# Invariants of Binary Forms<sup>\*</sup>

# P. Gordan

## Historical Background by Michael Abramson

This paper is probably the full version of the result announced in P. Gordan's earlier paper Neuer Beweis des Hilbertschen Satzes über homogene Funktionen [A New Proof of Hilbert's Theorem on Homogeneous Functions], Nachr. der Königl. Ges. der Wiss. zu Göttingen 3 (1899), 240-242 (Trans. by M. Abramson, ACM SIGSAM Bulletin 32/2 (1998), 47-48). These two papers contain the earliest known example of a (non-trivial) Gröbner basis in the literature. Section 2.V describes a construction and reduction procedure similar to that of the S-polynomial, including the idea that if the S-polynomial reduces to zero, we have redundancy. Upon continuation of this process, the set N in Section 2.VI is a Gröbner basis, though no proof is given which verifies the procedure actually terminates.

Abstract. The system of binary forms is finite. I proved this theorem and Mr. Hilbert extended it to forms in n variables. In this note, a theorem is given which implies the others.

# Chapter 1: Elementary Systems of Products

# I. – Systems of Products

The products of n variables

$$x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$$

form a system  ${\cal S}$  if the exponents are subject to the relations

(1) 
$$\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \ldots$$

These relations define S.

Example 1. The formula

$$k_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

defines the system

(S) 
$$x_1^3, x_1^6, x_1^9, \ldots$$

Example 2. The formula

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

defines the system

$$(S) x_1^3, x_1^4 x_2^2, x_3^7 x_4^2, \dots$$

Example 3. The formulae

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$
  
$$k_1k_2 + k_1k_3 + k_1k_4 + k_2k_3 + k_2k_4 + k_3k_4 > 0$$

define the system

$$(S) x_1^2 x_2, x_1 x_2 x_4^4, x_1 x_3^2 x_4^3, \dots$$

#### II. – Elementary Sets

It can be shown that the products T in S are divisible by each other. If we omit the products T, which are divisible by other T, we obtain a partial system  $\Sigma$ ; it is called the *elementary system* defined by the relations (1). It contains the products

$$(\Sigma)$$
  $P_1, P_2, \ldots,$ 

which have the following properties:

- 1. The exponents k satisfy the relations (1).
- 2. No P is divisible by any other.
- 3. Each product T of S is divisible by at least one of the P.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast}$  Les invariants des formes binaires. J. de Mathématiques Pures et Appliqués 6 (1900), 141-156. Translation by Michael Abramson.

Example 1. The formula

$$k_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

defines the elementary system

 $(\Sigma)$   $x_1^3$ .

Example 2. The formula

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

defines the elementary system

(2)(
$$\Sigma$$
) 
$$\begin{cases} x_1^3, x_1^2 x_2, x_1^2 x_3, x_1^2 x_4, x_1 x_2^2, \\ x_1 x_2 x_3, x_1 x_2 x_4, x_1 x_3^2, x_1 x_3 x_4, x_1 x_4^2, \\ x_2^3, x_2^2 x_3, x_2^2 x_4, x_2 x_3^2, x_2 x_3 x_4, \\ x_2 x_4^2, x_3^3, x_3^2 x_4, x_3 x_4^2, x_4^3 \end{cases}$$

These are the terms of a quaternary cubic form in the usual order. I view each one of them as *complicated* compared to those which follow it and the last ones as *simpler*; thus  $x_4^3$  is the simplest term and  $x_1^3$  is the most complicated.

#### Example 3. The formulae

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$
  
 $k_1 k_2 + k_1 k_3 + k_1 k_4 + k_2 k_3 + k_2 k_4 + k_3 k_4 > 0$ 

define the elementary system

$$(3)(\Sigma) \qquad \begin{cases} x_1^2 x_2, x_1^2 x_3, x_1^2 x_4, x_1 x_2^2, \\ x_1 x_2 x_3, x_1 x_2 x_4, x_1 x_3^2, x_1 x_3 x_4, x_1 x_4^2, \\ x_2^2 x_3, x_2^2 x_4, x_2 x_3^2, x_2 x_3 x_4, \\ x_2 x_4^2, x_3^2 x_4, x_3 x_4^2. \end{cases}$$

#### III. – Indices of Elementary Sets

The number of products contained in the elementary system  $\Sigma$  is called the *index* of  $\Sigma$ . If the products are composed of n variables, I represent this index by  $h_n$ . If we have several elementary systems

 $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3, \ldots,$ 

I represent their indices by

$$h_{n,1}, h_{n,2}, h_{n,3}, \ldots$$

If n = 1, we have

$$(4) h_1 \le 1.$$

**Example 1.** The elementary system defined by the formula

$$k_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

has index  $h_1 = 1$ .

**Example 2.** The elementary system defined by the formula l + l + l + l = 0 ( $(-1)^2$ )

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

has index  $h_4 = 20$ .

**Example 3.** The elementary system defined by the formulae

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$
  
$$k_1k_2 + k_1k_3 + k_1k_4 + k_2k_3 + k_2k_4 + k_3k_4 > 0$$

has index  $h_4 = 16$ .

## IV. – Partial Systems of the Elementary System $\Sigma$

If the product

$$P_1 = x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n}$$

is contained in the elementary system  $\Sigma$ , we can form partial systems

 $P_1$ ,

 $x_1^g x_2^{k_2} x_3^{k_3} \cdots x_n^{k_n} = x_1^g Q,$ 

 $L_0$ 

$$L_1$$

where

$$L_2$$

e  $g \leq \lambda_1;$   $x_1^{k_1} x_2^{g-\lambda_1} x_3^{k_3} \cdots x_n^{k_n} = x_2^{g-\lambda_1} Q,$  $\lambda_1 < g \leq \lambda_1 + \lambda_2;$ 

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{where} & \lambda_1 < g \leq \lambda_1 + \lambda_2; \\ L_3 & x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} x_3^{g-\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} x_4^{k_4} \cdots x_n^{k_n} = x_3^{g-\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} Q, \\ \text{where} & \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 < g \leq \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3; \end{array}$$

$$L_g \quad x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} x_n^{g-\lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_{n-1}} = x_n^{g-\lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_{n-1}} Q,$$
  
where 
$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} < g;$$

:

Their number is

$$1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_n = 1 + \rho$$

**Example 1.** The elementary system defined by the formula

$$k_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

has no partial systems.

**Example 2.** The elementary system defined by the formula

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

has partial systems

$$L_1 P_1 = x_1^3$$

 $L_2 \qquad \qquad x_1^2 x_2, x_1^2 x_3, x_1^2 x_4,$ 

$$\begin{array}{ll} L_3 & x_1 x_2^2, x_1 x_2 x_3, x_1 x_2 x_4, x_1 x_3^2, x_1 x_3 x_4, x_1 x_4^2, \\ L_4 & x_2^3, x_2^2 x_3, x_2^2 x_4, x_2 x_3^2, x_2 x_3 x_4, x_2 x_4^2, x_3^3, x_3^2 x_4, x_3 x_4^2, x_4^3, \end{array}$$

**Example 3.** The elementary system defined by the formulae

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$
  
$$k_1k_2 + k_1k_3 + k_1k_4 + k_2k_3 + k_2k_4 + k_3k_4 > 0$$

has partial systems

$$L_0 \qquad \qquad P_1 = x_1^2 x_2,$$

 $\begin{array}{lll} L_1 & & x_1x_2^2, x_1x_2x_3, x_1x_2x_4, x_1x_3^2, x_1x_3x_4, x_1x_4^2 \\ L_2 & & x_2^2x_3, x_2^2x_4, x_2x_3^2, x_2x_3x_4, x_2x_4^2, x_3^2x_4, x_3x_4^2, \end{array}$ 

 $L_3 \qquad x_1^2 x_3, x_1^2 x_4, x_1 x_3^2, x_1 x_3 x_4, x_1 x_4^2, x_3^2 x_4, x_3 x_4^2.$ 

# V. – Relations for the Index $h_n$

If the product

$$P = x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$$
 is contained in  $\Sigma$  and differs from

$$P_1 = x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n}$$

it is not divisible by  $P_1$ ; it has at least one exponent

$$k_{\sigma} < \lambda_{\sigma}$$

and is contained in the partial system  $L_g$ , where

$$k_{\sigma} = g - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \ldots - \lambda_{\sigma-1}$$

All the P in  $\Sigma$  are contained in the L. If we represent the number of products contained in  $L_g$  by  $l_g$  we have

(5) 
$$h_n \leq 1 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + \ldots + l_{\rho}.$$

Example 1.

(5) 
$$h_1 \le 1, \quad l_g = 0.$$

Example 2.

(5) 
$$h_4 = 20, \quad l_1 = 3, \quad l_2 = 6, \quad l_3 = 10,$$
  
 $20 = 1 + 3 + 6 + 10.$ 

# Example 3.

(5) 
$$h_1 = 16, \quad l_1 = 6, \quad l_2 = 7, \quad l_3 = 7,$$
  
 $16 < 1 + 6 + 6 + 7.$ 

#### VI. – The Corresponding Systems

The products lying in the partial system  ${\cal L}_g$  are of the form

$$L_g \qquad \qquad x^r_\sigma Q_1, x^r_\sigma Q_2, x^r_\sigma Q_3, \dots$$

and none of them is divisible by any other. The system

$$\Sigma_g \qquad \qquad Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, \dots$$

corresponds to the system  $L_g$ .

The Q are formed just from the n-1 variables

$$x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{\sigma-1}, x_{\sigma+1}, \ldots, x_n;$$

none of them is divisible by any other. The exponents k of Q are subject to the relations

$$(1_a)$$
  $H_1, H_2, \ldots$ 

derived from the relations

(1) 
$$\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \ldots$$

The  $\Sigma_g$  are the elementary systems defined by the relations  $(1_a)$ .

**Example 1.** As there is no  $L_g$ , there is also no corresponding system  $\Sigma_g$ .

**Example 2.** The elementary systems corresponding to the partial systems

is

$$\Sigma_1 \qquad \qquad x_2, x_3, x_4,$$

$$\begin{split} & \Sigma_2 \qquad \qquad x_2^2, x_2 x_3, x_2 x_4, x_3^2, x_3 x_4, x_4^2, \\ & \Sigma_3 \ x_2^3, x_2^2 x_3, x_2^2 x_4, x_2 x_3^2, x_2 x_3 x_4, x_2 x_4^2, x_3^3, x_3^2 x_4, x_3 x_4^2, x_4^3; \end{split}$$

they are defined by the relations

**Example 3.** The elementary systems corresponding to the partial systems

$$L_1 \qquad x_1 x_2^2, x_1 x_2 x_3, x_1 x_2 x_4, x_1 x_3^2, x_1 x_3 x_4, x_1 x_4^2, \\ L_2 \qquad x_2^2 x_3, x_2^2 x_4, x_2 x_3^2, x_2 x_3 x_4, x_2 x_4^2, x_3^2 x_4, x_3 x_4^2, \\ \end{array}$$

$$x_2$$
  $x_2x_3, x_2x_4, x_2x_3, x_2x_3x_4, x_2x_4, x_3x_4, x_3$ 

$$L_3 \qquad x_1^2 x_3, x_1^2 x_4, x_1 x_3^2, x_1 x_3 x_4, x_1 x_4^2, x_3^2 x_4, x_3 x_4^2.$$

is 
$$\begin{split} & \sum_{1} \qquad x_{2}^{2}, x_{2}x_{3}, x_{2}x_{4}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{3}x_{4}, x_{4}^{2}, \\ & \sum_{2} \qquad x_{2}^{2}x_{3}, x_{2}^{2}x_{4}, x_{2}x_{3}^{2}, x_{2}x_{3}x_{4}, x_{2}x_{4}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}x_{4}, x_{3}x_{4}^{2}, \\ & \sum_{3} \qquad x_{1}^{2}x_{3}, x_{1}^{2}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{3}^{2}, x_{1}x_{3}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{4}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}x_{4}, x_{3}x_{4}^{2}; \\ & \sum_{3} \qquad x_{1}^{2}x_{3}, x_{1}^{2}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{3}^{2}, x_{1}x_{3}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{4}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}x_{4}, x_{3}x_{4}^{2}; \\ & \sum_{3} \qquad x_{1}^{2}x_{3}, x_{1}^{2}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{3}^{2}, x_{1}x_{3}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{4}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}x_{4}, x_{3}x_{4}^{2}; \\ & \sum_{3} \qquad x_{1}^{2}x_{3}, x_{1}^{2}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{3}^{2}, x_{1}x_{3}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{4}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}x_{4}, x_{3}x_{4}^{2}; \\ & \sum_{3} \qquad x_{1}^{2}x_{3}, x_{1}^{2}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{3}^{2}, x_{1}x_{3}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{4}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}x_{4}, x_{3}x_{4}^{2}; \\ & \sum_{3} \qquad x_{1}^{2}x_{3}, x_{1}^{2}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{3}^{2}, x_{1}x_{3}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{4}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}x_{4}, x_{3}x_{4}^{2}; \\ & \sum_{3} \qquad x_{1}^{2}x_{3}, x_{1}^{2}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{3}^{2}, x_{1}x_{3}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{4}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}x_{4}, x_{3}x_{4}^{2}; \\ & \sum_{3} \qquad x_{1}^{2}x_{3}, x_{1}^{2}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{3}^{2}, x_{1}x_{3}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{4}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}x_{4}, x_{3}x_{4}^{2}; \\ & \sum_{3} \qquad x_{1}^{2}x_{3}, x_{1}^{2}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{3}^{2}, x_{1}x_{3}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{4}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}x_{4}, x_{3}x_{4}^{2}; \\ & \sum_{3} \qquad x_{1}^{2}x_{3}, x_{1}^{2}x_{4}, x_{1}x_{3}^{2}, x_{1}^{2}x_{3}, x_{1}^{2}x_{4}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{1}^{2}x_{4}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{1}^{2}x_{4}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{1}^{2}x_{4}, x_{1}^{2}x_{4}^{2}, x_{1}^{2}, x_{1}$$

they are defined by the relations

$$\Sigma_1 \quad \text{by} \quad k_2 + k_3 + k_4 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$
$$\Sigma_2 \quad \text{by} \quad \int k_2 + k_3 + k_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

$$\begin{cases} k_2k_3 + k_2k_4 + k_3k_4 > 0 \\ k_1 + k_3 + k_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

$$\Sigma_3$$
 by  $\begin{cases} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ k_1 k_3 + k_1 k_4 + k_3 k_4 > 0 \end{cases}$ 

## VII. – Relations Between the Indices of Several Systems

The number of products P contained in  $L_g$  is equal to that of the products Q contained in  $\Sigma_g$ 

$$l_g = h_{n-1,g}.$$

The formulae corresponding to the formulae (5) are

(6) 
$$\begin{cases} h_n \leq 1+h_{n-1,1}+h_{n-1,2}+\ldots+h_{n-1,\rho},\\ h_1 \leq 1,\\ 20 = 1+3+6+10,\\ 16 < 1+6+6+7. \end{cases}$$

## VIII. – The Indices of Elementary Systems are of Finite Number

*Proof.*  $h_1 \leq 1$  is a finite number. The numbers

(7) 
$$\begin{cases} h_2 \leq 1 + h_{1,1} + h_{1,2} + \ldots + h_{1,\rho_1}, \\ h_3 \leq 1 + h_{2,1} + h_{2,2} + \ldots + h_{2,\rho_2}, \\ \vdots \end{cases}$$

are also finite numbers.

The numbers  $\rho$  are defined §IV by the degrees of whatever products are contained in the  $\Sigma$ .

# Chapter 2: Elementary Systems of Homogeneous Functions

## I. – The Order of Terms of a Homogeneous Function

The terms of a homogeneous function  $f\,$  of n variables are products

$$P = x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_n^{k_n}.$$

We assume them to be written in an order such that each one of them precedes those that are simpler. The first term  $P_1$  is the most complicated. If we set

$$f = c_1 P_1 + \chi,$$

the terms of  $\chi$  are simpler than  $P_1$ .

#### II. – The Order of Homogeneous Functions

We will classify the homogeneous functions

 $f_1, f_2, \ldots$ 

according to their degrees, the f of lower degree precede those of higher degree. Forms of the same degree are arranged according to their first terms.

Those whose first terms are simple precede those whose first terms are more complicated.

This order is inverse to the order of terms in the homogeneous function. With the forms f thus ordered, we will view each one of them as *simpler* than those which follow it.

## III. – The System S of Homogeneous Functions

The relations

$$\Theta_1, \Theta_2, .$$

which connect the coefficients of the homogeneous functions define a system S consisting of all homogeneous functions

$$(S) f_1, f_2, \dots$$

whose coefficients satisfy these relations. The f of (S) are ordered according to §2.II. The systems whose homogeneous functions are simple are called *simple*.

#### **IV.** – Derived Systems

Given a system of homogeneous functions

$$(S) \qquad \qquad \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \ldots,$$

we can derive another system

(8) 
$$\begin{cases} \eta_1 = \phi_1 \\ \eta_2 = A_{21}\phi_1 + \phi_2 \\ \eta_3 = A_{31}\phi_1 + A_{32}\phi_2 + \phi_3 \\ \eta_4 = A_{41}\phi_1 + A_{42}\phi_2 + A_{43}\phi_3 + \phi_4 \\ \vdots \end{cases}$$

The A are whatever functions which will make the functions  $\eta$  homogeneous.

## V. - Reduction of Derived Systems

It can be shown that among the  $\eta$  of a derived system M

$$\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \ldots,$$

there are two functions (§2.I)

$$\begin{split} \eta_{\lambda} &= c_{\lambda} P_{\lambda} + \chi_{\lambda}, \\ \eta_{\mu} &= c_{\mu} P_{\mu} + \chi_{\mu}, \end{split}$$

such that the first term  $P_{\lambda}$  of  $\eta_{\lambda}$  is divisible by the first term  $P_{\mu}$  of  $\eta_{\mu}$ 

$$P_{\lambda} = RP_{\mu}.$$

In this case, I form the aggregate

(9) 
$$\overline{\eta_{\lambda}} = \eta_{\lambda} - \frac{c_{\lambda}}{c_{\mu}} R \eta_{\mu} = \chi_{\lambda} - \frac{c_{\lambda}}{c_{\mu}} R \chi_{\mu},$$

and I substitute it in place of  $\eta_{\lambda}$  in the system M.

Let  $M_1$  be the system which results from this substitution.

 $\overline{\eta_{\lambda}}$  and  $M_1$  are simpler than  $\eta_{\lambda}$  and M. M is reduced to  $M_1$  by the substitution (9). If we have

(10) 
$$\overline{\eta_{\lambda}} = 0,$$

the system  $M_1$  contains one function fewer than M. In this case, we have, according to (8),

(11) 
$$0 = A_{\lambda 1}\phi_1 + A_{\lambda 2}\phi_2 + \ldots + A_{\lambda,\lambda-1} + \phi_{\lambda-1} + \phi_{\lambda},$$

that is to say,  $\phi_{\lambda}$  is an aggregate of simpler functions  $\phi$ .

#### VI. – The Irreducible System N

If we continue the process of reduction, we obtain an irreducible system

$$(N)$$
  $f_1, f_2, \ldots,$ 

The first terms of the functions f are the products

$$P_1, P_2, \ldots,$$

none of which is divisible by any other. They form an elementary system  $\Sigma$ . Let h be its index. The system N contains h functions

$$(N)$$
  $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_h.$ 

### VII. – The Elementary System L

If the number h is less than the number of functions  $\phi$ , we can reduce all but h functions  $\phi$  to simpler functions by formula (11). Represent by  $\Phi$  those functions which remain. The system

$$(L)$$
  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \ldots, \Phi_h$ 

is called the *elementary system* defined by the relations

$$\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \ldots$$

#### VIII. – Hilbert's Theorem

All of the  $\phi$ , except the  $\Phi$ , are aggregates of the simpler functions  $\phi$ . Continuing this reduction, we obtain the formula

(12) 
$$\phi = c_1 \Phi_1 + c_2 \Phi_2 + \ldots + c_h \Phi_h$$

# **Chapter 3: Application to Invariants**

# I. – Transformation of Binary Forms

The binary form

$$f = a_0 x_1^n + {\binom{n}{1}} a_1 x_1^{n-1} x_2 + {\binom{n}{2}} a_2 x_1^{n-2} x_2^2 + \dots$$

is transformed by the substitution

(13) 
$$\begin{cases} x_1 = \xi_1 y_1 + \eta_1 y_2 \\ x_1 = \xi_2 y_1 + \eta_2 y_2 \end{cases}$$

into the form

$$f = A_0 y_1^n + {\binom{n}{1}} A_1 y_1^{n-1} y_2 + {\binom{n}{2}} A_2 y_1^{n-2} y_2^2 + \dots$$

The determinant of the substitution is

$$\Delta = \left| \begin{array}{cc} \xi_1 & \eta_1 \\ \xi_2 & \eta_2 \end{array} \right|$$

and the coefficients A are the polars of f with respect to the variables  $\xi, \eta$ .

## II. – Series Expansion

Each homogeneous function F(x, y) of two series of cogradient variables

$$x_1, x_2$$
 and  $y_1, y_2$ 

can be expanded as a series whose terms are power products of

$$(xy)= egin{array}{ccc} x_1 & y_1 \ x_2 & y_2 \ \end{array}$$

and polars of elementary covariants of F. In the symbolic setting, if x and y have the same degree in F,

$$F(x,y) = r_x^m t_y^m,$$

we have the series

(14) 
$$F = \sum_{k} \frac{\binom{m}{k}^{2}}{\binom{2m-k+1}{k}} (\overline{r,s})_{y^{k}}^{m-k} (xy)^{k}.$$

#### III. - Elementary Systems of Invariants

The invariants i of f are homogeneous integral functions  $\phi$  with coefficients

$$a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n.$$

The coefficients of  $\phi$  satisfy the relations

$$\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \ldots,$$

which are derived from partial differential equations of invariants. Suppose the elementary system L of  $\phi$  is formed from the invariants

$$(L) I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_h.$$

By Hilbert's theorem, each invariant i has the form

(15) 
$$i = c_1(a)I_1 + c_2(a)I_2 + \ldots + c_h(a)I_h.$$

The functions c(a) are functions of a which make the expression homogeneous.

# IV. – Transformation of the Formula (15)

The invariants i of f are obtained by the substitution (13) of powers of  $\Delta$  into factors. If the invariants

$$i, I_1, I_2, I_3, \ldots, I_k$$

have weights

$$\nu, \nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \ldots, \nu_h;$$

they obtain the factors

$$\Delta^{\nu}, \Delta^{\nu_1}, \Delta^{\nu_2}, \Delta^{\nu_3}, \ldots, \Delta^{\nu_h}$$

Formula (15) is transformed by the substitution (13) into

(16)  $\Delta^{\nu} i = c_1(A) \Delta^{\nu_1} I_1 + \ldots + c_h(A) \Delta^{\nu_h} I_h.$ 

## V. – Simplification of the Formula (16)

The  $c_g(A)$  are functions of polars A and they are covariants of f. In the symbolic setting,

(17) 
$$\begin{cases} c_g(A) = r_{g,\xi}^{\nu-\nu_g} s_{g,\eta}^{\nu-\nu_g}, \\ \frac{1}{\nu - \nu_g} (\overline{r_g, s_g})^{\nu-\nu_g} = B_g, \end{cases}$$

formula (16) becomes

(18)

$$\Delta^{\nu} i = r_{1,\xi}^{\nu-\nu_1} s_{1,\eta}^{\nu-\nu_1} \Delta^{\nu_1} I_1 + \ldots + r_{h,\xi}^{\nu-\nu_h} s_{h,\eta}^{\nu-\nu_h} \Delta^{\nu_h} I_h$$

By substituting their series (14) in place of

$$r_{g,\xi}^{\nu-\nu_g}s_{g,\eta}^{\nu-\nu_g},$$

we obtain an identical equation in  $\Delta$  of degree  $\nu$ . By comparing coefficients of  $\Delta^{\nu}$ , we obtain

(19) 
$$\Delta^{\nu} = B_1 i_1 + B_2 i_2 + \ldots + B_h i_h$$

## VI. – The Invariants *i* are Integral Functions of *I*

*Proof.* By arranging the invariants i according to their degrees, invariants of lower degree precede and invariants of higher degree succeed.

By wanting to represent i as an integral function of the I, the invariants  $B_g$  are already expressed

$$B_g = F_g(I)$$

If we substitute their values into (18), we obtain

$$i = F_1(I)I_1 + F_2(I)I_2 + \ldots + F_h(I)I_h = F(I).$$