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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to give a complete effective solution to the problem of computing radicals of polynomial ideals over general fields of arbitrary characteristic. We prove that Seidenberg's "Condition P" is both a necessary and sufficient property of the coefficient field in order to be able to perform this computation. Since Condition P is an expensive additional requirement on the ground field, we use derivations and ideal quotients to recover as much of the radical as possible. If we have a basis for the vector space of derivations on our ground field, then the problem of computing radicals can be reduced to computing $p$ th roots of elements in finite dimensional algebras.
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## Introduction

The problem of finding efficient algorithms for computing the radical of ideals in polynomial rings over fields has been investigated by many researchers (Gianni et al., 1988; Alonso et al., 1991; Krick and Logar, 1991; Vasconcelos, 1991; Eisenbud et al., 1992; Caboara et al., 1997; Matsumoto, 2001). Most of these results make the simplifying assumption that the characteristic of the ground field is either zero or sufficiently large. The papers by Krick and Logar (1991) and Matsumoto (2001) provide solutions which are valid for any positive characteristic but assume a ground field which is finitely generated over a perfect field. They are based on Gröbner basis computations, that require additional variables and relations. Although their condition on the coefficient field is satisfied in the most common situations, we want to explore what is the most general context in which one can compute radicals. Moreover, our construction is based on computing ideal quotients of zero-dimensional ideals, which can be performed using linear algebra (Lakshman, 1990) without the introduction of any additional variables. Also Caboara et al. (1997) gives an algorithm which is valid for ideals over any perfect field; their approach is based on computing projections to hypersurfaces and performing square-free decompositions. In some cases they may require a large number of projections in order to compute the radical.

The purpose of this paper is to give a complete effective solution to the problem with no assumptions about the characteristic. We assume that the coefficient fields are computable, in the sense that we are given effective algorithms to perform rational operations and to decide whether or not a given element is zero. In the case of fields of characteristic zero, these assumptions are sufficient to be able to compute the radical of
polynomial ideals. However, for fields of positive characteristic, additional complications arise due to inseparability problems. In general one is no longer able to compute radicals without making additional assumptions about the ground field. One such assumption due to Seidenberg is that we can solve systems of homogeneous linear equations for solutions which are $p$ th powers. Seidenberg (1974) shows that this assumption, which he calls "Condition P", is both necessary and sufficient to be able to compute radicals of primary ideals. We show in this paper that this is precisely the additional information we need to compute radicals under all circumstances.
Mines et al. (1988) have shown that a field $K$ satisfies "Condition P" if and only if any finite dimensional $K$-algebra has a computable radical. Along with the reduction to zero-dimensional construction in this paper, this would give another demonstration that "Condition P" is necessary and sufficient to compute radicals of polynomial ideals. Their algorithm essentially involves repeated direct use of Condition P to construct elements in the radical. They are only interested in showing that the radical is constructible, not necessarily giving efficient algorithms. Since in general applying Condition P can be very expensive computationally, we try to perform as much of the radical computation as possible without using Condition P. Only in the final stage, if there remain inseparability problems, we may need to make use of Condition P.
We show that, over any computable field, we can reduce the radical computation to that of zero-dimensional ideals. We compute the radical of zero-dimensional ideals by an induction on the number of variables. Our inductive hypothesis is that we have an ideal whose contraction to the polynomial ring in one fewer variable is radical, and we show how to use this to compute the radical of the entire ideal. Along the way we are able to perform a partial radical computation which presents the ideal as an intersection of radical ideals evaluated in $p$ th powers. This can be done over any computable field, but to finish the process we need to use Seidenberg's additional assumption.
Since Condition P is an expensive additional requirement on the ground field, we use derivations and ideal quotients to recover as much of the radical as possible. If we have a basis for the vector space of derivations on our ground field, then the problem of computing radicals can be reduced to computing $p$ th roots of elements in finite dimensional algebras.
Throughout the paper $K$ will denote a computable field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. In every section except for the last two we will be dealing only with zero-dimensional ideals. Sections 1 and 2 are independent of the rest of the paper and give some constructions which can be used to provide shortcuts for computing the radical. Section 1 investigates projections, while Section 2 shows which portion of the radical can be computed using the Jacobian criterion. Section 3 begins a general investigation of the use of derivatives in computing the radical; this is used in Section 4 to give a complete algorithm for the radical of zero-dimensional ideals over general fields satisfying Seidenberg's Condition P. In Section 5 we show that for finitely generated fields over perfect fields, multiple derivations can be used to replace Condition P with the ability of computing $p$ th roots. In Section 6 we will show how to reduce the general problem to the zero-dimensional case and in the final section we conclude with some illustrative examples.

## 1. Radicals Using Projections

In this section we show that the radical of an ideal can be reconstructed from the radicals of two suitable projections provided that at least one of them is separable.

Definition 1.1. Let $K$ be a field. A $K$-algebra $A$ is called separable over $K$ if, for any finite extension $K^{\prime}$ of $K, A \otimes_{K} K^{\prime}$ is reduced (i.e. it contains no nilpotent elements). An ideal $I \subseteq K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is called separable if the algebra $A=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] / I$ is separable over $K$.

Observe that an ideal $I \subseteq K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is separable if and only if $I \otimes_{K} K^{\prime}$ is radical for any finite extension $K^{\prime}$ of $K$.

Lemma 1.2. Let $I, J$ be zero-dimensional ideals in a ring. If $J \subseteq I$, then $\sqrt{I}=I+\sqrt{J}$.
Proof. If $\sqrt{I}=\bigcap M_{i}$ is the primary decomposition of $\sqrt{I}$, any $M_{i}$ will be one of the associated primes of $J$, so that $\sqrt{J}=\sqrt{I} \cap H$ for a suitable ideal $H$ such that $I \nsubseteq H$, which implies the thesis.

Proposition 1.3. Let $I_{1}$ be a zero-dimensional ideal in $R_{1}=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right]$ and $I_{2}$ a zero-dimensional ideal in $R_{2}=K\left[x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. If $\sqrt{I_{1}}$ is separable, then

$$
\sqrt{I_{1}+I_{2}}=\sqrt{I_{1}}+\sqrt{I_{2}} .
$$

Proof. Observe that $I_{1}+I_{2}$ is zero-dimensional in $K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Since $\sqrt{I_{1}}+\sqrt{I_{2}} \subseteq$ $\sqrt{I_{1}+I_{2}}$, by Lemma 1.2 it is sufficient to prove that $H=\sqrt{I_{1}}+\sqrt{I_{2}}$ is radical.

If we set $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, let us show that $K[X] / H$ is reduced.
If we denote $J_{1}=\sqrt{I_{1}}$ and $J_{2}=\sqrt{I_{2}}$, we have that

$$
K[X] / H \simeq\left(R_{1} / J_{1}\right) \otimes_{K}\left(R_{2} / J_{2}\right)
$$

Since $J_{2}$ is radical, $R_{2} / J_{2}$ is isomorphic to $\oplus_{i} K_{i}$, with $K_{i}$ finite extensions of $K$, so that (cf. Lang, 1984, Proposition 3, Chapter XVI, Section 2)

$$
K[X] / H \simeq\left(R_{1} / J_{1}\right) \otimes_{K}\left(\oplus_{i} K_{i}\right) \simeq \oplus_{i}\left(\left(R_{1} / J_{1}\right) \otimes_{K} K_{i}\right)
$$

By hypothesis $R_{1} / J_{1}$ is separable, hence $\left(R_{1} / J_{1}\right) \otimes_{K} K_{i}$ is reduced for all $i$ and therefore also their direct sum. So $K[X] / H$ is reduced and then $H$ is radical.

REMARK 1.4. If an ideal $I \subseteq K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is zero-dimensional, let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ be univariate polynomials such that $\left(f_{i}\right)=K\left[x_{i}\right] \cap I$ and consider the polynomials $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$ such that $\left(g_{i}\right)=\sqrt{\left(f_{i}\right)}$ (in other words, $g_{i}$ is the square-free part of $f_{i}$ ). Using Lemma 1.2 and Proposition 1.3 we see that, if at most one of the $g_{i}$ 's is not separable, then

$$
\sqrt{I}=I+\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)
$$

This remark shows that, with the hypothesis of separability, it is possible to extend Lemma 2.4 of Krick and Logar (1991) to fields of positive characteristic. This has also been observed by Kemper (2000, Proposition 5) but our remark is somewhat stronger, because we only require that all but one of the polynomials are separable.

## 2. Jacobian Criterion in Arbitrary Characteristic

The result of Lemma 1.2 can be used to reduce the problem of computing the radical of a zero-dimensional ideal to the case of complete intersections. If $I$ is a zero-dimensional ideal in $K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and $G$ denotes a Gröbner basis for $I$ with respect to the lexicographical order with $x_{1}>\cdots>x_{n}$, then for each $i=1, \ldots, n$ there exists a $g_{i} \in G$ such
that the leading term $l t\left(g_{i}\right)=x_{i}{ }^{m_{i}}$. If we denote $\widetilde{I}=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$, by Lemma 1.2 we have that $\sqrt{I}=I+\sqrt{\widetilde{I}}$, so that we need only to compute the radical of $\widetilde{I}$.

The following proposition shows that much of the radical of a zero-dimensional ideal can be recovered with a single ideal quotient. If the characteristic is 0 or sufficiently large we obtain the entire radical in one step.

Proposition 2.1. Let $I$ be a zero-dimensional ideal in $K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and $I=\bigcap_{i} Q_{i}$ its primary decomposition with $P_{i}=\sqrt{Q}_{i}$. Assume that the reduced Gröbner basis $G$ for $I$ with respect to the lexicographical order with $x_{1}>\cdots>x_{n}$ is formed by exactly $n$ polynomials, say $G=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ with $f_{i} \in K\left[x_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Let $F=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}$. Then
(1) $(I: F)=\bigcap_{F \notin Q_{i}} P_{i}$
(2) if $F \notin Q_{i}$, then $P_{i}$ is separable
(3) if $\operatorname{char}(K)=0$ or $\operatorname{char}(K)>\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{x_{i}} f_{i}\right\}$, then $\sqrt{I}=(I: F)$.

Proof. (1) Since $(I: F)=\bigcap_{F \notin Q_{i}}\left(Q_{i}: F\right)$, we have only to prove that, for all $i$ such that $F \notin Q_{i}$, then $\left(Q_{i}: F\right)=P_{i}$. Denote by $Q$ one of the $Q_{i}$ 's such that $F \notin Q_{i}$ and $P=\sqrt{Q}$ and let us prove that $(Q: F)=P$.

Consider the reduced Gröbner basis $G_{Q}$ for $Q$ with respect to the lexicographical order with $x_{1}>\cdots>x_{n}$ and denote by $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}$ polynomials in $G_{Q}$ such that $l t\left(q_{i}\right)=x_{i}{ }^{m_{i}}$.

Let $\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right\}$ be the reduced Gröbner basis for $P=\sqrt{Q}$. By Gianni et al. (1988, Proposition 5.8), for each $i=1, \ldots, n$ there exists an integer $s_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i}=h_{i}^{s_{i}}+\sum_{k=i+1}^{n} d_{i k} h_{k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $d_{i k}, h_{i} \in K\left[x_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. In particular $q_{n}=h_{n}{ }^{s_{n}}$.
In order to prove that $(Q: F)=P$, it is sufficient to prove that $h_{i} \in(Q: F)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. If we denote $F_{j}=\prod_{k=j}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{k}}{\partial x_{k}}$ (so that $F=F_{1}$ ), we will get the thesis proving by induction that $h_{i} F_{i} \in Q \forall i=1, \ldots, n$.

For all $i, f_{i} \in Q \cap K\left[x_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and it is monic in $x_{i}$, so it must reduce to 0 modulo $G_{Q} \cap K\left[x_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}=u_{i} h_{i}^{s_{i}}+\sum_{k=i+1}^{n} c_{i k} h_{k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u_{i}, c_{i k} \in K\left[x_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$.
We immediately see that $h_{n} F_{n} \in Q$ because, using that $f_{n}=u_{n} h_{n}{ }^{s_{n}}$, we have

$$
h_{n} F_{n}=h_{n} \frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial x_{n}}=h_{n} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{n}} h_{n}^{s_{n}}+s_{n} h_{n} u_{n} \frac{\partial h_{n}}{\partial x_{n}} h_{n}^{s_{n}-1}=h_{n}^{s_{n}}\left(h_{n} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{n}}+s_{n} u_{n} \frac{\partial h_{n}}{\partial x_{n}}\right) .
$$

Assume now that $h_{j} F_{j} \in Q \forall j=i+1, \ldots, n$ (and hence that $h_{j} F_{m} \in Q \forall m \leq j$ ) and let us prove that $h_{i} F_{i} \in Q$.

Observe that $h_{i}^{{ }^{s}{ }_{i}} F_{i+1} \in Q$ because, using (1), we can write

$$
h_{i}^{s_{i}} F_{i+1}=\left(q_{i}-\sum_{k=i+1}^{n} d_{i k} h_{k}\right) F_{i+1}
$$

which belongs to $Q$ because each $h_{k} F_{i+1}$ in the sum belongs to $Q$, since $i+1 \leq k$.

Differentiating the relation (2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}=h_{i}^{s_{i}-1}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} h_{i}+s_{i} u_{i} \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)+\sum_{k=i+1}^{n} \frac{\partial c_{i k}}{\partial x_{i}} h_{k} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
h_{i} F_{i}=h_{i} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} F_{i+1}=h_{i}^{s_{i}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} h_{i}+s_{i} u_{i} \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) F_{i+1}+h_{i} \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} \frac{\partial c_{i k}}{\partial x_{i}} h_{k} F_{i+1}
$$

The second summand in the right-hand sum belongs to $Q$ because $h_{k} F_{i+1} \in Q$ whenever $i+1 \leq k$. Having already seen that $h_{i}^{s_{i}} F_{i+1} \in Q$, we get that $h_{i} F_{i} \in Q$.
(2) We want now to prove that, if $F \notin Q$, then $P$ is separable, or equivalently $\frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \notin P$ for all $i$.
Assume, for contradiction, there exists an index $i$ such that $\frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \in P$. Since the set $\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right\}$ is the reduced Gröbner basis for $P$ and $\frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}$ cannot be reduced by any of the $h_{i}$ 's, we have that $\frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \in P$ implies that $\frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}=0$.

Using (3),

$$
F_{i}=\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} F_{i+1}=\left(h_{i}^{s_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}+\sum_{k=i+1}^{n} \frac{\partial c_{i k}}{\partial x_{i}} h_{k}\right) F_{i+1}
$$

and therefore, as above, $F_{i} \in Q$; then also $F \in Q$, which is a contradiction.
(3) When $\operatorname{char}(K)=0$ or $\operatorname{char}(K)>\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{x_{i}} f_{i}\right\}, P$ is separable as follows from the beginning of part (2). Thus we need to show that, since $P$ is separable, then $F \notin Q$. This will be proved by induction on the number $n$ of variables.

If $n=1$, then $I=\left(f_{n}\right), Q=\left(q_{n}\right), P=\left(h_{n}\right)$ with $q_{n}=h_{n}^{s_{n}}, f_{n}=u_{n}{h_{n}}^{s_{n}}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(u_{n}, q_{n}\right)=1$. Since $P$ is separable, $\frac{\partial h_{n}}{\partial x_{n}} \notin P$. Differentiating the relation $f_{n}=u_{n} h_{n}{ }^{s_{n}}$, we get

$$
F_{n}=\frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial x_{n}}=\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{n}} h_{n}^{s_{n}}+s_{n} u_{n} \frac{\partial h_{n}}{\partial x_{n}} h_{n}^{s_{n}-1}=h_{n}^{s_{n}-1}\left(h_{n} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{n}}+s_{n} u_{n} \frac{\partial h_{n}}{\partial x_{n}}\right) .
$$

Assume, for contradiction, that $F_{n} \in Q$; then, since $h_{n}{ }^{s_{n}-1} \notin Q$, we have that $h_{n} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{n}}+$ $s_{n} u_{n} \frac{\partial h_{n}}{\partial x_{n}} \in P$ and hence $s_{n} u_{n} \frac{\partial h_{n}}{\partial x_{n}} \in P$. Because of the hypothesis on the characteristic and since $u_{n} \notin P$, this would imply that $\frac{\partial h_{n}}{\partial x_{n}} \in P$, which contradicts the separability of $P$.

Let us now prove the inductive step. Denote $P_{2}=\left(h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$; since $P$ is separable, $\frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \notin P$ for all $i$ and therefore $P_{2}$ is separable too. By the inductive hypothesis $F_{2}=$ $\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} \cdots \frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial x_{n}} \notin Q_{2}$ and hence $F_{2} \notin Q$. Observe that

$$
F=\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} F_{2}=\left(h_{1}^{s_{1}-1}\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} h_{1}+s_{1} u_{1} \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{\partial c_{1 k}}{\partial x_{1}} h_{k}\right) F_{2} .
$$

Assume, for contradiction, that $F \in Q$. As already said above, $\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{\partial c_{1 k}}{\partial x_{1}} h_{k}\right) F_{2} \in Q$.
Moreover $h_{1}{ }^{s_{1}-1} F_{2} \notin Q$, because $F_{2} \in K\left[x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and $F_{2} \notin Q$. Thus we get that $\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} h_{1}+s_{1} u_{1} \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \in P$ and hence $s_{1} u_{1} \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \in P$. Since $s_{1} \neq 0$ and $u_{1} \notin P$, we would get that $\frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \in P$ which is impossible.

The previous proposition is a special case, with an elementary proof, of the Jacobian criterion in Eisenbud et al. (1992, Theorem 2.1). In that theorem the hypothesis that the characteristic is sufficiently large is included, whereas, using our proof, we show that $(I: F)$ gives a portion of the radical even when the characteristic is very small.

## 3. Derivations

This section shows how general derivations can be used to recover portions of the radical of an ideal.

Recall that a derivation on a ring $R$ is a map $D: R \rightarrow R$ such that, for all $x, y \in R$,

$$
D(x+y)=D(x)+D(y) \quad \text { and } \quad D(x y)=D(x) y+x D(y)
$$

If $J$ is an ideal in $R$ and a derivation $D$ is such that $D(J) \subseteq J$, then $D$ induces a derivation on $R / J$.

In the case when $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $R=K[X]$, the next proposition shows that any derivation on $K[X] / I$ comes from a derivation on $K[X]$ :

Lemma 3.1. Let $I$ be an ideal in $K[X]$ and let $D$ be a derivation on $K[X] / I$. Then there exists a derivation $D^{*}$ of $K[X]$ such that $D^{*}(I) \subseteq I$ and which induces $D$ on $K[X] / I$.

Proof. The derivation $D$ is determined by $D_{\mid K}$ and by $D\left(\left[x_{i}\right]\right)=a_{i} \in K[X] / I$ for all $i$. If we choose $b_{i} \in K[X]$ such that $\left[b_{i}\right]=a_{i}$, then it is enough to define $D^{*}$ by setting $D^{*}{ }_{\mid K}=D_{\mid K}$ and $D^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=b_{i}$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $D$ be a derivation on a ring $R$ and assume that $J$ is an ideal of $R$ such that $J=\bigcap_{i} M_{i}$, where $M_{i}$ are pairwise coprime maximal ideals. Then $D(J) \subseteq J$ if and only if $D\left(M_{i}\right) \subseteq M_{i}$ for all $i$.

Proof. One implication being trivial, assume that $D(J) \subseteq J$. For each $i$, there exists $m_{i} \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} M_{j}$ and $m_{i} \notin M_{i}$. Then for every $x \in M_{i}$ we have that $D\left(x m_{i}\right)=D(x) m_{i}+$ $x D\left(m_{i}\right) \in J \subseteq M_{i}$; so $D(x) m_{i} \in M_{i}$ and hence $D(x) \in M_{i}$.

In the situation of Lemma 3.2, for each $i$ the derivation $D$ induces a derivation on the field $R / M_{i}$ which, by abuse of language, we will also denote by $D$.

The derivations of a field $K$ form a $K$-vector space $D e r_{K}$. Any derivation $D$ of a field $K$ has a natural extension to $K[x]$ obtained by applying $D$ to all coefficients of $f \in K[x]$, i.e. if $f(x)=\sum_{i} a_{i} x^{i}$ then $D(f)(x)=\sum_{i} D\left(a_{i}\right) x^{i}$.

Definition 3.3. Let $K$ be a field and $D$ a derivation of $K[x]$. We will say that $D$ is proper if, for every monic irreducible $f \in K[x]$ such that $D(f) \neq 0$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}(f, D(f))=1$.

For example, the map $f \rightarrow f^{\prime}$ from $K[x] \rightarrow K[x]$, where ' denotes the ordinary derivation with respect to $x$, is a proper derivation. In addition, any derivation on $K$ lifts to a proper derivation on $K[x]$.

Definition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}\right\}$ be a set of generators for a zero-dimensional ideal $I \subseteq R[x]$ and let $D$ be a derivation on $R[x]$. We will denote by $D(\mathcal{B})$ the ideal of $R[x]$ generated by the polynomials $D\left(g_{1}\right), \ldots, D\left(g_{m}\right)$.

We will denote by $\left(I: L^{\infty}\right)$ the stable limit of $\left(I: L^{n}\right)$, i.e. the saturation of $I$ by an ideal $L$.
Although in general the ideal $D(\mathcal{B})$ may depend on the chosen set of generators for $I$, for the quotient we have:

Proposition 3.5. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}\right\}$ be a set of generators for a zero-dimensional ideal $I \subseteq R[x]$. If $J=I \cap R$ is radical and $D$ is a proper derivation on $R[x]$ such that $D(J) \subseteq J$, then
(a) the ideal $(I: D(\mathcal{B}))$ does not depend on the choice of $\mathcal{B}$, hence we can denote it simply by $(I: D(I))$
(b) the ideal $L=(I: D(I))$ is radical
(c) if $H=\left(I: L^{\infty}\right)$, then $(H: D(H))=(1)$.

Proof. Let $J=\bigcap_{i} M_{i}$ be the decomposition of $J$ as intersection of pairwise coprime maximal ideals in $R$. By Lemma 3.2 we have $D\left(M_{i}\right) \subseteq M_{i}$ for all $i$.

For any $i$ denote by $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ a set of generators for $\left(I, M_{i}\right)$ obtained as $\mathcal{B}_{i}=\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{S}_{i}$ where $\mathcal{S}_{i} \subset M_{i}$. Then

$$
(I: D(\mathcal{B}))=\bigcap_{i}\left(\left(I, M_{i}\right): D\left(\mathcal{B}_{i}\right)\right) .
$$

So it is sufficient to prove the result for each ideal $\left(I, M_{i}\right)$, whose intersection with $R$ is maximal; the thesis will follow directly from the fact that being radical is a local condition.

Therefore, with no loss of generality, we can assume that $J$ is maximal.
(a) Observe that there exists $f \in R[x]$ such that $I=(f, J)$. So we have

$$
f=\sum a_{i} g_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad g_{i} \equiv c_{i} f(\bmod J)
$$

hence
and

$$
D(f)=\sum D\left(a_{i}\right) g_{i}+\sum a_{i} D\left(g_{i}\right) \equiv \sum a_{i} D\left(g_{i}\right)(\bmod I)
$$

This proves that $D(\mathcal{B}) \equiv(D(f))(\bmod I)$, which easily implies that $(I: D(\mathcal{B})) \equiv$ $(f: D(f))(\bmod J)$, and (a) follows.
(b) If $f=\prod_{j \in A} q_{j}(x)^{s_{j}}$ is the irreducible factorization of $f$ in $(R / J)[x]$, then $(f: D(f))=\left(\frac{f}{\operatorname{gcd}(f, D(f))}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gcd}(f, D(f))=\prod_{j \in A_{1}} q_{j}(x)^{s_{j}-1} \prod_{j \in A_{2}} q_{j}(x)^{s_{j}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}=\left\{j \in A \mid s_{j} D\left(q_{j}\right) \neq 0\right\}$ and $A_{2}=\left\{j \in A \mid s_{j} D\left(q_{j}\right)=0\right\}$.
Thus, modulo $J$, the ideal $(f: D(f)) \equiv\left(\prod_{j \in A_{1}} q_{j}(x)\right)$ is radical; hence the ideal $L=(I: D(I))=((f, J): D(f))$ is radical.
(c) From (4) it follows that $H=\left(I: L^{\infty}\right)=\left(\prod_{j \in A_{2}} q_{j}(x)^{s_{j}}, J\right)$. By definition of $A_{2}$, we get that $D\left(\prod_{j \in A_{2}} q_{j}(x)^{s_{j}}\right) \in J$ and hence, using (a), $(H: D(H))=(1)$.

From the proof of point (c) in the previous proposition we have the following

Corollary 3.6. With the notations of Proposition 3.5, let $J=\bigcap_{i} M_{i}$ be the decomposition of $J$ as intersection of pairwise coprime maximal ideals in $R$. If $H=\bigcap_{i}\left(h_{i}, M_{i}\right)$, then $D\left(h_{i}\right) \in M_{i}$ for all $i$.

Proposition 3.7. With the notations of Proposition 3.5, let $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r}\right\}$ be a set of proper derivations on $R[x]$ such that $D_{i}(J) \subseteq J$ for all $i=1, \ldots, r$. Then

1. the ideal $L=\left(I:\left(D_{1}(I), \ldots, D_{r}(I)\right)\right)$ is radical
2. if $H=\left(I: L^{\infty}\right)$, then $\left(H: D_{j}(H)\right)=(1)$ for all $j$
3. if $H=\bigcap\left(h_{i}, M_{i}\right)$, then $D_{j}\left(h_{i}\right) \in M_{i} \forall i, j$.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 3.5 we can reduce to the case when $J$ is maximal in $R$ and $I=(f, J)$. Let $f=\prod_{j \in A} q_{j}(x)^{s_{j}}$ be the irreducible factorization of $f$ in $(R / J)[x]$. If

$$
A_{1}=\left\{j \in A \mid \exists k \text { such that } s_{j} D_{k}\left(q_{j}\right) \neq 0\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad A_{2}=\left\{j \in A \mid \forall k s_{j} D_{k}\left(q_{j}\right)=0\right\}
$$

then $L \equiv\left(f:\left(D_{1}(f), \ldots, D_{r}(f)\right)\right) \equiv\left(\prod_{j \in A_{1}} q_{j}\right)(\bmod J)$.
Hence $\left(I: L^{\infty}\right) \equiv\left(\prod_{j \in A_{2}} q_{j}^{s_{j}}\right)(\bmod J)$.
In particular $D_{i}\left(\prod_{j \in A_{2}} q_{j}^{s_{j}}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod J)$ for all $i$.

Corollary 3.8. With the notations of Proposition 3.7, it is possible to compute monic polynomials $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s}$ and radical ideals $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{s} \subseteq R$ such that $H=\bigcap\left(g_{i}, J_{i}\right)$; moreover $D_{j}\left(g_{i}\right) \in J_{i} \forall i, j$.

Proof. From Proposition 3.7 we know that, if $H=\bigcap\left(h_{i}, M_{i}\right)$, then $D_{j}\left(h_{i}\right) \in M_{i} \forall i, j$.
Compute a Gröbner basis for $H$, say $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{t}\right\}$ with $p_{i} \in R[x], \quad q_{j} \in R$, and consider the ideal $G_{1}=\left(H, l c\left(p_{2}\right), \ldots, l c\left(p_{n}\right)\right)$. Then (see, for instance, Gianni, 1989) a Gröbner basis for $G_{1}$ is of the form $\left(g_{1}, S_{1}\right)$ where $S_{1}$ is a set of polynomials generating an ideal $J_{1} \subseteq R$ such that $J_{1}=\bigcap_{i \in B} M_{i}$ where $B=\left\{i \mid \operatorname{deg} h_{i}=\operatorname{deg} p_{1}\right\}$ and $g_{1} \equiv$ $h_{i}\left(\bmod M_{i}\right) \forall i \in B$. Hence $D_{j}\left(g_{1}\right) \in M_{i} \forall i, j$.
Write $H=G_{1} \cap\left(H: G_{1}\right)$; by construction the ideal $H_{1}=\left(H: G_{1}\right)$ is such that $\left(H_{1}: D\left(H_{1}\right)\right)=(1)$; so we can iterate the previous construction.

## 4. Radicals Over General Fields With Condition P

In this section we present a procedure to compute the radical of a zero-dimensional ideal $I$ in $K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ where $K$ is an arbitrary computable field of characteristic $p$.
Denote by $G$ a Gröbner basis for $I$ with respect to the lex order with $x_{1}>x_{2}>\cdots>$ $x_{n}$. For any $m=1, \ldots, n$ consider the $m$ th elimination ideal $I_{m}=I \cap K\left[x_{m}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$.

Our strategy to compute the radical of $I$ is to start from the last elimination ideal, $I_{n}$, and add the next elimination ideal to the radical computed at the previous stage. Thus we are always working with an ideal whose contraction to the polynomial ring in one fewer variable is radical.
Under this hypothesis we will employ the following simplified notation. We will denote $R=K\left[x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and $x=x_{1}$, so that $I \subseteq R[x]$. Moreover we will assume that $J=I \cap R$ is a radical ideal. We will denote with "' " the derivation with respect to the variable $x$ on $R[x]$ and with $I^{\prime}$ the ideal generated by the derivatives of generators of $I$.

If $J=\bigcap_{i} M_{i}$ is the decomposition of $J$ as intersection of pairwise coprime maximal ideals in $R$, then we have $\sqrt{I}=\bigcap_{i} \sqrt{\left(I, M_{i}\right)}$ and $I$ is radical if and only if each ideal ( $I, M_{i}$ ) is radical.

Since, for any $i, R / M_{i}$ is a field, the ideals $\left(I, M_{i}\right) / M_{i}$ are principal in $\left(R / M_{i}\right)[x]$; moreover, as is well known, $\left(I, M_{i}\right)$ is radical if and only if the principal generator in $\left(R / M_{i}\right)[x]$ is square-free.

Definition 4.1. Let I be a zero-dimensional ideal in $R[x]$ with $I \cap R=\bigcap_{i} M_{i}$ where $M_{i}$ are maximal ideals in $R$. We will say that $I$ is relatively separable over $R$ if $R[x] /\left(I, M_{i}\right)$ is a separable algebra over $R / M_{i}$ for all $i$.

We remark that a relatively separable ideal is also a radical ideal.
Proposition 4.2. Let $I$ be a zero-dimensional ideal in $R[x]$ with $R=K\left[x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and char $(K)=p$. Denote by $d$ the maximum of the degrees (with respect to $x$ ) of the polynomials in some set of generators for $I$. Assume that $J=I \cap R$ is radical and consider the ideals $L=\left(I: I^{\prime}\right)$ and $Q=\left(I: L^{\infty}\right)$.

1 If $p=0$ or $p>d$, then $\sqrt{I}=L$.
2 If $0<p \leq d$, then $\sqrt{I}=L \cap \sqrt{Q}$ and the reduced Gröbner basis of $Q$ is contained in $R\left[x^{p}\right]$.

Proof. (1) Using the arguments and notations of the proof of Proposition 3.5, since in our present hypothesis $\operatorname{locally}^{\operatorname{deg}} \operatorname{deg}_{x} f \leq d$, then $f$ has no inseparable factor; so $A_{2}=\emptyset$ and $A_{1}=A$.
(2) The fact that $\sqrt{I}=L \cap \sqrt{Q}$ follows easily from the proof of Proposition 3.5, since locally at each $M_{i}$ we have

$$
Q \equiv\left(f_{i}:\left(f_{i}: f_{i}\right)^{\infty}\right) \equiv\left(\prod_{A_{i 2}} q_{i j}(x)^{s_{i j}}\right) \quad\left(\bmod M_{i}\right)
$$

So, if we set $h_{i}=\prod_{A_{i 2}} q_{i j}(x)^{s_{i j}}$, we have that $h_{i}{ }^{\prime}(x)=0$ and hence $h_{i} \in R\left[x^{p}\right]$. Via the Chinese Remainder Theorem there exists $h \in R\left[x^{p}\right]$ such that $h \equiv h_{i}\left(\bmod M_{i}\right)$ and therefore $(h, J)=Q$. So the reduced Gröbner basis of $Q$ is contained in $R\left[x^{p}\right]$.

This is essentially a special case of Proposition 3.5 which shows that $Q$ can be generated by polynomials in $x^{p}$; therefore this is true for a Gröbner basis of $Q$ under any ordering.

Corollary 4.3. Let $I$ be a zero-dimensional ideal in $R[x]$ such that $I \cap R$ is radical. If $I=\left(I: I^{\prime}\right)$, then $I=\sqrt{I}$ with no restrictions on the characteristic.

From now on we will restrict to the case when $0<p \leq d$ and show how to complete the process by giving an algorithmic procedure for computing the radical of $Q$, the inseparable part of $I$.

Definition 4.4. Let $H$ be the ideal of $R[x]$ generated by the polynomials $\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{t}\right\}$. We will denote by $H\left(x^{p^{k}}\right)$ the ideal generated by the polynomials $h_{1}\left(x^{p^{k}}\right), \ldots, h_{t}\left(x^{p^{k}}\right)$ obtained by evaluating the generators of $H$ in $x^{p^{k}}$.

Proposition 4.5. Let $I$ be a zero-dimensional ideal in $R[x]$ and assume that $J=I \cap R$ is radical. Then it is possible to compute a finite number of zero-dimensional, relatively separable ideals $L_{i}$ in $R[x]$ such that

$$
\sqrt{I}=\bigcap \sqrt{L_{j}\left(x^{p^{j}}\right)} .
$$

Proof. Consider the radical ideal $L_{0}=\left(I: I^{\prime}\right)$.
If $L_{0}=I$, then $I$ is radical and we are done. So assume that $L_{0} \neq I$ and consider $\widetilde{I_{0}}=\left(I: L_{0}{ }^{\infty}\right)$.
Recall that, by Proposition 4.2, $\sqrt{I}=L_{0} \cap \sqrt{\widetilde{I}_{0}}$ and that the polynomials of the reduced Gröbner basis $G$ of $\widetilde{I}_{0}$ are contained in $R\left[x^{p}\right]$.

Of course we can suppose $\widetilde{I}_{0} \neq(1)$, because otherwise $\sqrt{I}=L_{0}$ and we are done.
If, using the basis $G$, we set $I_{1}=\widetilde{I}_{0}\left(x^{1 / p}\right)$, then $\sqrt{I}=L_{0} \cap \sqrt{I_{1}\left(x^{p}\right)}$.
Start again with the ideal $I_{1} \subseteq R[x]$, where the degrees in $x$ have been divided by $p$, and consider

$$
L_{1}=\left(I_{1}: I_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right), \quad \widetilde{I}_{1}=\left(I_{1}: L_{1}{ }^{\infty}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad I_{2}=\widetilde{I}_{1}\left(x^{1 / p}\right)
$$

So $\sqrt{I}_{1}=L_{1} \cap \sqrt{\widetilde{I}_{1}}=L_{1} \cap \sqrt{I_{2}\left(x^{p}\right)}$ and

$$
\sqrt{I}=L_{0} \cap \sqrt{L_{1}\left(x^{p}\right)} \cap \sqrt{I_{2}\left(x^{p^{2}}\right)} .
$$

After a finite number of steps, we find $I_{n}$ such that $\sqrt{I_{n}}=L_{n}$ with $L_{n}$ relatively separable. The ideals $L_{0}, \ldots, L_{n}$ satisfy the thesis.

The previous result holds for any field $K$, but it will represent an algorithm to compute the radical of $I$ only if we are able to compute the radical of $L\left(x^{p^{2}}\right)$ for any relatively separable ideal $L$ and for all $i$.

Before seeing how we can compute the radical of $L\left(x^{p}\right)$, let us recall some results from Gianni and Trager (1996) that we will use.

Lemma 4.6. (Gianni and Trager, 1996) Let $k$ be a field of characteristic $p$ and let $f(x) \in k[x]$ be separable. Then $f\left(x^{p}\right)$ decomposes as $f\left(x^{p}\right)=\left(f_{1}(x)\right)^{p} f_{2}\left(x^{p}\right)$, where $f_{1}(x)$ is separable, $f_{2}\left(x^{p}\right)$ is square-free, and $f_{1}(x)$ and $f_{2}\left(x^{p}\right)$ are coprime.

Lemma 4.7. (Gianni and Trager, 1996) Let $k$ be a field of characteristic $p$. If $f\left(x^{p}\right)$ $\in k[x]$ is a square-free polynomial, then $f\left(x^{p^{i}}\right)$ is also square-free for any integer $i \geq 1$.

Proposition 4.8. Let $L$ be a zero-dimensional, relatively separable ideal in $R[x]$ such that $L \cap R$ is radical. Let $q$ be a polynomial such that $q \notin L\left(x^{p}\right)$ but $q \in \sqrt{L\left(x^{p}\right)}$. If we set $N=\left(L\left(x^{p}\right), q\right)$, then

1. $S=\left(N: N^{\prime}\right)$ is relatively separable
2. $T=\left(N: S^{\infty}\right)$ is radical
3. $T\left(x^{p^{i}}\right)$ is radical for all $i$
4. $\sqrt{L\left(x^{p}\right)}=S \cap T$.

Proof. If $J=L \cap R=\bigcap_{i} M_{i}$ is the decomposition of $J$ as the intersection of maximal ideals in $R$, it is sufficient to prove that the thesis holds locally at each $M_{i}$, i.e. in $\left(R / M_{i}\right)[x]$. In other words we can assume that $L \subseteq k[x]$ with $k$ a field of characteristic $p$.
By hypothesis $L$ is generated by a separable polynomial $\varphi(x)$ and hence $L\left(x^{p}\right)$ is generated by $\varphi\left(x^{p}\right)$. By Lemma 4.6 we have that $\varphi\left(x^{p}\right)=h_{1}(x)^{p} h_{2}\left(x^{p}\right)$ with $h_{1}$ separable and $h_{2}\left(x^{p}\right)$ square-free.
Let $r$ be a positive integer such that $q^{r} \in L\left(x^{p}\right)=\left(\varphi\left(x^{p}\right)\right)$. Since $q \notin L\left(x^{p}\right)$, then $q(x)=c(x) h_{1}(x)^{j} h_{2}\left(x^{p}\right)^{m}$ with $j<p$ and for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $c(x)$ coprime with $\varphi\left(x^{p}\right)$.
Then $N=\left(L\left(x^{p}\right), q\right)$ is generated by $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\varphi\left(x^{p}\right), q\right)=h_{1}(x)^{j} h_{2}\left(x^{p}\right)$.
Consequently $S=\left(N: N^{\prime}\right)=\left(h_{1}(x)\right)$, so it is relatively separable (which was already assured by Proposition 3.5) and $T=\left(N: S^{\infty}\right)=\left(h_{2}\left(x^{p}\right)\right)$, so $T$ is radical since $h_{2}\left(x^{p}\right)$ is square-free.
Moreover, for all $i$, the ideal $T\left(x^{p^{i}}\right)$ is generated by $h_{2}\left(x^{p^{i+1}}\right)$ which is square-free by Lemma 4.7, so that it is radical.

Finally $\sqrt{L\left(x^{p}\right)}=\left(L\left(x^{p}\right), h_{1}(x) h_{2}\left(x^{p}\right)\right)=S \cap T$.
The previous result guarantees that, if we can compute $q$ such that $q \notin L\left(x^{p}\right)$ but $q \in \sqrt{L\left(x^{p}\right)}$, then we can compute algorithmically the radical of $I$.

Observe that

$$
\sqrt{L\left(x^{p^{2}}\right)}=\sqrt{S\left(x^{p}\right)} \cap \sqrt{T\left(x^{p}\right)}=\sqrt{S\left(x^{p}\right)} \cap T\left(x^{p}\right)
$$

where we used the fact that $T\left(x^{p}\right)$ is already radical. Now $S$ is separable, so we can compute $\sqrt{S\left(x^{p}\right)}$ using Proposition 4.8. Thus, applying iteratively Proposition 4.8, we are able to compute the radical of $L\left(x^{p^{i}}\right)$ for any integer $i$, and hence $\sqrt{I}$ (see Proposition 4.5), if we can compute a polynomial $q$ with the properties mentioned above.
As a matter of fact, it is enough to compute a $q$ such that $q \notin L\left(x^{p}\right)$ but $q^{p} \in L\left(x^{p}\right)$.
In fact, if $L\left(x^{p}\right)$ is not radical, there exists a polynomial $f$ such that $f \notin L\left(x^{p}\right)$ but $f^{m} \in L\left(x^{p}\right)$ for some positive integer $m$. If $m \leq p$, then also $f^{p} \in L\left(x^{p}\right)$ and $q=f$ has the requested property. If $m>p$ (and $m$ is the least positive integer such that $f \notin L\left(x^{p}\right)$ but $f^{m} \in L\left(x^{p}\right)$ ), let $s$ be the least positive integer multiple of $p$ and greater than $m$, say $s=p r$. Then $q=f^{r}$ is a polynomial such that $q^{p}=f^{r p}=f^{s} \in L\left(x^{p}\right)$ since $s>m$; moreover $q \notin L\left(x^{p}\right)$ because $r \leq m$.

It will be possible to compute such an element $q$ (and therefore to compute the radical of $L\left(x^{p}\right)$ ) if the field $K$ satisfies a condition introduced by Seidenberg, who called it Condition P (see Seidenberg, 1974).

Definition 4.9. Let K be a field of characteristic $p$. We say that K satisfies Condition $P$ if, given a system of homogeneous linear equations over $K$, it is possible to decide if it has a non-trivial solution in $K^{p}$ and, if so, exhibit one.

Proposition 4.10. (Seidenberg, 1974) If a computable field $K$ satisfies Condition $P$, then so does any finitely generated extension of $K$.

If $K$ satisfies Condition P and $I$ is a zero-dimensional ideal in $R[x]$, let us therefore see how we can compute an element $q$ such that $q \notin I$ and $q^{p} \in I$.

Consider the finite dimensional vector space $R[x] / I$ over $K$ and let $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}\right\}$ be a linear basis of it. In $R[x] / I$ the element $q$ we are looking for can be written as
$q=\sum_{i} c_{i} b_{i}$ for suitable coefficients $c_{i} \in K$. Imposing that $q^{p}=0$ modulo $I$, we get that $\sum_{i} c_{i}{ }^{p} b_{i}{ }^{p}=0$. If we express each $b_{i}{ }^{p}$ as a linear combination of the fixed basis, we get that $\sum_{i} c_{i}{ }^{p}\left(\sum_{j} \alpha_{i j} b_{j}\right)=0$, that is $\sum_{j} b_{j}\left(\sum_{i} \alpha_{i j} c_{i}^{p}\right)=0$. The $b_{j}$ 's are linearly independent, which implies that $\sum_{i} \alpha_{i j} c_{i}{ }^{p}=0$. Since we are looking for a non-trivial solution in $K^{p}$, we can decide if it exists, and exhibit one, using Condition P.

## 5. Multiple Derivations and $p$ th Powers

In the previous section we used derivations to reduce the problem of computing radicals to applying Condition P to ideals of the form $L\left(x^{p^{k}}\right)$. Since Condition P can be very expensive to use, in this section we will see that, in the case when the ground field is finitely generated over a perfect field, we can use additional derivations to reduce the problem of computing radicals to computing $p$ th roots.

Let us recall a result that, using derivations, characterizes the elements which are $p$ th powers in a finitely generated extension $K$ of a perfect field $k$.

Theorem 5.1. (Cf. Lang, 1984) Let $K$ be a finitely generated extension of a perfect field $k$. Then

- the $K$-vector space $D e r_{K}$ has dimension equal to the transcendence degree of $K$ over $k$
- if $\beta \in K$, then $\beta \in K^{p} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad D(\beta)=0 \forall D \in \operatorname{Der}_{K}$.

We intend to generalize this result to the case of finitely generated $K$-algebras; as a first step we will examine how to find a generating set of derivations on such algebras.

Let therefore $K$ be a finitely generated extension of a perfect field $k$. Assume $J=$ $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)$ is a zero-dimensional ideal in $R=K\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]$ and consider the finitely generated $K$-algebra $A=R / J$.

First of all observe that, if $J$ is radical, since $A$ is isomorphic to a finite product of fields $k_{i}$, there exists a $1-1$ correspondence between $\operatorname{Der}_{A}$ and $\prod D e r_{k_{i}}$ induced by the projections $\pi_{i}: A \rightarrow k_{i}$.

If $s=t r . d e g_{k} K$, denote by $\widetilde{D_{1}}, \ldots, \widetilde{D_{s}}$ a basis of the $s$-dimensional $K$-vector space $D e r_{K}$. If $Y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, for any $g \in R, g=\sum_{I \in \mathbf{N}^{n}} a_{I} Y^{I}$, denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{i}(g)=\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{i}} \quad i=1, \ldots, n \\
& D_{i}(g)=\sum_{I \in \mathbf{N}^{n}} \widetilde{D_{i-n}}\left(a_{I}\right) Y^{I} \quad i=n+1, \ldots, n+s
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have a set $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n+s}\right\}$ of derivations on $R$ and we can consider the $m \times(n+s)$ matrix Jac whose ( $i, j$ )-entry is defined by

$$
J a c_{i, j}=D_{j}\left(f_{i}\right)
$$

A derivation $D$ of $K[Y]$ induces a derivation of $A=K[Y] / J$ if and only if $D(J) \subseteq J$; the matrix Jac provides a method to characterize such derivations:

Proposition 5.2. Let $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n+s}\right) \in K[Y]^{n+s}$. Then the following facts are equivalent:

1. $D=\sum_{i=1}^{n+s} v_{i} D_{i}$ is such that $D(J) \subseteq J$
2. $\forall j=1, \ldots, m \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n+s} v_{i} D_{i}\left(f_{j}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod J)$
3. $J a c \cdot v \equiv 0(\bmod J)$.

Thus the syzygies of the Jacobian matrix modulo $J$ generate the derivations on $A$.
Proposition 5.3. Let $K$ be a field and $J$ a radical, zero-dimensional ideal in $K[Y]$. Consider the $K$-algebra $A=K[Y] / J$ and let $\alpha$ be an element in $A$. Then $D(\alpha)=0$ for all $D \in D e r_{A}$ if and only if there exists $\beta \in A$ such that $\alpha=\beta^{p}$.

Proof. Since $J$ is radical, $A$ is isomorphic to a finite product of fields $k_{i}$ and any derivation on $A$ induces a derivation on $k_{i}$ and vice versa. So our thesis follows from the analogous result for derivation on fields (see Theorem 5.1).

Proposition 5.4. Let $R=K[Y]$. Let $I$ be an ideal in $R[x]$ and $J=I \cap R=\bigcap M_{i}$. Denote $D_{0}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and let $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r}$ be a set of generators of the derivations on $R / J$. Define $L=\left(I:\left(D_{0}(I), \ldots, D_{r}(I)\right)\right)$ and $H=\left(I: L^{\infty}\right)$. Then

1. $\sqrt{I}=L \cap \sqrt{H}$
2. it is possible to compute monic polynomials $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s}$ and radical ideals $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{s}$ such that $H=\bigcap\left(g_{i}, J_{i}\right), g_{i} \equiv h_{i}{ }^{p}\left(\bmod J_{i}\right)$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.7 $L$ is radical and by Corollary 3.8 we can compute monic polynomials $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s}$ and radical ideals $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{s} \subseteq R$ such that $D_{j}\left(g_{i}\right) \in J_{i} \forall i, j$. We can assume that $g_{i}$ is reduced modulo $J_{i}$.
Since $D_{0}\left(g_{i}\right) \in J_{i} \subseteq R$ and $g_{i}$ is reduced modulo $J_{i}$, then $D_{0}\left(g_{i}\right)=0$ for all $i$, which implies that $g_{i}(x, Y)=\widetilde{g}_{i}\left(x^{p}, Y\right)$. Since also $D_{j}\left(\widetilde{g}_{i}\left(x^{p}, Y\right)\right) \in J_{i} \forall j>0$ and $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r}$ generate all the derivations on $R / J$, the coefficients of the $\widetilde{g}_{i}$ 's are $p$ th powers modulo $J_{i}$ by Proposition 5.3. Hence there exists $h_{i} \in R[x]$ such that $g_{i} \equiv h_{i}{ }^{p}\left(\bmod J_{i}\right)$.

As a result of the previous proposition we have constructed polynomials which are known to be $p$ th powers modulo radical ideals and we need to construct their $p$ th roots. After computing the $h_{i}$ 's which are the $p$ th roots of $g_{i}$, we apply our radical construction to the ideals $\left(h_{i}, J_{i}\right)$ in order to finish the computation.
One approach to computing $p$ th roots is to use Condition P ; we should note that the situation is improved since, with respect to the previous section, we are using Condition P in the algebra $R / J$ which is a lower dimensional subalgebra. In our situation $J$ is a radical, zero-dimensional ideal in $K[Y]$; let $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}\right\}$ be a basis of the $K$-algebra $B=K[Y] / J$. If $q=\sum_{i} c_{i} b_{i}$, with $c_{i} \in K$, is an element of $B^{p}$, then there exists an element $a=\sum_{i} a_{i} b_{i}$ with $a_{i} \in K$ such that $a^{p}=q$. If we express each $b_{i}{ }^{p}$ as a linear combination of the fixed basis, say $b_{i}{ }^{p}=\sum_{j} \beta_{i j} b_{j}$, then the coefficients $a_{i}$ are solutions of the system $\sum_{i} \beta_{i j} a_{i}{ }^{p}=$ $c_{j}$.

In order to find a solution $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ of the latter system, we can think of using Condition P which, however, deals with the existence of non-trivial solutions in $K^{p}$ only for homogeneous systems. Nevertheless we can find a solution of our system by solving the homogeneous system $\sum_{i} \beta_{i j} a_{i}{ }^{p}-c_{j} t=0$ where $t$ is an additional unknown, because all the non-trivial solutions of this system have the last entry different from 0 since the radical algebra $B$ contains no nilpotent elements.

The situation turns out to be simpler when the $K$-algebra is separable over $K$, in fact recall that

Proposition 5.5. Let $B$ be a finite dimensional $K$-algebra and let $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}\right\}$ be a basis of $B$. If $B$ is separable then $\left\{b_{1}{ }^{p}, \ldots, b_{r}{ }^{p}\right\}$ is a basis of $B$.

Proof. It is enough to prove that $\left\{b_{1}{ }^{p}, \ldots, b_{r}{ }^{p}\right\}$ are linearly independent over $K$. Otherwise let $\sum_{i} c_{i} b_{i}{ }^{p}=0$ be a non-trivial dependence relation with $c_{i} \in K$. In some finite extension $\tilde{K}$ of $K$ there exists $a_{i}$ such that $a_{i}{ }^{p}=c_{i}$. Consider $\sum_{i} a_{i} b_{i}$; this element is nilpotent in $B \otimes_{K} \tilde{K}$, but that would imply that $B$ is not separable, which is a contradiction.

Therefore in this case the matrix $\left(\beta_{i j}\right)$ is invertible so the system $\sum_{i} \beta_{i j} a_{i}{ }^{p}=c_{j}$ has a unique solution $\left(a_{1}{ }^{p}, \ldots, a_{r}{ }^{p}\right)$ and computing the $a_{i}$ 's reduces to compute $p$ th roots in the field $K$. Since $K$ is finitely generated over a perfect field, this problem is constructive (see Gianni and Trager, 1996).

## 6. Higher Dimensional Ideals

To conclude this paper we want to show how to use the computation obtained for zero-dimensional ideals in order to compute the radical of ideals of any dimension.

Given an ideal $I$ of positive dimension $d$ one can determine a set of variables $\left\{x_{i_{1}}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.x_{i_{d}}\right\}$ such that $I \cap K\left[x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{d}}\right]=0$. Such a maximal independent set can be found using a Gröbner basis for $I$ under any term ordering as explained in Becker and Weispfenning (1993).

Without loss of generality we will relabel the variables so that our independent set is $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}$. The ideal $I^{e}=\operatorname{IK}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)\left[x_{d+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is zero-dimensional and we can compute its radical using the result in the previous section. After computing $L=$ $\sqrt{I^{e}}$, we need to contract $L$ back to the original polynomial ring, i.e. compute $L^{c}=$ $L \cap K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. This contraction can be performed by saturating with respect to a principal ideal as in the following proposition:

Proposition 6.1. (GiAnni et al., 1988) Let $G=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}\right\}$ be a Gröbner basis for an ideal $I \subseteq K\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)\left[x_{d+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ under any term ordering. We can assume that the $f_{i}$ are monic and we compute a polynomial $g \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]$ such that $g f_{i} \in$ $K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$; then we have:

$$
I \cap K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]=\left(g t-1, g f_{1}, \ldots, g f_{k}\right) \cap K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]
$$

where $t$ is a new variable.
This allows us to compute $L^{c}=\sqrt{I^{e}} \cap K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ which is a radical ideal whose associated primes are the associated primes of $I$ whose intersection with $K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]$ is zero. We must now find a complementary ideal $J$ such that $\sqrt{I}=L^{c} \cap \sqrt{J}$. One way to compute such a $J$ is to use a simple corollary to Proposition 6.1.

Corollary 6.2. (Gianni et al., 1988) Let $G=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right\}$ be the reduced Gröbner basis of an ideal $I \subseteq K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]\left[x_{d+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ under a block term ordering. Assume that $I \cap K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]=0$ and let $I^{e c}=I K\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)\left[x_{d+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \cap K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$.

If we denote by $g \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]$ the lcm of the leading coefficients of the polynomials $f_{i}$, then we have:

$$
\sqrt{I}=\sqrt{I^{e c}} \cap \sqrt{(I, g)} .
$$

Corollary 6.3. Let $I \subseteq K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be an ideal of dimension $d>0$, where $K$ is a computable field satisfying Condition $P$. Then it is possible to compute the radical of $I$.

Proof. Let $S$ be a maximal independent set for $I$ and let $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. Let $I^{e}$ be the extension of the ideal $I$ to $K(S)[X-S]$ and $I^{e c}$ be the contraction of $I^{e}$ to $K[X]$. We have

$$
\sqrt{I}=\sqrt{(I, g)} \cap \sqrt{I^{e c}}=\sqrt{(I, g)} \cap\left(\sqrt{I^{e}}\right)^{c} .
$$

Now $I^{e}$ is a zero-dimensional ideal, and $(I, g)$ is an ideal where $S$ is no longer a maximal set of independent variables. So repeating this process, we reduce the problem to the computation of radicals of zero-dimensional ideals.

One problem with this kind of construction, though, is that in general the ideal $(I, g)$ can have some components which contain some components of $I^{e c}$ and are thus redundant. Since we want to compute the radical and so we are not concerned about multiplicity, we can avoid this problem. In fact, as remarked in both Alonso et al. (1991) and Caboara et al. (1997), the redundancies can be eliminated by replacing $(I, g)$ by the saturation of $I$ by $\sqrt{I^{e c}}$, since the following holds:

Proposition 6.4. Let $I \subset J$ be ideals, then we have

$$
\sqrt{I}=\sqrt{J} \cap \sqrt{I: J^{\infty}}
$$

and the associated primes of $\left(I: J^{\infty}\right)$ are the associated primes of $I$ which do not contain $J$.

In Alonso et al. (1991) it is shown that, by first putting the ideal in a sufficiently general position, one can replace the general saturation in the previous proposition, by saturating with respect to a suitable principal ideal. However arguments requiring general linear changes of coordinates require special handling when the coefficient fields are finite.

## 7. Examples

Example 1. The following "challenge" ideal was presented in Eisenbud et al. (1992).
Let $I=\left(x^{7}-z y u^{5}, y^{4}-z^{3} u\right) \subseteq Z_{7}[x, y, z, u]$. This ideal is two-dimensional but it is in Noether normal form and equidimensional, so we can consider directly its zerodimensional extension to the ring $Z_{7}(z, u)[x, y]$.

We proceed as in Section 1 by computing the univariate projections. Since the second generator is separable, it is enough to add the square-free part of the generator of $I \cap Z_{7}(z, u)[x]$; this polynomial is $x^{28}-u^{21} z^{7}$ and its square-free part is $x^{4}-u^{3} z$. Then $\left(I, x^{4}-u^{3} z\right)=\left(x z^{2}-y^{3}, y^{4}-u z^{3}\right)$ is a radical ideal in $Z_{7}(z, u)[x, y]$. Now it remains to compute the contraction to $Z_{7}[x, y, z, u]$. Since the lcm of the leading coefficients is $z^{2}$, it is enough to compute the saturation with respect to $z$, finally yielding the radical of the original ideal $I$

$$
\sqrt{I}=\left(x^{3}-y u^{2}, y^{3}-x z^{2}, x^{2} z-y^{2} u, x y-z u\right) .
$$

EXAMPLE 2. Let $I=\left(x^{p}-u, y^{p}-u\right) \subseteq Z_{p}(u)[x, y]$, where $u$ is transcendental over $Z_{p}$. This ideal is zero-dimensional and the two generators are square-free, but this ideal is not radical. This example shows that it is not sufficient that $I$ contains square-free univariate polynomials in each variable in order that $I$ be radical, unless the coefficient field is perfect. Although $Z_{p}$ is perfect, $Z_{p}(u)$ is not. If we let $R=Z_{p}(u)[y]$, then $J=$ $I \cap R=\left(y^{p}-u\right)$ is radical since it is principal, generated by a square-free polynomial. $I^{\prime}=0$, so to finish the computation we need to choose a strategy: in this example we use derivations. The only derivation on $R / J$ is $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, so, since $\frac{\partial\left(x^{p}-u\right)}{\partial x}=0$ and $\frac{\partial\left(x^{p}-u\right)}{\partial y}=0$ we deduce that $x^{p}-u$ is a $p$ th power modulo $\left(y^{p}-u\right)$. We need then to compute the $p$ th root of $u$ modulo $\left(y^{p}-u\right)$ : this is $y$, then $\left(x^{p}-u\right)=(x-y)^{p}$ modulo $\left(y^{p}-u\right)$ and so the radical is the ideal $\left(x-y, y^{p}-u\right)$.

Example 3. Let $I=\left(x^{6}+2 x^{3} y^{3}+1, y^{4}+y^{2}+1\right) \subseteq Z_{3}[x, y]$. First we compute the radical of the contracted ideal $M=\left(y^{4}+y^{2}+1\right)$. Let $J=\left(M: M^{\prime}\right)=\left(y^{2}+2\right)$. Since $\left(M: J^{2}\right)=(1)$, we have that $J=\sqrt{M}$. Now consider the ideal $(I, J)=\left(x^{6}+2 x^{3} y+1, y^{2}+\right.$ 2) and call it again $I$. Then $I^{\prime}=0$, so we compute $I_{1}=I\left(x^{1 / 3}\right)=\left(x^{2}+2 x y+1, y^{2}+2\right)$. Moreover $L_{1}=\left(I_{1}: I^{\prime}{ }_{1}\right)=\left(x+y, y^{2}+2\right)$. Since $\operatorname{deg}_{x} I_{1}<3$, we have that $L_{1}=\sqrt{I_{1}}$. The final step is to compute the radical of $L_{1}\left(x^{3}\right)=\left(x^{3}+y, y^{2}+2\right)$. Since there are no non-trivial derivations on $Z_{3}$ we immediately obtain that $x^{3}+y$ is a perfect cube modulo $\left(y^{2}+2\right)$. The algebra $Z_{3}[y] /\left(y^{2}+2\right)$ is separable, so we can find the cubic root of $y$ by solving a $2 \times 2$ linear system over $Z_{3}$. In this case we find that $y^{3}=y$ so that $x^{3}+y=(x+y)^{3}$ modulo $\left(y^{2}+2\right)$ and then the radical of $I$ is $\left(x+y, y^{2}+2\right)$.
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