Spreads and Packings of PG(3,2), Formally!



Picture taken from David A. Richter http://homepages.wmich.edu/~drichter/projectivespace.htm

Nicolas Magaud - Université de Strasbourg, France

ADG 2021 : International Workshop on Automated Deduction in Geometry September 15-17, 2021

icub

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

1 Projective Geometry, esp. in 3D

- 2 Data Structures for Finite Projective Geometry
- ③ Spreads and Packings
- 4 Formal Proofs
- **5** Conclusions and Future Work



Projective Space Geometry

- Context
 - Incidence Geometry
 - points, lines and an incidence relation
 - Projective Incidence Geometry
 - in 2D : 2 lines always intersect
 - in 3D : Pasch's axiom
 - Simple description : only 6 axioms
- Goal :
 - Specifying some finite models of projective geometry
 - Formally checking the axioms
 - Computing spreads and packings
 - Proving some of their properties
 - Taking Coq to its limits (w.r.t. specification and w.r.t. proof)

icube

(a) < (a) < (b) < (b)

Objects and Operations

• Objects : Point, Line

Parameter Point, Line : Type.

Incidence relation : incid_lp

Parameter incid_lp : Point -> Line -> bool.

Boolean equalities on points and lines : eqP, eqL

```
Parameter eqP : Point -> Point -> bool.
Parameter eqL : Line -> Line -> bool.
```

All distinct points and/or lines : dist_3p, dist_4p, ..., dist_5l

```
Definition dist_3p (A B C :Point) : bool :=
(negb (eqP A B)) && (negb (eqP A C)) && (negb (eqP B C)).
```

Definition dist_4p (A B C D:Point) : bool := ... Definition dist_51 (l1 l2 l3:Line) : bool := ... Definition dist_51 (l1 l2 l3 l4 l5:Line) : bool := ...

Intersection of 2 lines : Intersect_In

Definition Intersect_In (l1 l2 :Line) (P:Point) := incid_lp P l1 && incid_lp P l2. Axioms for Projective Space Geometry : from a geometry point of view

- a1 : throught 2 points, there is one line.
- uniqueness : Given 2 points and 2 lines, if the 2 points are both on both lines, either the points are equal, or the lines.
- a2 : Pasch's axiom (if 2 lines intersect...).
- a3_1 : Each line has at least 3 points.
- a3_2 : There exists 2 lines which do not intersect (dim>2).
- a3_3 : Given 3 distinct lines, there exists a fourth one which intersects with all three (dim<=3).



Axioms for Projective Space Geometry : from a geometry point of view





Axioms for Projective Space Geometry : from a geometry point of view

```
Axiom al_exists : forall A B : Point, { l : Line| incid_lp A l && incid_lp B l}.
Axiom uniqueness : forall (A B :Point)(ll l2:Line),
incid_lp A ll -> incid_lp B ll -> incid_lp A l2 -> incid_lp B l2 -> A = B \/ l1 = l2.
```

Axiom a2 : forall A B C D:Point, forall lAB lCD lAC lBD :Line, dist_4p A B C D -> incid_lp A lAB && incid_lp B lAB -> incid_lp C lCD && incid_lp D lCD -> incid_lp A lAC && incid_lp C lAC -> incid_lp B lBD && incid_lp D lBD -> (exists I:Point, incid_lp I lAB && incid_lp I lCD) -> exists J:Point, incid_lp J lAC && incid_lp J lBD.

Axiom a3_1 : forall l:Line,
{A:Point & {B:Point & (C:Point |
(dist_3p A B C) && (incid_lp A 1 && incid_lp B 1 && incid_lp C 1)}}.

Axiom a3_2 : exists l1:Line, exists l2:Line, forall p:Point, (incid_lp p l1 && incid_lp p l2).

Axiom a3_3 : forall 11 12 13:Line, dist_31 11 12 13 -> exists 14 :Line, exists J1:Point, exists J2:Point, exists J3:Point, Intersect In 11 14 J1 && Intersect In 12 14 J2 && Intersect In 13 14 J3.

Axioms for Projective Space Geometry : from a logic point of view

Axiom al_exists : forall A B : Point, { 1 : Line | incid_lp A l && incid_lp B l}.

Axiom uniqueness : forall (A B :Point) (11 12:Line), incid_lp A l1 -> incid_lp B l1 -> incid_lp A l2 -> incid_lp B l2 -> A = B \/ l1 = l2.

Axiom a2 : forall A B C D:Point, forall IAB ICD IAC IBD :Line, dist_4p A B C D -> incid_lp A IAB && incid_lp B IAB -> incid_lp C ICD && incid_lp D ICD -> incid_lp A IAC && incid_lp C IAC -> incid_lp B IBD && incid_lp D IBD -> (exists I:Point, incid_lp I IAB && incid_lp I ICD) -> exists J:Point, incid_lp J IAC && incid_lp J IBD.

Axiom a3_1 : forall 1:Line,
{A:Point & {B:Point & {C:Point |
 (dist_3p A B C) && (incid_lp A 1 && incid_lp B 1 && incid_lp C 1)}}.

Axiom a3_2 : exists l1:Line, exists l2:Line, forall p:Point, (incid lp p l1 && incid lp p l2).

Axiom a3_3 : forall 11 12 13:Line, dist_31 11 12 13 ->
exists 14 :Line, exists J1:Point, exists J2:Point, exists J3:Point,
Intersect In 11 4 J1 && Intersect In 12 14 J2 && Intersect In 13 14 J3.

Finite Projective Spaces PG(3,q)

	# points	# lines	# points per line
<i>PG</i> (3, 2)	15	35	3
<i>PG</i> (3, 3)	40	130	4
<i>PG</i> (3, 4)	85	357	5
<i>PG</i> (3, <i>q</i>)	$(q^2+1)(q+1)$	$(q^2+q+1)(q^2+1)$	<i>q</i> + 1

- By duality : # planes = # points.
- Describing the incidence relation of PG(3, q) : for each line, we provide the q+1 points which belong to it.



1 Projective Geometry, esp. in 3D

2 Data Structures for Finite Projective Geometry

3 Spreads and Packings

4 Formal Proofs

5 Conclusions and Future Work



Coq specifications

- Point and Line as simple inductive types.
 - Case analysis is easy.
 - Finding a witness can be challenging.
 = trying each possible value and running the tactics.
 - Writing the specification is a bit boring (even worse with higher orders).

Inductive Point := PO | P1 | P2 | ... | P14.

- Automation
 - An external program to generate the specification
 - Also useful to generate the witnesses for existential proofs
 - incidence relation as a boolean predicate
 - decidable equality
 - ad-hoc order relation on points and lines
 - witnesses are computed in advance



Inductive Definitions and Functions

```
Inductive Point :=
| P0 | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 | P7 | P8 | P9 | P10 | P11 | P12 | P13 | P14 .
Inductive Line :=
| L0 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L4 | L5 | L6 | L7 | L8 | L9
| L10 | L11 | L12 | L13 | L14 | L15 | L16 | L17 | L18 | L19
| L20 | L21 | L22 | L23 | L24 | L25 | L26 | L27 | L28 | L29
| L30 | L31 | L32 | L33 | L34 .
   Definition incid lp (p:Point) (l:Line) : bool :=
match 1 with
| L0 => match p with P0 | P1 | P2 => true | => false end
| L1 => match p with P0 | P3 | P4 => true | => false end
| L2 => match p with P0 | P5 | P6 => true | => false end
| L3 => match p with P0 | P7 | P8 => true | => false end
| L4 => match p with P0 | P10 | P9 => true | => false end
| [...]
end.
Definition f a3 3 (l1:Line) (l2:Line) (l3:Line) :=
match 13 with
| T.O => match 12 with
        | I_0 =  match | 1 with
                 | L0 => (L0, (P0, P0, P0))
                 => (L0, (P0, P0, P0))
                end
       | => (L0, (P0, P0, P0))
       end
 | L1 => [...]
                                                                               icube
end.
```

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

1 Projective Geometry, esp. in 3D

2 Data Structures for Finite Projective Geometry

3 Spreads and Packings

4 Formal Proofs

5 Conclusions and Future Work



Spreads and Packings of PG(3,q)

- A spread of PG(3,q) is a set of q² + 1 lines which are pairwise disjoint and thus partitions the set of points.
 - In PG(3,2), it corresponds to some sets of 5 lines.
- A packing of PG(3,q) is a set of $q^2 + q + 1$ spreads which are pairwise disjoint and thus partitions the set of lines.
 - In PG(3,2), it corresponds to some sets of 7 spreads.



Results for PG(3,2)

- There are 56 (isomorphic) spreads in PG(3,2).
- There are 240 packings in PG(3,2), upto isomorphism.
- These 240 packings are divided into 2 distinct equivalence classes (120 packings each).
- See Finite Projective Spaces of Three Dimensions (Hirschfeld, 1985) for details



1 Projective Geometry, esp. in 3D

- 2 Data Structures for Finite Projective Geometry
- 3 Spreads and Packings

4 Formal Proofs





Spreads

Formal definition of a spread

```
Definition is_partition (p q r s t: list Point) :bool := (forall_Point (fun x => inb x p || inb x q || inb x r || inb x s || inb x t)) && (forall_Point (fun x => negb (inb x p && inb x q && inb x r && inb x s && inb x t))).
```

```
Definition is_spread5 (11 12 13 14 15:Line) : bool := disj_51 11 12 13 14 15 && is_partition 11 12 13 14.
```

- Spreads are computed externally.
- This exactly computes all the spreads of PG(3,2).

```
Lemma is_spread_descr : forall 11 12 13 14 15,
(is_spread5 11 12 13 14 15) <-> In [11;12;13;14;15] spreads.
```

• Proceeds by induction of the 5 variables l_1 , l_2 , l_3 , l_4 , l_5 (35⁵ = 52 521 875 cases)



Spreads

- All these spreads are isomorphic.
 - Collineation : bijection which respects the incidence relation
 - There exists a collineation between each pair of spreads.

```
Lemma all_isomorphic_lemma : forall t1 t2 : list Line,
In t1 spreads -> In t2 spreads -> are_isomorphic t1 t2.
```

• Proof achieved using a circular argument $S_0 \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow S_{55} \rightarrow S_0$



Packings

- We build the 240 packings of PG(3,2).
- We still need to show that they are no other packings of PG(3,2).
- We build 2 classes of isomorphim (120 packings each).
- We still need to show that they are actually two distinct classes.



Issues and Solutions

Issues

- Finding effective representations of objects for both computation and formal (automated reasoning)
- Reaching the Frontiers/Limitations of Coq
- Solutions
 - Enhancing Coq abilities
 - Circumventing the limitations
 - Simplifying
 - Decomposing the proof
 - . . .



1 Projective Geometry, esp. in 3D

- 2 Data Structures for Finite Projective Geometry
- 3 Spreads and Packings

4 Formal Proofs





Conclusions and Future Work

- Achievements
 - Some (Big) Formal Proofs in PG(3,2)
 - Pushing Coq to its limits
- Next steps (examples of state-of-the-art results)
 - Betten. The packings of PG(3,3). 2015
 - Svetlana Topalova and Stela Zhelezova. On transitive parallelisms of PG(3,4). 2017
- Using alternative provers
 - Lean
 - Z3



Thanks! Questions?

