse(X,Y), gross_income(Y, Inc), Inc>3000),  
    :
```

Terminating a "Generate-and-Test"

"Generate-and-Test":

- ▶ One of the simplest AI search techniques.
- ▶ **Generate**: Generate all possible solutions to a problem.
- ▶ **Test**: Test each to see whether they are a solution.
- ▶ A possible solution is generated and then tested.
- ▶ If the test succeeds a solution is found.
- ▶ otherwise, backtrack to next possible solution.

Tic-Tac-Toe

Tic-Tac-Toe game: Get three in a row, column, or diagonal:

X		O
O	O	
X	X	X

X	X	O
O	X	
O	X	

O		O
X	O	
X	X	O

Representation:

1	2	3
4	5	6
7	8	9

Tic-Tac-Toe

We will show a part of the program to play Tic-Tac-Toe.

Used predicates:

- ▶ `var`: built-in predicate. `var(T)` succeeds if `T` is a free variable.
- ▶ `arg`: built-in predicate. `arg(N, T, A)` succeeds if `A` is `N`th argument of the term `T`.
- ▶ `aline`: defined predicate. Generator of possible lines. For instance, `aline([1, 5, 9])` is the following line:

X		
	X	
		X

Part of the Program for Tic-Tac-Toe

The opponent (playing with crosses) is threatening to claim a line:

```
threatening([X,Y,Z],B,X) :-  
    empty(X,B), cross(Y,B), cross(Z,B).
```

X		
X		

	X	X

Part of the Program

Example

```
forced_move(Board, Sq) :-  
    aline(Squares),  
    threatening(Squares, Board, Sq),  
    !.
```

```
aline([1, 2, 3]).
```

```
aline([4, 5, 6]).
```

```
aline([7, 8, 9]).
```

```
aline([1, 4, 7]).
```

```
aline([2, 5, 8]).
```

```
aline([3, 6, 9]).
```

```
aline([1, 5, 9]).
```

```
aline([3, 5, 7]).
```

Part of the Program

Example (Cont.)

```
threatening([X,Y,Z],B,X) :-  
    empty(X,B), cross(Y,B), cross(Z,B).  
threatening([X,Y,Z],B,X) :-  
    empty(Y,B), cross(X,B), cross(Z,B).  
threatening([X,Y,Z],B,X) :-  
    empty(Z,B), cross(X,B), cross(Y,B).
```

forced_move

`forced_move` implements "generate-and-test":

- ▶ Moves Generated by `alignes`: All possible ways that cross can win.
- ▶ Moves Tested by `threatening`: If cross can win in the next move.
- ▶ If no forced moves are found, then the predicate fails and some other predicate would decide what move to make.

Cut

Suppose embedded in a larger program:

- ▶ If `forced_move` successfully finds a move then `Sq` becomes instantiated to the move.
- ▶ If, later, a failure occurs (after this instantiation) `forced_move` would retry.
- ▶ Cut can prevent PROLOG to search further (which would be futile) and not waste time.
- ▶ When we look for forced moves it is only the first solution that is important.

Problems with the Cut

Cut changes behavior of programs:

- ▶ Introducing cuts may give a correct behavior when goals are of one form.
- ▶ There is no guarantee that anything sensible will happen if goals of another form start appearing.

Problems with the Cut

Example

```
number_of_parents(adam,0) :- !.  
number_of_parents(eve,0) :- !.  
number_of_parents(_,2) .
```

```
?- number_of_parents(eve,X) .  
X = 0 ;
```

No

```
?- number_of_parents(john,X) .  
X = 2 ;
```

No

```
?- number_of_parents(eve,2) .  
Yes
```

Problems with the Cut

Example

Improved Version

```
number_of_parents(adam,N) :- !, N=0.
```

```
number_of_parents(eve,N) :- !, N=0.
```

```
number_of_parents(_,2).
```

```
?- number_of_parents(eve,2).
```

No

However, it will still not work properly if we give goals such as

```
?- number_of_parents(X,Y).
```