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## Projective Space Geometry

- Context
- Incidence Geometry
- points, lines and an incidence relation
- Projective Incidence Geometry
- in 2D : 2 lines always intersect
- in 3D : Pasch's axiom
- Simple description : only 6 axioms
- Goal :
- Specifying some finite models of projective geometry
- Formally checking the axioms
- Computing spreads and packings
- Proving some of their properties
- Taking Coq to its limits (w.r.t. specification and w.r.t. proof)


## Objects and Operations

- Objects : Point, Line Parameter Point, Line : Type.
- Incidence relation : incid_lp

```
Parameter incid_lp : Point -> Line -> bool.
```

- Boolean equalities on points and lines : eqP, eqL

```
Parameter eqP : Point -> Point -> bool.
Parameter eqL : Line -> Line -> bool.
```

- All distinct points and/or lines : dist_3p, dist_4p, ..., dist_5l

```
Definition dist_3p (A B C :Point) : bool :=
(negb (eqP A B)) && (negb (eqP A C)) && (negb (eqP B C)).
Definition dist_4p (A B C D:Point) : bool := ...
Definition dist_5l (l1 12 13:Line) : bool := ...
Definition dist_51 (l1 12 13 14 15:Line) : bool := ...
```

- Intersection of 2 lines : Intersect_In

```
Definition Intersect_In (l1 l2 :Line) (P:Point) :=
incid_lp P l1 && incid_lp P l2.
```


## Axioms for Projective Space Geometry : from a geometry point of view

- a1 : throught 2 points, there is one line.
- uniqueness : Given 2 points and 2 lines, if the 2 points are both on both lines, either the points are equal, or the lines.
- a2: Pasch's axiom (if 2 lines intersect. . .).
- a3_1 : Each line has at least 3 points.
- a3_2 : There exists 2 lines which do not intersect (dim>2).
- a3_3 : Given 3 distinct lines, there exists a fourth one which intersects with all three ( $\mathrm{dim}<=3$ ).


## Axioms for Projective Space Geometry : from a geometry point of view

- Pasch's axiom



## Axioms for Projective Space Geometry : from a geometry point of view

```
Axiom al_exists : forall A B : Point, { l : Line| incid_lp A l && incid_lp B l}.
Axiom uniqueness : forall (A B :Point)(l1 12:Line),
incid_lp A ll -> incid_lp B l1 -> incid_lp A l2 -> incid_lp B l2 -> A = B \/ l1 = l2.
Axiom a2 : forall A B C D:Point, forall lAB lCD lAC lBD :Line, dist_4p A B C D }->
incid_lp A lAB && incid_lp B lAB -> incid_lp C lCD && incid_lp D lCD ->
incid_lp A lAC && incid_lp C lAC -> incid_lp B lBD && incid_lp D lBD ->
(exists I:Point, incid_lp I lAB && incid_lp I lCD) ->
exists J:Point, incid_lp J lAC && incid_lp J lBD.
Axiom a3_1 : forall l:Line,
{A:Point & {B:Point & {C:Point |
(dist_3p A B C) && (incid_lp A l && incid_lp B l && incid_lp C l)}}}.
Axiom a3_2 : exists 11:Line, exists l2:Line,
forall p:Point, (incid_lp p l1 && incid_lp p l2).
Axiom a3_3 : forall 11 12 13:Line, dist_31 11 12 13 ->
exists 14 :Line, exists J1:Point, exists J2:Point, exists J3:Point,
Intersect_In 11 14 J1 && Intersect_In 12 14 J2 && Intersect_In l3 14 J3.
```


## Axioms for Projective Space Geometry : from a logic point of view

```
Axiom al_exists : forall A B : Point, { l : Line| incid_lp A l && incid_lp B l}.
Axiom uniqueness : forall (A B :Point)(11 12:Line),
incid_lp A ll -> incid_lp B l1 -> incid_lp A l2 -> incid_lp B l2 -> A = B \/ l1 = l2.
Axiom a2 : forall A B C D:Point, forall lAB lCD lAC lBD :Line, dist_4p A B C D ->
incid_lp A lAB && incid_lp B lAB -> incid_lp C lCD && incid_lp D lCD ->
incid_lp A lAC && incid_lp C lAC -> incid_lp B lBD && incid_lp D lBD ->
(exists I:Point, incid_lp I lAB && incid_lp I lCD) ->
exists J:Point, incid_lp J lAC && incid_lp J lBD.
Axiom a3_1 : forall l:Line,
{A:Point & {B:Point & {C:Point |
(dist_3p A B C) && (incid_lp A l && incid_lp B l && incid_lp C l)}}}.
Axiom a3_2 : exists 11:Line, exists 12:Line,
forall p:Point, (incid_lp p l1 && incid_lp p l2).
Axiom a3_3 : forall 11 12 13:Line, dist_31 11 12 13 ->
exists 14 :Line, exists J1:Point, exists J2:Point, exists J3:Point,
Intersect_In 11 14 J1 && Intersect_In 12 14 J2 && Intersect_In l3 14 J3.
```


## Finite Projective Spaces PG(3,q)

|  | \# points | \# lines | \# points per line |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P G(3,2)$ | 15 | 35 | 3 |
| $P G(3,3)$ | 40 | 130 | 4 |
| $P G(3,4)$ | 85 | 357 | 5 |
| $P G(3, q)$ | $\left(q^{2}+1\right)(q+1)$ | $\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)\left(q^{2}+1\right)$ | $q+1$ |

- By duality : \# planes = \# points.
- Describing the incidence relation of $\operatorname{PG}(3, q)$ : for each line, we provide the $q+1$ points which belong to it.
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## Coq specifications

- Point and Line as simple inductive types.
- Case analysis is easy.
- Finding a witness can be challenging.
= trying each possible value and running the tactics.
- Writing the specification is a bit boring (even worse with higher orders).
Inductive Point := P0 | P1 | P2 | ... | P14.
- Automation
- An external program to generate the specification
- Also useful to generate the witnesses for existential proofs
- incidence relation as a boolean predicate
- decidable equality
- ad-hoc order relation on points and lines
- witnesses are computed in advance


## Inductive Definitions and Functions

```
Inductive Point :=
| P0 | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 | P7 | P8 | P9 | P10 | P11 | P12 | P13 | P14.
Inductive Line :=
| L0 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L4 | L5 | L6 | L7 | L8 | L9
| L10 | L11 | L12 | L13 | L14 | L15 | L16 | L17 | L18 | L19
| L20 | L21 | L22 | L23 | L24 | L25 | L26 | L27 | L28 | L29
| L30 | L31 | L32 | L33 | L34.
            Definition incid_lp (p:Point) (l:Line) : bool :=
match l with
| L0 => match p with P0 | P1 | P2 => true | _ => false end
| L1 => match p with P0 | P3 | P4 => true | _ => false end
| L2 => match p with P0 | P5 | P6 => true | _ => false end
| L3 => match p with P0 | P7 | P8 => true | _ => false end
| L4 => match p with P0 | P10 | P9 => true | _ => false end
| [...]
end.
Definition f_a3_3 (l1:Line) (12:Line) (13:Line) :=
match l3 with
    | L0 => match l2 with
        | L0 => match l1 with
                        | LO => (L0,(P0,PO,PO))
                        | _ => (L0, (P0,P0,P0))
                            end
        |__=> (L0, (P0,P0,P0))
        end
    | L1 => [...]
end.
```
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## Spreads and Packings of PG(3,q)

- A spread of $\operatorname{PG}(3, q)$ is a set of $q^{2}+1$ lines which are pairwise disjoint and thus partitions the set of points.
- In PG(3,2), it corresponds to some sets of 5 lines.
- A packing of $\operatorname{PG}(3, q)$ is a set of $q^{2}+q+1$ spreads which are pairwise disjoint and thus partitions the set of lines.
- In PG(3,2), it corresponds to some sets of 7 spreads.


## Results for PG(3,2)

- There are 56 (isomorphic) spreads in PG(3,2).
- There are 240 packings in $\mathrm{PG}(3,2)$, upto isomorphism.
- These 240 packings are divided into 2 distinct equivalence classes (120 packings each).
- See Finite Projective Spaces of Three Dimensions (Hirschfeld,1985) for details
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## Spreads

- Formal definition of a spread

```
Definition is_partition (p q r s t: list Point) :bool :=
(forall_Point (fun x => inb x p || inb x q|| inb x r || inb x s || inb x t)) &&
(forall_Point (fun x => negb (inb x p && inb x q && inb x r && inb x s && inb x t))).
Definition is_spread5 (11 12 13 14 l5:Line) : bool :=
disj_5l l1 l2 13 14 15 && is_partition l1 12 l3 14.
```

- Spreads are computed externally.
- This exactly computes all the spreads of PG(3,2).

Lemma is_spread_descr : forall 11121314 15,
(is_spread5 1112131415 ) <-> In $[11 ; 12 ; 13 ; 14 ; 15]$ spreads.

- Proceeds by induction of the 5 variables $l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}, l_{5}$ ( $35^{5}=52521875$ cases)


## Spreads

- All these spreads are isomorphic.
- Collineation : bijection which respects the incidence relation
- There exists a collineation between each pair of spreads.

Lemma all_isomorphic_lemma : forall t1 t2 : list Line,
In t1 spreads $->$ In t2 spreads $\rightarrow$ are_isomorphic t1 t2.

- Proof achieved using a circular argument $S_{0} \rightarrow S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow S_{55} \rightarrow S_{0}$


## Packings

- We build the 240 packings of $P G(3,2)$.
- We still need to show that they are no other packings of PG(3,2).
- We build 2 classes of isomorphim (120 packings each).
- We still need to show that they are actually two distinct classes.


## Issues and Solutions

- Issues
- Finding effective representations of objects for both computation and formal (automated reasoning)
- Reaching the Frontiers/Limitations of Coq
- Solutions
- Enhancing Coq abilities
- Circumventing the limitations
- Simplifying
- Decomposing the proof
- ...
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## Conclusions and Future Work

- Achievements
- Some (Big) Formal Proofs in PG(3,2)
- Pushing Coq to its limits
- Next steps (examples of state-of-the-art results)
- Betten. The packings of PG(3,3) . 2015
- Svetlana Topalova and Stela Zhelezova. On transitive parallelisms of $P G(3,4) .2017$
- Using alternative provers
- Lean
- Z3


## Thanks! Questions?

https://github.com/magaud/PG3q


